arXiv:1403.3796v3 [math.GR] 2 Feb 2015

Metric geometry of locally compact groups

Yves de Cornulier and Pierre de la Harpe

December 16, 2014

Abstract

This book offers to study locally compact groups from the point of view of appropriate metrics that can be defined on them, in other words to study "Infinite groups as geometric objects", as Gromov writes it in the title of a famous article. The theme has often been restricted to finitely generated groups, but it can favourably be played for locally compact groups.

The development of the theory is illustrated by numerous examples, including matrix groups with entries in the the field of real or complex numbers, or other locally compact fields such as p-adic fields, isometry groups of various metric spaces, and, last but not least, discrete group themselves.

Word metrics for compactly generated groups play a major role. In the particular case of finitely generated groups, they were introduced by Dehn around 1910 in connection with the Word Problem.

Some of the results exposed concern general locally compact groups, such as criteria for the existence of compatible metrics on locally compact groups (Birkhoff-Kakutani, Kakutani-Kodaira, Struble). Other results concern special classes of groups, for example those mapping onto $\mathbf{Z}$ (the Bieri-Strebel splitting theorem, generalized to locally compact groups).

Prior to their applications to groups, the basic notions of coarse and large-scale geometry are developed in the general framework of metric spaces. Coarse geometry is that part of geometry concerning properties of metric spaces that can be formulated in terms of large distances only. In particular coarse connectedness, coarse simple connectedness, metric coarse equivalences, and quasi-isometries of metric spaces are given special attention.

The final chapters are devoted to the more restricted class of compactly presented groups, which generalize finitely presented groups to the locally compact setting. They can indeed be characterized as those compactly generated locally compact groups that are coarsely simply connected.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F65, 20F05, 22D05, 51F99, 54E35, 57M07.

Key words and phrases. Locally compact groups, left-invariant metrics, σ-compactness, second countability, compact generation, compact presentation, metric coarse equivalence, quasi-isometry, coarse connectedness, coarse simple connectedness.

Y. C.
Département de Mathématiques
Bâtiment 425
Université Paris-Sud
91405 Orsay
France
yves.cornulier@math.u-psud.fr

P.H.
Section de mathématiques
Université de Genève
C.P. 64
CH–1211 Genève 4
Suisse
Pierre.delaHarpe@unige.ch

Contents

1 Introduction **9**
- 1.A Discrete groups as metric spaces . . . 9
- 1.B Discrete groups and locally compact groups . . . 11
- 1.C Three conditions on LC-groups . . . 12
- 1.D Metric characterization . . . 14
- 1.E On compact presentations . . . 16
- 1.F Outline of the book . . . 16
- 1.G Acknowledgements . . . 18

2 Basic properties **19**
- 2.A Topological spaces and pseudo-metric spaces . . . 20
- 2.B Metrizability and σ-compactness . . . 27
- 2.C Compact generation . . . 33
- 2.D Miscellanea . . . 43
- 2.E Structure of LC-groups . . . 46

3 Metric coarse and large-scale categories **57**
- 3.A Coarsely Lipschitz and large-scale Lipschitz maps . . . 57
- 3.B Coarse properties and large-scale properties . . . 66
  - 3.B.a Coarsely connected, coarsely geodesic, and large-scale geodesic pseudo-metric spaces . . . 66
  - 3.B.b Coarsely ultrametric pseudo-metric spaces . . . 71
  - 3.B.c Asymptotic dimension . . . 72
- 3.C Metric lattices in pseudo-metric spaces . . . 73
- 3.D Growth and amenability . . . 76
  - 3.D.a Growth for uniformly locally finite pseudo-metric spaces . . . 76
  - 3.D.b Growth for uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric spaces and σ-compact LC-groups . . . 78
  - 3.D.c Amenability . . . 85
- 3.E The coarse category . . . 89

4 Groups as pseudo-metric spaces **93**
- 4.A Adapted (pseudo-)metrics on σ-compact groups . . . 93
- 4.B Pseudo-metrics on compactly generated groups . . . 96
- 4.C Actions of groups on pseudo-metric spaces . . . 104
- 4.D Local ellipticity . . . 111

4.E Capped subgroups . . . 117
4.F Amenable and right amenable LC-groups . . . 123

5 Examples of compactly generated LC-groups 129
5.A Connected, abelian, nilpotent, Lie, and algebraic . . . 129
5.B Isometry groups . . . 135
5.C Lattices in LC-groups . . . 141

6 Coarse simple connectedness 147
6.A Coarsely simply connected pseudo-metric spaces . . . 147
6.B On simplicial complexes . . . 151
6.C The Rips 2-complex of a pseudo-metric space . . . 153

7 Bounded presentations 157
7.A Presentations with relators of bounded length . . . 157
7.B The Rips complex of a bounded presentation . . . 164

8 Compactly presented groups 167
8.A Definition and first examples . . . 167
8.B Amalgamated products and HNN extensions . . . 177
8.C Homomorphisms to $\mathbf{Z}$ and splittings . . . 184
8.C.a A topological version of the Bieri-Strebel splitting theorem . . . 184
8.C.b Proofs . . . 185
8.C.c Engulfing automorphisms . . . 188
8.D Further examples . . . 192
8.D.a Semidirect products with $\mathbf{Z}$ . . . 192
8.D.b More general semidirect products, and $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ . . . 195

Bibliography 203

Index 221

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.A Discrete groups as metric spaces

Whenever a group Γ appears in geometry, by which we mean here that Γ acts on a metric space of some sort (examples include universal covering spaces, Cayley graphs and Rips complexes), the geometry of the space reflects some geometry of the group.

This goes back at least to Felix Klein and Henri Poincaré, with tessellations of the half-plane related to subgroups of the modular groups, around 1880. It has then been a well-established tradition to study properties of groups which can be viewed, at least in retrospect, as geometric properties. As a sample, we can mention:

- "Dehn Gruppenbild" (also known as Cayley graphs), used to picture finitely generated groups and their word metrics, in particular knot groups, around 1910. Note that Dehn introduced word metrics for groups in his articles on decision problems (1910-1911).
- Amenability of groups (von Neumann, Tarski, late 20's), and its interpretation in terms of isoperimetric properties (Følner, mid 50's).
- Properties "at infinity", or ends of groups (Freudenthal, early 30's), and structure theorems for groups with two or infinitely many ends (Stallings for finitely generated groups, late 60's, Abels generalization for totally discontinuous locally compact groups, 1974).
- Lattices in Lie groups, and later in algebraic groups over local fields; first a collection of examples, and from the 40's a subject of growing importance, with foundational work by Siegel, Mal'cev, Mostow, L. Auslander, Borel & Harish-Chandra, Weil, Garland, H.C. Wang, Tamagawa, Kazhdan, Raghunathan, Margulis (to quote only them); leading to:
- Rigidity of groups, and in particular of lattices in semisimple groups (Mostow, Margulis, 60's and 70's).
- Growth of groups, introduced independently (!) by A.S. Schwarz (also written Švarc) in 1955 and Milnor in 1968, studied later by Grigorchuk, Gromov, and others, including Guivarc'h, Jenkins and Losert for locally compact groups.
- Structure of groups acting faithfully on trees (Tits, Bass-Serre theory, Dunwoody decompositions and accessibility of groups, 70's); tree lattices.
- Properties related to random walks (Guivarc'h, 70's, Varopoulos).

– And the tightly interwoven developments of combinatorial group theory and low dimensional topology, from Dehn to Thurston, and so many others.

From 1980 onwards, for all these reasons and under the strong influence of Gromov, in particular of his articles [Grom–81b, Grom–84, Grom–87, Grom–93], the group community has been used to consider a group (with appropriate conditions) as a metric space, and to concentrate on large-scale properties of such metric spaces.

Different classes of groups can be characterized by the existence of metrics with additional properties. We often write *discrete group* for *group*, in view of later sections about *topological groups*, and especially *locally compact groups*. In the discrete setting, we distinguish four classes, each class properly containing the next one:

(all) all discrete groups;
(ct) countable groups;
(fg) finitely generated groups;
(fp) finitely presented groups.

This will serve as a guideline below, in the more general setting of locally compact groups.

Every group Γ has left-invariant metrics which induce the discrete topology, for example that defined by $d(\gamma, \gamma') = 1$ whenever γ, γ' are distinct. The three other classes can be characterized as follows.

Proposition 1.A.1. *Let Γ be a group.*

(ct) Γ is countable if and only if it has a left-invariant metric with finite balls. Moreover, if d_1, d_2 are two such metrics, the identity map $(\Gamma, d_1) \longrightarrow (\Gamma, d_2)$ is a metric coarse equivalence.

Assume from now on that Γ is countable.

(fg) Γ is finitely generated if and only if, for one (equivalently for every) metric d as in (ct), the metric space (Γ, d) is coarsely connected. Moreover, a finitely generated group has a left-invariant large-scale geodesic metric with finite balls (e.g. a word metric); if d_1, d_2 are two such metrics, the identity map $(\Gamma, d_1) \longrightarrow (\Gamma, d_2)$ is a quasi-isometry.

(fp) Γ is finitely presented if and only if, for one (equivalently for every) metric d as in (ct), the metric space (Γ, d) is coarsely simply connected.

The technical terms of the proposition can be defined as follows; we come back to these notions in Sections 3.A, 3.B, and 6.A. A metric space (X, d) is

– **coarsely connected** if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that, for every pair (x, x') of points of X, there exists a sequence $x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_n = x'$ of points in X such that $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq c$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$,
– **large-scale geodesic** if there exist constants $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$ such that the previous condition holds with, moreover, $n \leq ad(x, x') + b$,
– **coarsely simply connected** if every "loop" $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = x_0$ of points in X with an appropriate bound on the distances $d(x_{i-1}, x_i)$, can be "deformed by small steps" to a constant loop $x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0$; see 6.A.5 for a precise definition.

If X and Y are non-empty pseudo-metric spaces, a map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is

– a **metric coarse equivalence** if,

· for every $c > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for $x, x' \in X$ with $d_X(x, x') \leq c$, we have $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq C$,
· there exists $g : Y \longrightarrow X$ with the same property, satisfying $\sup_{x \in X} d_X(g(f(x)), x) < \infty$ and $\sup_{y \in Y} d_Y(f(g(y)), y) < \infty$;

– a **quasi-isometry** if there exist $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$ such that

· $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq a d_X(x, x') + b$ for all $x, x' \in X$,
· there exists $g : Y \longrightarrow X$ with the same property, satisfying $\sup_{x \in X} d_X(g(f(x)), x) < \infty$ and $\sup_{y \in Y} d_Y(f(g(y)), y) < \infty$.

Two metrics d, d' on a set X are coarsely equivalent [respectively quasi-isometric] if the identity map $(X, d) \longrightarrow (X, d')$ is a metric coarse equivalence [resp. a quasi-isometry].

The characterizations of Proposition 1.A.1 provide conceptual proofs of some basic and well-known facts. Consider for example a countable group Γ, a subgroup of finite index Δ, a finite normal subgroup $N \lhd \Gamma$, and a left-invariant metric d on Γ, with finite balls. Coarse connectedness and coarse simple connectedness are properties invariant by metric coarse equivalence. A straightforward verification shows that the inclusion $\Delta \subset \Gamma$ is a metric coarse equivalence; it follows that Δ is finitely generated (or finitely presented) if and only if Γ has the same property.

It is desirable to have a similar argument for Γ and Γ/N; for this, it is better to rephrase the characterizations (ct), (fg), and (fp) in terms of **pseudo-metrics** rather than in terms of metrics. "Pseudo" means that the pseudo-metric evaluated on two distinct points can be 0.

It is straightforward to adapt to pseudo-metric spaces the technical terms defined above and Proposition 1.A.1.

1.B Discrete groups and locally compact groups

It has long been known that the study of a group Γ can be eased when it sits as a discrete subgroup of some kind in a locally compact group G. For instance[1], a group that is a cocompact discrete subgroup in a connected locally compact group is finitely generated (see Propositions 2.C.3 and 2.C.8).

Examples of this situation include finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups, which are discrete cocompact subgroups in simply connected nilpotent Lie groups [Ragh–72, Theorem 2.18], and polycyclic groups, in which there are subgroups of finite index that are discrete cocompact subgroups in simply connected solvable Lie groups [Ragh–72, Theorem 4.28]. For some classes of solvable groups, the appropriate ambient group G is not Lie, but a group involving a product of linear groups over

[1]Here are two more examples, beyond the scope of the present book. They involve a lattice Γ in a locally compact group G. (1) Kazhdan Property (T) is inherited from G to Γ [BeHV–08]. (2) If Γ is moreover cocompact in G, cohomological properties of Γ can be deduced from information on G or on its homogeneous spaces [Brow–82, Serr–70].

non-discrete locally compact fields. For example, for a prime p, the group $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]$ of rational numbers of the form a/p^k (with $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $k \geq 0$), and the p-adic field $\mathbf{Q}_p$, we have the diagonal embedding

$$\mathbf{Z}[1/p] \subset \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_p,$$

of which the image is a discrete subgroup with compact quotient. We refer to Example 8.D.2 for other examples, of the kind

$$\mathbf{Z}[1/6] \rtimes_{1/6} \mathbf{Z} \subset (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_2 \times \mathbf{Q}_3) \rtimes_{1/6} \mathbf{Z},$$

again discrete with compact quotient.

It thus appears that the natural setting is that of locally compact groups. (This generalization from Lie groups to locally compact groups is more familiar in harmonic analysis than in geometry.) More precisely, for the geometric study of groups, it is appropriate to consider *σ-compact* and *compactly generated locally compact groups*; in the case of discrete groups, σ-compact groups are countable groups, and compact generation reduces to finite generation. Though it is not so well-known, there is a stronger notion of *compact presentation* for locally compact groups, reducing to finite presentation in the case of discrete groups. One of our aims is to expose basic facts involving these properties.

We use **LC-group** as a shorthand for "locally compact group".

1.C Three conditions on LC-groups

Locally compact groups came to light in the first half of XXth century. The notion of compactness slowly emerged from 19th century analysis, and the term was coined by Fréchet in 1906; see [Enge–89, Page 136]. Local compactness for spaces was introduced by Pavel Alexandrov in 1923 [Enge–89, Page 155]. The first abstract definition of a topological group seems to be that of Schreier [Schr–25]; early contributions to the general theory, from the period 1925–1940, include articles by Leja, van Kampen, Markoff, Freudenthal, Weyl, von Neumann (these are quoted in [Weil–40, chapitre 1]), as well as van Dantzig, Garrett Birkhoff, and Kakutani. Influential books were published by Pontrjagin [Pont–39] and Weil [Weil–40], and later by Montgomery & Zippin [MoZi–55].

(Lie groups could be mentioned, but with some care. Indeed, in the early theory of XIXth century, "Lie groups" are local objects, not systematically distinguished from Lie algebras before Weyl, even if some examples are "global", i.e. are topological groups in our sense. See the discussion in [Bore–01], in particular his § I.3.)

Among topological groups, LC-groups play a central role, both in most of what follows and in other contexts, such as ergodic theory and representation theory. Recall that these groups have left-invariant regular Borel measures, as shown by Haar (1933) in the second-countable case, and by Kakutani and Weil (late 30's) in the general case. Conversely, a group with a "Haar measure" is locally compact; see *La réciproque du théorème de Haar*, Appendice I in [Weil–40], and its sharpening in [Mack–57]; see also Appendix B in [GlTW–05]. Gelfand and Raikov (1943) showed

that LC-groups have "sufficiently many" irreducible continuous unitary representations [Dixm–69, Corollary 13.6.6]; this does *not* carry over to topological groups (examples of topological groups that are abelian, locally homeomorphic to Banach spaces, and without any non-trivial continuous unitary representations are given in [Bana–83, Bana–91]).

Let G be an LC-group. Denote by G_0 its unit component, which is a normal closed subgroup; G_0 is connected and the quotient group G/G_0 is totally disconnected. Our understanding of connected LC-groups, or more generally of LC-groups G with G/G_0 compact, has significantly increased with the solution of Hilbert Fifth Problem in the early 1950's (Gleason, Montgomery, Zippin, Yamabe, see [MoZi–55]). The seminal work of Willis ([Will–94], see also [Will–01a, Will–01b]) on dynamics of automorphisms of totally disconnected LC-groups allowed further progress, notably on the structure of topologically simple non-discrete LC-groups [Will–07, CaMo–11, CaRW–I, CaRW–II].

The goal of this essay is to revisit three finiteness conditions on LC-groups, three natural generalizations of countability, finite generation, and finite presentation.

The first two, σ-compactness and compact generation, are widely recognized as fundamental conditions in various contexts. The third, compact presentation, was introduced and studied by the German school in the 60's (see Section 1.E below), but mainly disappeared of the landscape until recently; we hope to convince the reader of its interest. For an LC-group G, here are these three conditions:

(σC) G is **σ-compact** if it has a countable cover by compact subsets. In analysis, this condition ensures that a Haar measure on G is σ-finite, so that the Fubini theorem is available.
(CG) G is **compactly generated** if it has a compact generating set S.
(CP) G is **compactly presented** if it has a presentation $\langle S \mid R\rangle$ with the generating set S compact in G and the relators in R of bounded length.

Though it does not have the same relevance for the geometry of groups, it is sometimes useful to consider one more condition: G is **second-countable** if its topology has a countable basis; the notion (for LC-spaces) goes back to Hausdorff's 1914 book [Enge–89, Page 20].

There is an abundance of groups that satisfy these conditions:

(CP) Compactly presented LC-groups include connected-by-compact groups, abelian and nilpotent compactly generated groups, and reductive algebraic groups over local fields. (Local fields are p-adic fields $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and their finite extensions, and fields $\mathbf{F}_q(\!(t)\!)$ of formal Laurent series over finite fields.)
(CG) Examples of compactly generated groups that are not compactly presented include
  (a) $\mathbf{K}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$, where $\mathbf{K}$ is a local field (Example 8.A.26),
  (b) $(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_2 \times \mathbf{Q}_3) \rtimes_{2/3} \mathbf{Z}$, where the generator of $\mathbf{Z}$ acts by multiplication by $2/3$ on each of $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{Q}_2$, and $\mathbf{Q}_3$ (Example 8.D.2).
(σC) $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ and its closed subgroups are second-countable σ-compact LC-groups, for every non-discrete locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$ and every positive integer n.

The condition of σ-compactness rules out uncountable groups with the discrete topology, and more generally LC-groups G with an open subgroup H such that the homogeneous space G/H is uncountable; see Remark 2.A.2(3), Example 2.B.8, Corollaries 2.C.6 & 2.E.7(1), and Example 8.B.7(1). Among σ-compact groups, second countability rules out "very large" compact groups, such as uncountable direct products of non-trivial finite groups.

It is remarkable that each of the above conditions is equivalent to a metric condition, as we now describe more precisely.

1.D Metric characterization of topological properties of LC-groups

A metric on a topological space is **compatible** if it defines the given topology. It is appropriate to relax the condition of compatibility for metrics on topological groups, for at least two reasons.

On the one hand, a σ-compact LC-group G need not be metrizable (as uncountable products of non-trivial finite groups show). However, the Kakutani-Kodaira theorem (Theorem 2.B.6) establishes that there exists a compact normal subgroup K such that G/K has a left-invariant compatible metric $d_{G/K}$; hence $d_G(g, g') := d_{G/K}(gK, g'K)$ defines a natural **pseudo-metric** d_G on G, with respect to which balls are compact. On the other hand, an LC-group G generated by a compact subset S has a **word metric** d_S defined by

$$d_S(g, g') = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbf{N} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist } s_1, \dots, s_n \in S \cup S^{-1} \\ \text{such that } g^{-1}g' = s_1 \cdots s_n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that d_S need not be continuous. For example, on the additive group of real numbers, we have $d_{[0,1]}(0, x) = \lceil |x| \rceil := \min\{n \geq 0 \mid n \geq |x|\}$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$; hence $1 = d_{[0,1]}(0, 1) \neq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} d_{[0,1]}(0, 1 + \varepsilon) = 2$ (with $\varepsilon > 0$ in the limit).

As a consequence, we consider **non-necessarily continuous pseudo-metrics**, rather than compatible metrics. In this context, it is convenient to introduce some terminology.

A pseudo-metric d on a topological space X is **proper** if its balls are relatively compact, and **locally bounded** if every point in X has a neighbourhood of finite diameter with respect to d. A pseudo-metric on a topological group is **adapted** if it is left-invariant, proper, and locally bounded; it is **geodesically adapted** if it is adapted and large-scale geodesic. Basic examples of geodesically adapted metrics are the word metrics with respect to compact generating sets. On an LC-group, a continuous adapted metric is compatible, by Proposition 2.A.9.

Every LC-group has a left-invariant pseudo-metric with respect to which balls of *small enough* radius are compact (Corollary 4.A.4). Classes (σC), (CG) and (CP) can be characterized as follows.

Proposition 1.D.1 (characterization of σ-compact groups)**.** *An LC-group G is σ-compact if and only if it has an adapted metric, if and only if it has an adapted*

pseudo-metric, if and only if it has an adapted continuous pseudo-metric (Proposition 4.A.2).

If G has these properties, two adapted pseudo-metrics on G are coarsely equivalent (Corollary 4.A.6).

In particular, a σ-compact group can be seen as a pseudo-metric space, well-defined up to metric coarse equivalence. See also Corollary 3.E.6.

The main ingredients of the proof are standard results: the Birkhoff-Kakutani theorem, which characterizes topological groups that are metrizable, the Struble theorem, which characterizes locally compact groups of which the topology can be defined by a proper metric, and the Kakutani-Kodaira theorem, which establishes that σ-compact groups are compact-by-metrizable (Theorems 2.B.2, 2.B.4, and 2.B.6).

Proposition 1.D.2 (characterization of compactly generated groups)**.** *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group and d an adapted pseudo-metric on G.*

G is compactly generated if and only if the pseudo-metric space (G, d) is coarsely connected. Moreover, if this is so, there exists a geodesically adapted continuous pseudo-metric on G (Proposition 4.B.8).

If G is compactly generated, two geodesically adapted pseudo-metrics on G are quasi-isometric (Corollary 4.B.11).

In particular, word metrics associated to compact generating sets of G are bilipschitz equivalent to each other (Proposition 4.B.4).

Alternatively, an LC-group is compactly generated if and only if it has a faithful geometric action (as defined in 4.C.1) on a non-empty geodesic pseudo-metric space (Corollary 4.C.6). In particular a compactly generated group can be seen as a pseudo-metric space, well-defined up to quasi-isometry.

To obtain characterizations with metrics, rather than pseudo-metrics, second-countability is needed:

An LC-group G is second-countable if and only if it has a left-invariant proper compatible metric (Struble Theorem 2.B.4).

An LC-group G is second-countable and compactly generated if and only if G has a large-scale geodesic left-invariant proper compatible metric (Proposition 4.B.9).

It is a crucial fact for our exposition that compact presentability can be characterized in terms of adapted pseudo-metrics:

Proposition 1.D.3 (characterization of compactly presented groups)**.** *Let G be a compactly generated LC-group and d an adapted pseudo-metric on G.*

G is compactly presented if and only if the pseudo-metric space (G, d) is coarsely simply connected (Proposition 8.A.3).

One of the motivations for introducing metric ideas as above appears in the following proposition (see Section 4.C), that extends the discussion at the end of Section 1.A:

Proposition 1.D.4. *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group, H a closed subgroup of G such that the quotient space G/H is compact, and K a compact normal subgroup of*

G. Then the inclusion map $i : H \hookrightarrow G$ and the projection $p : G \twoheadrightarrow G/K$ are metric coarse equivalences with respect to adapted metrics on the groups. Moreover, if G is compactly generated and the metrics are geodesically adapted, the maps i and p are quasi-isometries.

In particular, if Γ is a discrete subgroup of G such that G/Γ is compact, G is compactly generated [respectively compactly presented] if and only if Γ is finitely generated [resp. finitely presented].

For other properties that hold (or not) simultaneously for G and Γ, see Remarks 4.A.8 and 4.B.14.

1.E On compact presentations

Despite its modest fame for non-discrete groups, compact presentability has been used as a tool to establish finite presentability of S-arithmetic groups. Consider an algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ defined over $\mathbf{Q}$. By results of Borel and Harish-Chandra, the group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z})$ is finitely presented [Bore–62]. Let S be a finite set of primes, and let $\mathbf{Z}_S$ denote the ring of rational numbers with denominators products of primes in S. It is a natural question to ask whether $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}_S)$ is finitely presented; for classical groups, partial answers were given in [Behr–62].

The group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}_S)$ is naturally a discrete subgroup in $\mathcal{G} := \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}) \times \prod_{p\in S} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. In [Knes–64], Martin Kneser has introduced the notion of compact presentability, and has shown that $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}_S)$ is finitely presented if and only if $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ is compactly presented for all $p \in S$; an easy case, that for which $\mathcal{G}/\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}_S)$ is compact, follows from Corollary 8.A.5 below. Building on results of Bruhat and Tits, Behr has then shown that, when $\mathbf{G}$ is reductive, $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{K})$ is compactly presented for every local field $\mathbf{K}$ [Behr–67, Behr–69]. To sum up, using compact presentability, Kneser and Behr have shown that $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}_S)$ is finitely presented for every reductive group $\mathbf{G}$ defined over $\mathbf{Q}$ and every finite set S of primes. (Further finiteness conditions of such groups are discussed in several articles by Borel and Serre; we quote [BoSe–76].)

After these articles of Kneser and Behr from the 60's, Abels discussed compact presentation in great detail for solvable linear algebraic groups [Abel–87], showing in particular that several properties of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}[1/p])$ are best understood together with those of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. Otherwise, compact presentations seem to have disappeared from the literature. In his influential article on group cohomology and properties of arithmetic and S-arithmetic groups, Serre does not cite Kneser, and he cites [Behr–62, Behr–69] only very briefly [Serr–71, in particular Page 127].

1.F Outline of the book

Chapter 2 contains foundational facts on LC-spaces and groups, the theorems of Birkhoff-Kakutani, Struble, and Kakutani-Kodaira, on metrizable groups, with proofs, and generalities on compactly generated LC-groups. The last section describes results on the structure of LC-groups; they include a theorem from the 30's on compact

open subgroups in totally disconnected LC-groups, due to van Dantzig, and (without proofs) results from the early 50's solving the Hilbert Fifth Problem, due among others to Gleason, Montgomery-Zippin, and Yamabe.

Chapter 3 deals with two categories of pseudo-metric spaces that play a major role in our setting: the metric coarse category, in which isomorphisms are equivalence classes of metric coarse equivalences (the category well-adapted to σ-compact LC-groups), and the large-scale category, in which isomorphisms are equivalence classes of quasi-isometries (the category well-adapted to compactly generated LC-groups). To illustrate these notions, there is in Section 3.D a discussion of growth in appropriate spaces and groups. Section 3.E, on what we call the coarse category, alludes to a possible variation, involving bornologies rather than pseudo-metrics.

Chapter 4 shows how the metric notions of Chapter 3 apply to LC-groups. In particular, every σ-compact LC-group has an adapted metric (see Proposition 1.D.1), and every compactly generated LC-group has a geodesically adapted pseudo-metric (see Proposition 1.D.2). Moreover, σ-compact LC-groups are precisely the LC-groups that can act on metric spaces in a "geometric" way, namely by actions that are isometric, cobounded, locally bounded, and metrically proper; and compactly generated LC-groups are precisely the LC-groups that can act geometrically on coarsely connected pseudo-metric spaces (see Theorem 4.C.5, sometimes called the fundamental theorem of geometric group theory). Section 4.D illustrates these notions by discussing locally elliptic groups, namely LC-groups in which every compact subset is contained in a compact open subgroup (equivalently LC-groups G with an adapted metric d such that the metric space (G, d) has asymptotic dimension 0).

Chapter 5 contains essentially examples of compactly generated LC-groups, including full isometry groups.

Chapter 6 deals with the appropriate notion of simple connectedness for pseudo-metric spaces, called coarse simple connectedness. In Section 6.C, we introduce the (2-skeleton of the) Rips complex of a pseudo-metric space.

Chapter 7 is on bounded presentation, i.e. on presentations $\langle S \mid R\rangle$ with arbitrary generating set S and relators in R of bounded length. It is a technical interlude before Chapter 8, on compactly presented groups, i.e. on bounded presentations $\langle S \mid R\rangle$ of topological groups G with S compact in G.

As explained in Chapter 8, an LC-group is compactly presented if and only if the pseudo-metric space (G, d) is coarsely simply connected, for d an adapted pseudo-metric. Important examples of compactly presented LC-groups, from the first part of the chapter, include:

(c) connected-by-compact groups,
(d) abelian and nilpotent compactly generated LC-groups,
(e) LC-groups of polynomial growth,
(f) Gromov-hyperbolic LC-groups.

(Compare with (a) and (b) above, near the end of Section 1.C.) A large part of Chapter 8 is devoted to the Bieri-Strebel splitting theorem: let G be a compactly presented LC-group such that there exists a continuous epimorphism $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$; then G is isomorphic to an HNN extension $\mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$ of which the base group H is a compactly generated subgroup of $\ker(\pi)$. Among the prerequisites, there is

a section exposing how to extend the elementary theory of HNN-extensions and free products with amalgamation from the usual setting of abstract group to our setting of LC-groups (Section 8.B). We use this splitting theorem to extend our list of compactly presented groups:

(g) $(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_2 \times \mathbf{Q}_3) \rtimes_{1/6} \mathbf{Z}$ (compare with (b) in Section 1.C),
(h) $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{K})$, for every $n \geq 2$ and every local field $\mathbf{K}$.

Fact (h) indicates a general fact, not proven in these notes:

(i) every reductive group over a non-discrete LC-field is compactly presented.

1.G Acknowledgements

It is our pleasure to thank Bachir Bekka, Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Rostislav Grigorchuk, Adrien Le Boudec, Ashot Minasyan, Vladimir Pestov, John Roe, and Romain Tessera, for useful and pleasant discussions and email exchanges.

The first-named author is grateful to the Swiss National Science Foundation for financial support, as well as to the Section de mathématiques de l'Université de Genève and the Chaire EGG de l'École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, where part of this work was done.

Chapter 2

Basic properties

It is convenient to agree on the following **standing assumptions, notation, and abbreviations**:

(A1) Topological spaces and in particular topological groups appearing below are assumed to be **Hausdorff**, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

(A2) We denote by $\mathbf{N}$ the set of natural numbers $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, including 0,

$\mathbf{Z}$ the ring of rational integers,

$\mathbf{R}$ the field of real numbers,

$\mathbf{R}_+$ the subset of non-negative real numbers,

$\mathbf{R}_+^\times$ the group of positive real numbers,

$\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$ the union $\mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$,

$\mathbf{C}$ the field of complex numbers,

$\mathbf{Z}_p$ the ring of p-adic integers,

$\mathbf{Q}_p = \mathbf{Z}_p[\frac{1}{p}]$ the field of p-adic numbers.

If R is a commutative ring with unit, $R^\times$ stands for its multiplicative group of units. For example, $\mathbf{R}^\times = \mathbf{R} \smallsetminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times = \mathbf{Z}_p \smallsetminus p\mathbf{Z}_p$.

(A3) For subsets S, T of a group G,

$$ST \;=\; \{g \in G \mid g = st \;\text{ for some }\; s \in S, t \in T\}.$$

For $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, define S^n by $S^0 = \{1\}$, $S^1 = S$, $S^2 = SS$, $S^{n+1} = S^n S$ for $n \geq 2$, $S^{-1} = \{g \in G \mid g^{-1} \in S\}$, and $S^{-n} = (S^{-1})^n$ for $n \geq 2$.

(A4) Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ be properties of topological groups. A group G is

$$\mathcal{P}\text{-by-}\mathcal{R}$$

if it has a closed normal subgroup N with Property $\mathcal{P}$ such that the quotient group G/N has Property $\mathcal{R}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is the property of being finite, an $\mathcal{F}$-by-$\mathcal{R}$ group is also called a virtually $\mathcal{R}$ group.

(A5) We use the shorthand **LC-group** for "locally compact topological group". Similarly for **LC-space**.

Moreover, we wish to insist from the start on our use of the words "metric" and "action":

- On a topological space X, a **metric** d need be neither continuous nor proper; in particular, d need not define the topology of X (see Remark 2.A.8(1)).
- We will never use "metric" as a shorthand for "Riemannian metric".
- An LC-group with an adapted metric (as defined in 4.A.1) need not be metrizable (Remark 4.A.3).
- On a topological space or a metric space, an **action** of a topological group need not be continuous (Remark 4.C.3).

2.A A reminder on topological spaces and pseudo-metric spaces

Before dealing with groups, we recall some basic facts in the larger context of spaces.

Definition 2.A.1. A topological space is **locally compact** if every of its points has a compact neighbourhood, **σ-compact** if it is a countable union of compact subspaces, **first-countable** if every of its points has a countable basis of open neighbourhoods, **second-countable** if its topology has a countable basis of open sets, and **separable** if it contains a countable dense subset.

A subset of a topological space is **relatively compact** if its closure is compact.

Remark 2.A.2. (1) In this book, σ-compact spaces *need not* be locally compact (contrary to the convention in some books or articles, such as [BTG1-4] and [Dugu–66]).

Similarly, our compactly generated groups *need not* be locally compact. See Definition 2.C.1 and Digression 2.C.17 below.

(2) An LC-space X is σ-compact if and only if there exists a countable family $(K_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of compact subspaces whose *interiors* cover X. One may add the requirement that $K_n \subset \mathrm{int}(K_{n+1})$ for all $n \geq 0$ [BTG1-4, Page I.68].

(3) Though most LC-groups occurring in this book are σ-compact, some groups of interest in geometry are not.

One example is the discrete group $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (\mathbf{R}/\pi\mathbf{Q})$, where $\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}$ denotes a tensor product of $\mathbf{Z}$-modules; this group is the receptacle of the Dehn-Sydler invariant, in the theory of equidecomposition of polyhedra in Euclidean 3-space (see the expositions in [Bolt–78] and [Cart–86]).

Connected Lie groups endowed with the discrete topology appear in the theory of foliations (among other places); see for example [Haef–73] and [Miln–83].

For other examples of LC-groups that are not σ-compact, see Examples 2.B.8 and 8.B.7(1), and Corollary 2.E.7(1).

(4) A second-countable topological space is first-countable. An uncountable discrete space is first-countable but is not second-countable.

(5) A second-countable space is always separable, but the converse does not hold (even for compact groups, as Example 2.A.15 shows).

(6) Though most LC-groups occurring in this book are second-countable, some are not: see Examples 2.A.14, 2.A.15, and Remark 2.C.11.

It is convenient to assume that LC-groups are second-countable in several occasions, e.g. in ergodic theory (see e.g. [Zimm–84]) on in the theory of unitary representations (reduction theory, direct integrals, see [Dixm–69, Section 18.7]).

(7) For a discrete space, the following four properties are equivalent: X is σ-compact, X is second-countable, X is separable, X is **countable**.

In this book, a countable set can be either finite or infinite.

Definition 2.A.3. If X, Y are topological spaces, a map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ (not necessarily continuous) is **proper** if $f^{-1}(L)$ is relatively compact in X for every compact subspace L of Y.

The adjective **proper** is used in several other situations: for pseudo-metrics and for metric spaces (2.A.7 below), for actions (4.C.1), and for subsets (a subset A of a set X is proper if the complement $X \smallsetminus A$ is non-empty).

Example 2.A.4. In the situation of Definition 2.A.3, $f^{-1}(L)$ need not be closed, because f is not necessarily continuous.

An example of a proper non-continuous map is that of the **floor function $\mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$**, mapping $x \in \mathbf{R}$ to the largest integer $\lfloor x \rfloor \in \mathbf{Z}$ not greater than x.

Definition 2.A.5. A **pseudo-metric** on a set X is a map $d : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $d(x, x) = 0$, $d(x', x) = d(x, x')$, and $d(x, x'') \leq d(x, x') + d(x', x'')$ for all $x, x', x'' \in X$. An **écart** on X is a map on $X \times X$ with values in $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+ = [0, \infty]$, that formally satisfies the same identities and inequalities (in this text, this will only appear in § 6.C).

A **pseudo-metric space** is a pair (X, d) of a set X and a pseudo-metric d on X. If convenient, we write d_X for d. Whenever possible, we write X rather than (X, d) or (X, d_X). For $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$, we define

$$d_X(x, A) \;=\; \inf\{d_X(x, a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

Note that $d_X(x, \emptyset) = \infty$, in agreement with the natural convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. The **closed ball** of radius $r > 0$ and centre $x \in X$ is

$$B_X^x(r) \;=\; \{y \in X \mid d_X(x, y) \leq r\}.$$

Open balls are defined similarly, with the strict inequality $d_X(x, y) < r$. The **diameter** of a subset Y of a pseudo-metric space (X, d_X) is $\operatorname{diam}(Y) = \sup_{y,y' \in Y} d_X(y, y')$.

A **metric** on a set X is a pseudo-metric d such that $d(x, x') = 0$ implies $x = x'$. A **metric space** is a pair (X, d) of a set X and a metric d on X. Often, X stands for (X, d).

A metric space is canonically a topological space, with open sets defined to be unions of open balls. On a topological space, a metric is **compatible** if it defines the original topology. A topological space X is **metrizable** if it has a compatible metric, and **completely metrizable** if it has a compatible metric for which it is a complete metric space. A topological space is **Polish** if it is completely metrizable and separable.

Remark 2.A.6. In compliance with the convention to only allow Hausdorff topological spaces (see (A1) Page 19), we do not consider any topology associated to a pseudo-metric space.

Definition 2.A.7. On a topological space X, a pseudo-metric d is

(1) **proper** if balls with respect to d are relatively compact, equivalently if the map $X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, $x \longmapsto d(x_0, x)$ is proper for all $x_0 \in X$,
(2) **locally bounded** if every point in X has a neighbourhood of finite diameter,
(3) **continuous** if the map $d : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is continuous.

(Note that the map $X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, $(x, y) \longmapsto d(x, y)$ is proper if and only if X is compact.)

A metric space (X, d) is **proper** if its subsets of finite diameter are relatively compact. Observe that this holds if and only if, on the associated topological space, the metric d is proper.

Remark 2.A.8. (1) In this book,

- **metrics on topological spaces need neither be continuous nor proper;** in particular, **metrics need not be compatible.**

On $\mathbf{R}$, the metric $d_{[-1,1]} : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, which assigns to (x, y) the smallest integer $\lceil y - x \rceil$ greater or equal to $|y - x|$, is proper and not continuous. The subscript $[-1, 1]$ indicates that it is a *word metric*, see Definition 4.B.2.

Let (X, d) be a metric space; assume that X is not compact. Then the metric $(x, y) \longmapsto \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)}$ is compatible (in particular continuous) and non-proper.

(2) Let X be a topological space, d a metric on X, and X_d the underlying set of X together with the topology defined by d. Then d is continuous on X if and only if the identity map viewed as a map $X \longrightarrow X_d$ is continuous. This *does not* imply that d is compatible, in other words it does not imply that this map is a homeomorphism, as shown by the case of the left-closed right-open interval $X = [0, 1[\subset \mathbf{R}$ and the continuous metric d defined on it by $d(x, x') = |e^{2i\pi x} - e^{2i\pi x'}|$.

The situation for morphisms of σ-compact LC-*groups* is different. See Corollaries 2.D.6 and 2.D.7.

(3) Let X be a topological space;

- **for a proper metric d on X, the metric space (X, d) need not be proper.**

Consider for example the compact topological space $X = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, the non-compact topological space $Y = (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}) \times \mathbf{R}$, a compatible metric d_Y on Y that is non-proper (for example a bounded metric), a bijection $\varphi : X \longrightarrow Y$ (for example an isomorphism of abelian groups), and the pullback metric $d_X = \varphi^*(d_Y)$ on X. The metric d_X on X is proper (because X is compact), but the metric space (X, d_X) is not proper (because it is isometric to (Y, d_Y)).

(4) On a topological space, even on a locally compact one, a metric need not be locally bounded, as shown by the metric defined on $\mathbf{R}$ by $(x, y) \longmapsto |\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)|$, where γ is a non-continuous group automorphism of $\mathbf{R}$. Indeed, there exists on $\mathbf{R}$ a *proper* non-continuous metric that is not locally bounded (Remark 4.B.3(6)).

On a topological space X, a continuous pseudo-metric d is necessarily locally bounded. The word metric $d_{[-1,1]}$ on $\mathbf{R}$, as in (1) above, is locally bounded and is not continuous.

(5) Let X a topological space and d a pseudo-metric on X. Suppose that d is locally bounded. Then every compact subset of X has a finite diameter; and so does every relatively compact subset of X.

When X is locally compact, the converse holds: if every compact subset of X has finite diameter, then d is locally bounded. For an LC-space X, Definition 2.A.7(2) can therefore be reformulated as :

> A pseudo-metric d on X is **locally bounded** if every compat subset of X is bounded with respect to d.

(6) A topological space on which there exists a proper locally bounded pseudo-metric is necessarily locally compact and σ-compact. A fortiori, a proper metric space is locally compact and σ-compact.

Conversely, there exists a compatible proper metric on every σ-compact locally compact space [Vaug–37] (see also [WiJa–87, Theorem 1]).

(7) A metric space is always first-countable, but need not be second-countable.

A first-countable compact space need not be separable, hence need not be metrizable [Kell–55, Chapter V, Problem J].

However a topological group is first-countable if and only if it is metrizable (by the Birkhoff-Kakutani Theorem, 2.B.2 below).

Proposition 2.A.9. *On a locally compact space, a proper continuous metric is compatible.*

Proof. Let X be a locally compact space, d a proper continuous metric on X, and X_d the space X together with the topology defined by d. The identity mapping provides a map $j : X \longrightarrow X_d$ which is continuous, because d is continuous. It remains to show that, for every closed subset F of X, its image in X_d is also closed.

Consider a point $x \in X$ and a sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of points in F such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$. We have to show that $x \in F$. Since d is proper, there exists a compact subset of X that contains x_n for all $n \geq 0$. Upon replacing $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ by a subsequence, we may assume that $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ converges in X towards some point $y \in F$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, y) = 0$, we have $y = x$. Hence F is closed in X_d. □

About continuous and compatible metrics on *groups*, see also Corollary 2.D.7.

Theorem 2.A.10 (Second-countable LC-spaces)**.** *For a locally compact space X, the following properties are equivalent:*

(i) X is second-countable;
(ii) X is metrizable and σ-compact;
(iii) X is metrizable and separable;
(iv) X is Polish;
(v) the topology of X can be defined by a proper metric.

On the proof. A second-countable LC-space is obviously σ-compact. It is metrizable by a particular case of **Urysohn's metrization theorem**: *every second-countable regular space is metrizable.* For this and other implications, see e.g. [BTG5-10, Page IX.21] or [Kech–95, Theorem 5.3]. □

The next proposition answers questions concerning properties of closed subspaces, quotient spaces, and products. We first recall a standard terminology.

Definition 2.A.11. Let $\mathscr{R}$ be an equivalence relation on a topological space X; let $X/\mathscr{R}$ denote the quotient space.

The relation $\mathscr{R}$ is **open** if the canonical projection $X \longrightarrow X/\mathscr{R}$ is open, equivalently if the $\mathscr{R}$-closure of every open subset in X is open.

The relation $\mathscr{R}$ is **Hausdorff** if $X/\mathscr{R}$ is Hausdorff. When $\mathscr{R}$ is open, then $\mathscr{R}$ is Hausdorff if and only if the graph of $\mathscr{R}$ is closed in $X \times X$ [BTG1-4, Page I.55]).

Note. If G is a topological group and H a *closed* subgroup, the relation $\mathscr{R}$ defined on G by $g_1 \mathscr{R} g_2$ if $g_1^{-1} g_2 \in H$ is *open* and Hausdorff. In this case, $G/\mathscr{R}$ is rather written G/H; the natural projection from G onto G/H need not be closed.

For 2.A.12, we consider the following properties of topological spaces:

(a) local compactness,
(b) metrizability,
(c) first countability,
(d) σ-compactness,
(e) second countability,
(f) separability.

Proposition 2.A.12 (hereditary properties)**.** *Let X be a topological space, and Y a subspace.*

(1) Assume that X is locally compact (a). Then Y is locally compact if and only if there exist in X a closed subset C and an open subset U such that $Y = C \cap U$.
(2) If X has one of Properties (b), (c) or (e), then Y has the same property.
(3) If X is locally compact (a) and σ-compact (d), closed subspaces of X have the same properties, but open subspaces need not have.
(4) Assume that X is separable (f). Open subspaces of X are separable, but closed subsets need not be.

Let $\mathscr{R}$ be an open Hausdorff equivalence relation on X.

(5) If X has one of Properties (a), (c), (d), (e), (f), then $X/\mathscr{R}$ has the same property. This does not *carry over to (b).*

Let I be a set, $(X_i)_{i\in I}$ a collection of topological spaces, each of them containing at least two points, and $X = \prod_{i\in I} X_i$ their product, with the product topology.

(6) X has one of the properties (a), (d) if and only if all the X_i 's have this property and all but possibly finitely many of them are compact.
(7) X has one of the properties (b), (c), (e) if and only if all the X_i 's have this property and I is countable.
(8) X is separable (f) if and only if all the X_i 's are separable and the cardinality of I is at most that of the continuum.

On proofs and references. Most of the claims are straightforward. For (1), see for example [Enge–89, Corollary 3.3.10]. For (4), see [Dugu–66, Section VIII.7]. For an example of a non-separable subspace of a separable space, consider the topological space E_u^1 with underlying set $\mathbf{R}$ and the so-called upper limit topology, for which intervals of the form $]a, b]$ constitute a basis, its square product, and the uncountable discrete subset of pairs $(x, -x)$ in $E_u^1 \times E_u^1$ with x irrational.

Concerning (5), see [Enge–89, Theorem 3.3.15] for (a) and [Enge–89, Example 4.4.10] for (b).

Concerning (6), see [Enge–89, Theorem 3.3.13] for (a). Here is a simple argument for (d). Assume that I contains an infinite subset J with X_j non-compact for all $j \in J$. Let $p_j : X \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow X_j$ denote the canonical projection. Let $(C_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a countable family of compact subsets of X. For each $j \in J$ and $n \geq 1$, there exists a point $x_j \in X_j \smallsetminus p_j(C_n)$. For each $i \in I \smallsetminus J$, choose $x_i \in X_i$. Then $(x_i)_{i\in I} \notin \bigcup_{n\geq 1} C_n$. Hence X is not σ-compact.

Concerning (7), see [Enge–89, Theorem 2.3.14] for (c) and (e), and [Enge–89, Theorem 4.2.2] for (b). In the particular case of a product space F^A, with F finite of cardinality at least 2 and A uncountable, here is an easy argument to show that F^A is not second-countable. If it were, every subspace would be separable. But the space $F^{(A)}$ of finitely supported functions from A to F is not separable: every countable subset of $F^{(A)}$ is contained in the proper closed subspace F^B of F^A, for some countable subset B of A.

For (8), see [Enge–89, Corollary 2.3.16], as well as [RoSt–64]. □

Note that, in the particular case of a product space F^A, with F a finite set of cardinality at least 2 an A a set, the following four properties are equivalent: (i) F^A is first-countable, (ii) F^A is second-countable, (iii) F^A is metrizable, (iv) A is countable.

Example 2.A.13 (metric graphs)**.** Let X be a connected graph, i.e. a connected 1-dimensional CW-complex. There is a natural **combinatorial metric** d_1 on X, for which every edge with distinct endpoints (resp. every loop) is isometric to a real interval of length 1 (resp. a circle of perimeter 1), and for which the distance between two points is the minimum of the lengths of arcs connecting these two points; see for example [BrHa–99, Section I.1.9]. The metric space (X, d_1) is the **metric realization** of X. Note that standard books of algebraic topology consider

other metrics on X, which are not proper in general; see for example Pages 111 and 119 in [Span–66].

Recall that a graph X is **locally finite** if, for every vertex x of X (i.e. for every point x in the 0-skeleton of X), the number n_x of closed 1-cells (i.e. of edges) of X containing x is finite. The following four conditions are equivalent: (i) the graph X is locally finite, (ii) the metric space (X, d_1) is proper, (iii) the topology defined on X by d_1 coincides with the "weak topology" of the CW-complex, (iv) the vertex set X^0 of X, viewed as a subspace of the metric space (X, d_1), is locally finite in the sense of Definition 3.D.1 below, i.e. balls in X^0 with respect to d_1 are finite.

A graph X has **bounded valency** if there is a positive constant c such that, with the notation above, $n_x \leq c$ for every vertex x of X, equivalently if the vertex set of X, as a subspace of (X, d_1), is uniformly locally finite in the sense of Definition 3.D.1.

Given a constant $c > 0$, there is a variation (X, d_c) of this construction in which edges [respectively loops] are isometric to a real interval of length c [resp. to a circle of perimeter c]; this is used in 3.B.4.

There is a 2-dimensional analogue of this example in Proposition 6.B.5.

Example 2.A.14 (σ-compactness and metrizability)**.** Metrizability and σ-compactness are independent properties. Indeed, let M be a discrete group and A a set. The LC-group $M \times (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^A$ is σ-compact if and only if M is countable, and metrizable if and only if A is countable.

It follows that $M \times (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^A$ is second-countable (i.e. both σ-compact and metrizable) if and only if both M and A are countable.

Note however that a topological space that has a *proper* compatible metric is σ-compact, and therefore second-countable by Theorem 2.A.10.

Example 2.A.15 (separable and not second-countable)**.** A second-countable LC-space is separable (Remark 2.A.2(5)) and σ-compact (Theorem 2.A.10), but the converse implications does not hold.

Indeed, consider a finite set F of cardinality at least 2 and an uncountable set A of cardinality at most that of the continuum. By Proposition 2.A.12, the product space F^A is compact, separable, not first-countable, and a fortiori not second-countable. Note that F^A is naturally a compact group if F is a finite group.

Recall however the following: a *metrizable* topological space is second-countable if and only if it is separable (Theorem 2.A.10).

We come back on second-countable LC-groups and σ-compact LC-groups in Remark 2.C.11.

Definition 2.A.16. A topological space X is a **Baire space** if every countable intersection of dense open subsets of X is dense in X.

In particular, a **Baire group** is a topological group that is a Baire space.

Theorem 2.A.17 (Baire)**.** *(1) Every LC-space is a Baire space.*
(2) Every completely metrizable topological space is a Baire space.

Proof. See [BTG5-10, Page IX.55]. □

Remark 2.A.18. It follows from Baire Theorem that a non-discrete LC-space (a fortiori a non-discrete LC-group) is uncountable.

2.B Metrizability for topological groups and σ-compact LC-groups

There are two natural questions concerning a compatible metric d on a topological *space* X: is the metric space (X, d) complete ? is it proper ? If d is a compatible metric on a topological *group* G, there is one more question: is d left-invariant ? Some remarks are in order.

Remark 2.B.1 (on metrizability for topological groups and LC-groups)**.** Let G be a topological group.

(1) Theorem 2.B.2 below shows that, if G is **metrizable** in the sense of Definition 2.A.5, i.e. if G admits a compatible metric, then G admits a *left-invariant* compatible metric.

(2) If G is completely metrizable, even if G is a Polish group, then G need not have any complete left-invariant compatible metric; see Example 5.B.8.

An interesting class of Polish groups is that of cli-groups. A **cli-group** is a Polish group which has a compatible metric that is both complete and left-invariant. Metrizable LC-groups are cli. See [Beck–98], and in particular his Proposition 3.C.2(d), on Polish groups that are cli.

(3) In a topological group G, a sequence $(g_m)_{m\geq 1}$ is a **left Cauchy sequence** if, for every neighbourhood U of 1 in G, there exists $\ell \geq 1$ such that $g_n^{-1} g_m \in U$ when $m, n \geq \ell$.

When G has a left-invariant compatible metric d, a sequence $(g_m)_{m\geq 1}$ in G is a left Cauchy sequence in this sense if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence for the metric space (G, d). It follows that two left-invariant compatible metrics on G have the same left Cauchy sequences.

(4) On an LC-group G, every left-invariant compatible metric d is complete.

To check this, consider a compact neighbourhood K of 1 in G and a Cauchy sequence $(g_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in G with respect to d. Since $\lim_{\min\{m,n\}\to\infty} d(1, g_m^{-1} g_n) = 0$, there exists an integer n_0 such that $g_m^{-1} g_n \in K$ whenever $m, n \geq n_0$, in particular such that g_n is in the compact subset $g_{n_0} K$ for all $n \geq n_0$. It follows that $(g_n)_{n\geq 0}$ has a limit in G.

(5) Let G be an LC-group and d a left-invariant compatible metric on G. Balls with respect to d with sufficiently small radius are relatively compact, but large balls need not be (unless d is proper).

(6) Let G be a Baire group. If G is σ-compact, in particular if G is compactly generated, then G is locally compact.

To check this, consider a sequence $(K_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of compact subspaces of G such that $G = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} K_n$. Since G is Baire, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that K_n has an

interior point. We conclude by observing that a group that contains one point with a compact neighbourhood is locally compact.

The three next Theorems (2.B.2, 2.B.4, 2.B.6) are basic criteria of metrizabiity for LC-groups.

Theorem 2.B.2 (Birkhoff-Kakutani)**.** *For a topological group G, the following three properties are equivalent:*

(i) G is first-countable;
(ii) G is metrizable;
(iii) there exists a left-invariant compatible metric on G.

The original articles are [Birk–36] and [Kaku–36]. Related references include [MoZi–55, Theorem of 1.22, Page 34], [HeRo–63, Theorem 8.5], and [BTG5-10, Page IX.23].

Our proofs of Theorem 2.B.2 and of its companion result, Theorem 2.B.4, follow Lemma 2.B.5.

Remark 2.B.3. (1) Recall that an LC-group need not be first-countable (Example 2.A.14).

(2) Any LC-group has a left-invariant continuous *pseudo*-metric with balls of radius 0 compact; see Corollary 4.A.4 below.

Theorem 2.B.4 (Struble)**.** *For an LC-group G, the following three properties are equivalent:*

(i) G is second-countable;
(ii) G is σ-compact and first-countable;
(iii) there exists a left-invariant proper compatible metric on G.

The original article [Stru–74] is an adaptation of [Birk–36, Kaku–36]. On an LC-group that has the properties of Theorem 2.B.4, two left-invariant proper compatible metrics are "coarsely equivalent"; see Corollary 4.A.6(2).

Lemma 2.B.5. *Let G be a topological group and $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$ a sequence of subsets of G such that $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} K_n = G$, with K_n symmetric containing 1 for all n. We assume moreover that $K_nK_nK_n \subset K_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, and that K_m has non-empty interior for some m. Define $d : G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ by*

$$d(g,h) \;=\; \inf\left\{ t \in \mathbf{R}_+ \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \exists\ n_1,\dots,n_k \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ and } w_1 \in K_{n_1},\dots,w_k \in K_{n_k} \\ \text{with } g^{-1}h = w_1\cdots w_k \text{ and } t = 2^{n_1}+\cdots+2^{n_k} \end{array} \right\}$$

and set $|g| = d(1,g)$. Then:

(1) d is a left-invariant pseudo-metric on G for which every compact subset has a finite diameter;
(2) if each K_n is a neighbourhood of 1, then d is continuous;
(3) for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $|g| < 2^n$ implies $g \in K_n$;

(4) $\{g \in G \mid d(1,g) = 0\} = \bigcap_{n \leq 0} K_n$*; in particular, if* $\bigcap_{n \leq 0} K_n = \{1\}$*, then* d *is a metric.*

Note: instead of "such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} K_n = G$", one could equivalently write "such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} K_n$ generates G"; the point is to ensure that $d(g,h)$ is finite for every pair (g,h).

Proof. (1) It is obvious that d is a left-invariant pseudo-metric on G. Let $L \subset G$ be a compact set. Since the interior $\mathrm{int}(K_m)$ of K_m is non-empty, K_{m+1} is a neighbourhood of 1, so that $L \subset \bigcup_{\ell \in L} \ell\, \mathrm{int}(K_{m+1})$, and L is covered by finitely many translates of K_{m+1}. It follows that $\sup_{\ell,\ell' \in L} d(\ell,\ell') < \infty$.

(2) Let $g, h \in G$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $n \geq 0$ be such that $2^{-n} \leq \varepsilon/2$. Since K_{-n} is a neighbourhood of 1, for x and y in G near enough g and h respectively, we have $g \in xK_{-n}$, $x \in gK_{-n}$, $h \in yK_{-n}$, and $y \in hK_{-n}$. Then

$$d(x,y) \;\leq\; d(1, x^{-1}g) + d(1, g^{-1}h) + d(1, h^{-1}y) \;\leq\; d(g,h) + \varepsilon$$

and similarly $d(g,h) \leq d(x,y) + \varepsilon$. It follows that d is continuous.

(3) Let us spell out what has to be proven as follows:

Claim: let $w \in G$*,* $n \in \mathbf{Z}$*,* $k \geq 0$*,* $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbf{Z}$*, and* $v_1 \in K_{n_1}, \ldots, v_k \in K_{n_k}$*, with*
$(*)$ $w = v_1 \cdots v_k$ and $2^{n_1} + \cdots + 2^{n_k} < 2^n$;
then $w \in K_n$*.*
(Observe that the hypothesis of the claim holds precisely when $|w| < 2^n$.)

If $k = 0$, then $w = 1$ and there is nothing to show. If $k = 1$, we have $n_1 < n$ by $(*)$, so that $w \in K_{n-1} \subset K_n$. If $k = 2$, we have $n_1, n_2 < n$ by $(*)$, so that $w \in K_{n-1}K_{n-1} \subset K_n$. We continue by induction on k, assuming from now on that $k \geq 3$ and that the claim has been shown up to $k-1$ (this for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ altogether). We isolate part of the argument as:

Subclaim: there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ *such that* $w = w_1 v_j w_2$*, where* $w_1 = v_1 \cdots v_{j-1}$ *and* $w_2 = v_{j+1} \cdots v_k$ *satisfy*
$(**)$ $|w_1|, |w_2| < 2^{n-1}$.
(Here, we think of w as a word in k letters.)

If there is one $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ with $n_j = n - 1$, this j is necessarily unique by $(*)$, so that we can write $w = w_1 v_j w_2$, where each of w_1, w_2 is a product similar to that of $(*)$ for a smaller value of k and for $n-1$, and the subclaim follows.

Otherwise, we have $n_i \leq n - 2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Let ℓ be the largest of those i for which $2^{n_1} + \cdots + 2^{n_i} < 2^{n-1}$. If $\ell = k$, it is enough to set $j = 2$. If $\ell < k$, set $j = \ell + 1$, so that $w = w_1 v_j w_2$, with w_1, w_2 as in the subclaim. On the one hand, $|w_1| < 2^{n-1}$ by definition of ℓ; on the other hand, $2^{n_1} + \cdots + 2^{n_\ell} \geq 2^{n-1}$ and therefore $|w_2| < 2^{n-1}$ by $(*)$. This ends the proof of the subclaim.

We can resume the proof of the claim (in the situation with $k \geq 3$). Since w_1, w_2 are products similar to that of $(*)$ for a smaller value of k and for $n-1$, we have $w_1, w_2 \in K_{n-1}$ by the induction hypothesis, so that $w \in K_{n-1}K_{n-1}K_{n-1} \subset K_n$.

(4) This is a straightforward consequence of (3). ∎

Proof of the Birkhoff-Kakutani theorem 2.B.2. The implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) are straightforward.

Let us assume that (i) holds, i.e. that there exists in the topological group G a countable basis $(V_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of neighbourhoods of 1, and let us show that (iii) holds, i.e. that there exists a left-invariant compatible metric on G. For $n \geq 0$, set $K_n = G$. For $n \geq 1$, choose inductively a symmetric neighbourhood K_{-n} of 1 such that $K_{-n} \subset V_n$ and $K_{-n}K_{-n}K_{-n} \subset K_{-n+1}$. Let d be defined as in Lemma 2.B.5. Then d is a metric on G, by (4) of this lemma, that is continuous, by (2), and indeed compatible with the topology of G, by (3); that is to say, d is a left-invariant compatible metric on G, as in (iii) of Theorem 2.B.2. □

Proof of the Struble theorem 2.B.4. In view of Theorem 2.A.10, the implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) are straightforward.

Let us assume that (ii) holds. Thus, there exists a sequence $(L_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of symmetric compact subsets of G containing 1 such that $G = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} L_n$, and also a countable basis $(V_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of neighbourhoods of 1, with V_0 relatively compact. For $n \geq 0$, define inductively a symmetric compact subset K_n of G by $K_0 = L_0 \cup \overline{V_0}$ and $K_{n+1} = L_{n+1} \cup K_nK_nK_n$. For $n \geq 1$, choose inductively a sequence $K_{-n} \subset V_n$ of symmetric neighbourhoods of 1 such that $K_{-n} \subset V_n$ and $K_{-n}K_{-n}K_{-n} \subset K_{-n+1}$, as in the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) of Theorem 2.B.2. Observe that $K_nK_nK_n \subset K_{n+1}$ holds for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. The metric defined in Lemma 2.B.5 is now moreover proper, by (3) of this lemma. Thus (iii) of the theorem holds. □

Theorem 2.B.6 (Kakutani-Kodaira)**.** *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group. For every sequence $(U_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of neighbourhoods of 1 in G, there exists a compact normal subgroup K of G contained in $\bigcap_{n\geq 0} U_n$ such that G/K is metrizable.*

In particular, every σ-compact LC-group is compact-by-metrizable.

The original article is [KaKo–44]. The argument below, for σ-compact groups, is that of [HeRo–63, Theorem 8.7], stated there for compactly generated groups. A convenient reference is [Comf–84, Page 1166].

Remark 2.B.7. (1) Let G be a non-metrizable σ-compact LC-group, and K a compact normal subgroup of G such that G/K is metrizable. It follows from Proposition 2.C.8(2) below (case of (b)) that K is non-metrizable.

In loose words, in a σ-compact LC-group G, the existence of non-metrizable compact normal subgroups is the only obstruction to the non-metrizability of G.

(2) The conclusion of the previous theorem can equally be phrased as "such that G/K is second-countable"; see Theorem 2.A.10. Thus, every σ-compact LC-group is compact-by-(second-countable).

(3) The inclusions

$$\begin{aligned}\{\sigma\text{-compact LC-groups}\} &\subset \{\text{compact-by-metrizable LC-groups}\}\\ &\subset \{\text{LC-groups}\}\end{aligned}$$

are strict. Concerning the first inclusion, note that uncountable discrete groups are metrizable and are not σ-compact. Concerning the second, Example 2.B.8 shows

that LC-groups need not be compact-by-metrizable, indeed need not be (σ-compact)-by-metrizable. In particular, an LC-group does contain compactly generated open subgroups (see Proposition 2.C.3), but need not contain a *normal* σ-compact open subgroup, i.e. need not be (σ-compact)-by-discrete.

Proof of Theorem 2.B.6. By hypothesis, there exists a sequence $(L_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of compact subsets of G such that $1 \in L_n \subset L_{n+1}$ for each n and $G = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} L_n$. For $n \geq 0$, set $K_n = G$. For $n \geq 1$, define inductively a symmetric compact neighbourhood K_{-n} of 1 as follows.

Suppose that $n \geq 0$ and that $K_0, \ldots, K_{-n}$ have been defined. Observe that the map

$$L_n \times G \longrightarrow G, \quad (\lambda, \kappa) \longmapsto \lambda\kappa\lambda^{-1}$$

is continuous, and its value is identically 1 on $L_n \times \{1\}$. By continuity, there exists for all $\lambda \in L_n$ an open neighbourhood V_λ of λ and a compact neighbourhood W_λ of 1 such that $\ell k \ell^{-1} \in K_{-n}$ for all $\ell \in V_\lambda$ and $k \in W_\lambda$. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_j \in L_n$ be such that $L_n \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} V_{\lambda_j}$. Set $K_{-n-1} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{j} W_{\lambda_j}$.

Then $\ell k \ell^{-1} \in K_{-n}$ for all $\ell \in L_n$ and $k \in K_{-n-1}$. Upon replacing K_{-n-1} by a smaller symmetric compact neighbourhood of 1, we can assume moreover that $(K_{-n-1})^3 \subset K_{-n}$ and $K_{-n-1} \subset U_n$.

Define $K = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} K_{-n}$. Then K is clearly a closed subgroup of G contained in $\bigcap_{n\geq 0} U_n$. We claim that K is normal in G. Indeed, let $g \in G$. There exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $g \in L_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Thus, for $n \geq n_0$, we have $gK_{-n-1}g^{-1} \subset K_{-n} \subset K_{-n_0}$, and a fortiori $gKg^{-1} \subset K_{-n}$. It follows that $gKg^{-1} \subset \bigcap_{n\geq n_0} K_{-n} = K$.

By Lemma 2.B.5, there exists a left-invariant proper continuous pseudo-metric d on G, such that $d(1, g) = 0$ if and only if $g \in K$. This induces a left-invariant proper compatible metric on G/K. □

There are metrics with extra properties on LC-groups with extra conditions. We will come back on this in later chapters, in particular in Propositions 4.A.2 and 4.B.8.

Example 2.B.8 (a topologically simple LC-group that is not σ-compact)**.** For a set X, denote by $\mathrm{Sym}(X)$ the group of all permutations of X, and by $\mathrm{Sym}_f(X)$ its subgroup of permutations with finite support. Let I be an infinite set and J a finite set; assume that $|J| \geq 5$, so that $\mathrm{Alt}(J)$ is a simple group. Consider the compact group

$$K = \big\{g \in \mathrm{Sym}(I \times J) \mid g(i, j) \in \{i\} \times J \text{ for all } i \in I\big\} \simeq (\mathrm{Sym}(J))^I,$$

where $\simeq$ indicates an isomorphism of groups, with the product topology $\mathcal{T}_K$ on $(\mathrm{Sym}(J))^I$. Let G be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(I \times J)$ generated by $K \cup \mathrm{Sym}_f(I \times J)$. There exists on G a topology $\mathcal{T}_G$ such that:

(1) G is a topological group, in which K is open,

(2) the restriction of $\mathcal{T}_G$ to K coincides with the topology $\mathcal{T}_K$,

(3) G is topologically simple;

this is a result of [CaCo–14, Section 5], building on [AkGW–08]. Observe that (1) implies that G is locally compact.

Assume moreover that the set I is not countable. Then G is not metrizable, because K is not, and not σ-compact, for any of the following two reasons. A first reason is that it cannot be σ-compact, by the Kakutani-Kodaira theorem 2.B.6. To indicate a second reason, we anticipate on Section 2.C. The natural action of G on $I \times J$ is transitive, because G contains $\mathrm{Sym}_f(I \times J)$, and continuous, because the stabilizer in G of every point (i_0, j_0) in $I \times J$ is open (this stabilizer contains the compact open subgroup $(\mathrm{Sym}(J))^{I \smallsetminus \{i_0\}}$ of K). Hence the index of K in G is at least the cardinal of $I \times J$. If I is not countable, G is not σ-compact by Corollary 2.C.6(1).

Example 2.B.9 ((pseudo-)metrics on $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ and related groups)**.** Let n be a positive integer; denote by $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{R})$ the algebra of n-by-n real matrices. A norm on the underlying real vector space defines a compatible metric d on $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{R})$. Since the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R}) = \{g \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{R}) \mid g \text{ invertible}\}$ is open in $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{R})$, the restriction of d to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ is also a compatible metric; but it is not left-invariant. It follows from Theorem 2.B.2 that $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ and its subgroups have left-invariant metrics; but writing down explicitly some of these is not immediate.

(1) Denote by $G = \mathrm{GL}_n^+(\mathbf{R})$ the unit component $\{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R}) \mid \det(g) > 0\}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, and by $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. A scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}$ defines uniquely a left-invariant Riemannian metric on G. The associated metric on G is a proper compatible metric (see Example 4.C.9). This carries over to every connected real Lie group G.

(2) Let $\mathrm{P}_n(\mathbf{R})$ be the space of positive-definite symmetric matrices in $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{R})$. Denote by ${}^t g$ the transpose matrix of $g \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{R})$. There is a natural action

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathrm{P}_n(\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{P}_n(\mathbf{R}), \quad (g, p) \longmapsto g p {}^t g$$

that is smooth, transitive, and induced a faithful action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})/\{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ on $\mathrm{P}_n(\mathbf{R})$.

The space $\mathrm{P}_n(\mathbf{R})$ has a Riemannian metric that makes it a symmetric space, and for which $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})/\{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ acts by isometries; for this, we refer to [Most–55], or [BrHa–99, Chaper II.10]. As on any group of isometries of a proper metric space, there exists on $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})/\{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ left-invariant proper compatible metrics; see Proposition 5.B.5 below.

(3) For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, denote by $p_g \in \mathrm{P}(\mathbf{R})$ the positive square root of ${}^t g g$, and let $u_g \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ be the orthogonal matrix defined by $g = p_g u_g$. Let $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$ denote the standard scalar product on $\mathbf{R}^n$. Define the norm of a matrix $x \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{R})$ by

$$\|x| = \sup\{\sqrt{\langle x\xi \mid x\xi \rangle} \mid \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n, \ \langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, set $\nu(g) = \log(\|g\| \, \|g^{-1}\|)$; using the polar decomposition $g = p_g u_g$, it can be checked that $\nu(g) = 0$ if and only if p_g is a scalar multiple of the identity matrix.

It follows that $d : (g, h) \longmapsto \nu(g^{-1}h)$ is a left-invariant proper continuous pseudo-metric on $\mathrm{S}^{\pm}\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{R}) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R}) \mid \det(g) = \pm 1\}$. The ball of radius 0 around the origin, i.e. $\{g \in \mathrm{S}^{\pm}\mathrm{L}_n(\mathbf{R}) \mid d(1, g) = 0\}$, is the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(n)$.

(4) Other left-invariant metrics on groups of this example appear in Example 4.C.9.

Example 2.B.10 (metrics on automorphism groups of graphs)**.** Let X be a connected locally finite graph. For the topology of pointwise convergence relative to the vertex set X^0 of X, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of X is an LC-group. See for example [Trof–85], or Section 5.B; see also Example 2.E.4(3).

We define a function $d_x : \mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Aut}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, as in [Trof–85]. Choose $x \in X^0$. Recall that $B^x_{X^0}(n)$ denotes the closed ball of radius $n \in \mathbf{N}$ with respect to the combinatorial metric on X^0 (see Example 2.A.13). For $g, h \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, set

$$d_x(g,h) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ g = h. \\ 2^{-n} & \text{if } n \geq 0 \text{ is such that } \ g(y) = h(y) \ \ \forall y \in B^x_{X^0}(n) \\ & \text{and } \ g(z) \neq h(z) \ \text{ for some } \ z \in B^x_{X^0}(n+1), \\ n & \text{if } \ d_X(x, g^{-1}h(x)) = n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then d_x is a left-invariant proper compatible metric on $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Since closed balls with respect to d_x are both open and closed, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is totally disconnected.

For two points $x, y \in X^0$, it is easy to check that the metrics d_x and d_y are equivalent.

2.C Compact generation

Definition 2.C.1. A **generating set**, or a **set of generators**, for a group G, is a subset $S \subset G$ such that

$$G = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \widehat{S}^n \quad \text{where } \ \widehat{S} := S \cup S^{-1} \cup \{1\}, \tag{2.1}$$

or equivalently, such that for every $g \in G$ there exist $n \geq 0$ and $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S \cup S^{-1}$ with $g = s_1 \cdots s_n$.

To such a generating set, we associate the free group F_S over S and the canonical projection

$$\pi \ : \ F_S \longrightarrow G \tag{2.2}$$

which associates to $s \in S \subset F_S$ the element $s \in S \subset G$.

A topological group is **compactly generated** if it has a compact generating set. Recall from Remark 2.A.2 that, in this article, a compactly generated group need not be locally compact (see Digression 2.C.17).

A group is **finitely generated** if it has a finite generating set, namely if it is compactly generated as a discrete group.

Remark 2.C.2. (1) The previous definition has been phrased with the assumption that S is a subset of G. In some situations, it is more convenient not to assume this, so that a generating set for G is rather a set S given together with a surjective homomorphism $F_S \longrightarrow G$; the restriction of this surjection to S need not be injective. See Definition 7.A.1 and Remark 7.A.3.

(2) For a topological group G, the following properties are equivalent:

(i) G is locally compact and compactly generated;
(ii) there exists a relatively compact and open subset of G that is generating;
(iii) there exists a relatively compact and open neighbourhood of 1 that is generating.

The proof is straightforward (see [HeRo–63, Theorem 5.13] if necessary).

(3) Let S be a generating set of a non-countable group (for example of a non-discrete LC-group, see Remark 2.A.18). Then the cardinality of S is equal to that of G.

(4) Groups need not have minimal generating sets. For instance, in the additive group $\mathbf{Q}$ of the rationals, given a subset $S \subset \mathbf{Q}$ and an element $s \in \mathbf{Q}$, $s \notin S$, it is easy to check that $S \cup \{s\}$ is a generating set if and only if S is a generating set.

(5) Let G be an LC-group. Consider a subset S of G, the subgroup H of G generated by S, and its closure $\overline{H}$. If S is compact, then there exists a compact subset of G generating $\overline{H}$. Indeed, if $T \subset \overline{H}$ is a compact subset with non-empty interior in $\overline{H}$, then $S \cup T$ is compact and generates $\overline{H}$.

Similarly, let N be a normal subgroup of G, generated as a normal subgroup by a compact subset of G. Then $\overline{N}$ is a normal subgroup of G compactly generated as a normal subgroup of G.

In (1) below, "filtering" means that two compactly generated open subgroups of G are contained in a single larger one.

Proposition 2.C.3 (compactly generated open subgroups)**.** *Let G be an LC-group.*

(1) G is the filtering union of its compactly generated open subgroups; in particular, G contains compactly generated open subgroups.
(2) If G is connected, every neighbourhood of 1 in G is a generating set; in particular, G is compactly generated.

Suppose moreover that G is σ-compact.

(3) There exists a nested sequence

$$G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \cdots \subset G_n \subset G_{n+1} \subset \cdots$$

of compactly generated open subgroups of G such that $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} G_n = G$.

Suppose moreover that G has a compact generating set S.

(4) For n large enough, $\widehat{S}^n$ is a neighbourhood of 1.
(5) For every compact subset K of G, there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $K \subset \widehat{S}^k$.
(6) For every other compact generating set T of G, there exist $k, \ell \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $T \subset \widehat{S}^k$ and $S \subset \widehat{T}^\ell$.

Proof. (1) Any element g in G is contained in some compact neighbourhood V_g of 1 in G, and the union $\bigcup_{n\geq 0}(V_g \cup V_g^{-1})^n$ is an open subgroup of G. Claims (2) and (3) are straightforward.

(4) By Baire Theorem applied to the covering $G = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \widehat{S}^n$, there exists $m \geq 0$ such that $\widehat{S}^m$ has a non-empty interior: Hence the interior of $\widehat{S}^n$ is an open neighbourhood of 1 for every $n \geq 2m$.

(5) For each $x \in K$, there exists $k(x) \geq 0$ such that $x \in \widehat{S}^{k(x)}$, and $\widehat{S}^{k(x)}$ is open. Since K is compact, it is contained in some finite cover $\bigcup_{\ell\leq k} \widehat{S}^\ell$, i.e. in $\widehat{S}^k$. Claim (6) follows from (5). □

Remark 2.C.4. (a) Concerning Claim (1) of Proposition 2.C.3, it is shown below that an LC-group contains also open subgroups that are connected-by-compact (Corollary 2.E.7).

Connected-by-compact LC-groups are compactly generated (Proposition 5.A.1), and compactly presented (Proposition 8.A.19).

(b) There is a converse to Claim (2) of Proposition 2.C.3: an LC-group in which every neighbourhood of 1 is generating is connected [BTG1-4, Page III.36].

Incidentally, if G is a topological group in which *one* connected neighbourhood of 1 is generating, then G itself is connected. But the converse does not hold: p-adic solenoids are examples of connected LC-groups that are not locally connected (Example 5.C.10(2)).

Definition 2.C.5. The **index** of a subgroup G_0 of a group G is the cardinal of the homogeneous set G/G_0. In particular, in a topological group G, an open subgroup G_0 is of **countable index** if the discrete homogeneous space G/G_0 is countable (possibly finite).

A group G is **finitely generated over a subgroup** G_0 if there exists a finite subset F of G such that $F \cup G_0$ generates G.

Corollary 2.C.6. *(1) For an LC-group G, the following properties are equivalent:*

- *(i) G is σ-compact;*
- *(ii) every open subgroup of G is of countable index;*
- *(iii) there exists a compactly generated open subgroup of G of countable index.*

(2) For an LC-group G, the following properties are equivalent:

- *(i) G is compactly generated;*
- *(ii) G is finitely generated over every open subgroup;*
- *(iii) there exists a compactly generated open subgroup of G over which G is finitely generated.*

Note: compare (1) above with (1) in Corollary 2.E.7.

Proof. (1) Implication (i) ⇒ (ii) holds for every topological group. Indeed, let H be an open subgroup of a σ-compact group G; the homogeneous space G/H is countable, because it is both discrete and σ-compact. Implication (iii) ⇒ (i) is equally straightforward. For G locally compact, (ii) ⇒(iii) follows from Proposition 2.C.3(1).

The proof of (2) is analogous. □

Definition 2.C.7. In a topological group G, a subgroup H is **cocompact** if there exists a compact subset K of G such that $G = KH$.

For 2.C.8, we consider the following properties of topological *spaces*:

(a) local compactness,
(b) metrizability,
(c) first countability,
(d) σ-compactness,
(e) second countability,
(f) separability,

as in 2.A.12, as well as the property of

(g) compact generation

for topological *groups*.

Proposition 2.C.8 (on hereditarity)**.** *Let G be a topological group, H a closed subgroup, G/H the corresponding homogeneous space, and $\pi : G \longrightarrow G/H$ the canonical projection.*

(1) If G is has one of Properties (a) to (e) then H and G/H have the same property. When G is locally compact, this extends to Property (f).
(2) If H and G/H have both one of Properties (a), (b), (c), (e), (f), then G has the same property. When G is locally compact, this extends to Property (d).
(3) Suppose that H is cocompact closed in G. Then G is compactly generated if and only if H is so.
(4) Let N be a closed normal subgroup of G. If G is compactly generated (g), so is G/N. Suppose moreover that G is locally compact; if N and G/N are compactly generated, G is compactly generated.

Concerning Claim (4), note that a closed subgroup (even a normal one) of a compactly generated LC-group need not be compactly generated (Example 2.C.13).

We come back below on hereditarity of compact generation (Proposition 4.C.11) and compact presentation (Corollary 8.A.5).

Lemma 2.C.9 (lifting compact subspaces)**.** *Let G, H, and $\pi : G \longrightarrow G/H$, be as in the previous proposition. For the condition*

(*) every compact subset of the coset space G/H is the image by π of a compact subset of the group G

to hold, it is sufficient that G be locally compact, or that G be completely metrizable.

On the proof. The lemma for G locally compact is a particular case of a more general fact, proved in [BTG1-4, Page I.80]: let X be an LC-space and $\mathcal{R}$ an open Hausdorff equivalence relation on X; then every compact subspace of $X/\mathcal{R}$ is the image of a compact subspace of X.

For G a completely metrizable group, the lemma is a particular case of [BTG5-10, Page IX.22].

Note that the hypothesis on G (locally compact or completely metrizable) cannot be omitted; see [BeHV–08, Pages 64 and 324] for comments and references. □

Proof of Proposition 2.C.8. (1) It is an easy exercise to check that, if G has one of Properties (a) to (e), the same holds for H and G/H; for the metrizability of G/H, see if necessary [MoZi–55, Theorem 1.23]. We refer to [CoIt–77], both for the claim in case of (f) and for the fact it *does not* carry over to topological groups in general.

(2) Claim (2) for (a) is originally due to Gleason [Glea–49]. We refer to [MoZi–55, Theorem of 2.2, Page 52], or [Hoch–65, Theorem 2.6 of Chapter I].

For (c), we follow an argument of Graev, as reported in [HeRo–63, Theorem 5.38.e]. Let $(U_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(V_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be sequences of neighbourhoods of 1 in G such that $(\pi(U_n))_{n\geq 0}$ is a basis of neighbourhoods of $\pi(1)$ in G/H and $(V_n \cap H)_{n\geq 0}$ is a basis of neighbourhoods of 1 in H; we assume furthermore that V_n is symmetric and $(V_{n+1})^2 \subset V_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. For $n \geq 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_n &= V_{n+1}(H \cap (G \smallsetminus V_n)), \quad & C_n &= \overline{\mathcal{O}_n}, \\ P_n &= (U_n H) \cap (G \smallsetminus C_n), & Q_n &= P_0 \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_n. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{O}_n \cap V_{n+1} = \emptyset$ (as a consequence of $(V_{m+1})^2 \subset V_m$), hence $C_n \cap V_{n+1} = \emptyset$. Thus P_n and Q_n are open neighbourhoods of 1 in G. Let us check that $(Q_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a basis of neighbourhoods of 1 in G.

Let X be a neighbourhood of 1 in G; we claim that $Q_n \subset X$ for n large enough. Choose a neighbourhood Y of 1 in G such that $Y^2 \subset X$. By hypothesis, there exist integers $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq m+1$ such that $V_m \cap H \subset Y \cap H$ and $\pi(U_k) \subset \pi(Y \cap V_{m+1})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k \subset P_k \cap P_m &\subset \big(U_k H\big) \cap \Big(G \smallsetminus \overline{V_{m+1}(H \cap (G \smallsetminus V_m))}\Big) \\ &\subset \Big((Y \cap V_{m+1})H\Big) \cap \Big(G \smallsetminus (Y \cap V_{m+1})(H \cap (G \smallsetminus V_m))\Big) \\ &\subset \big(Y \cap V_{m+1}\big)\big(H \cap V_m\big) \subset Y^2 \subset X \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the claim.

Claim (2) for (b) is now a consequence of the Birkhoff-Kakutani Theorem 2.B.2.

In the special case G/H is paracompact and the bundle $G \longrightarrow G/H$ is locally trivial, one can alternatively define a metric on G in terms of metrics in H and G/H, and of a partition of unity by functions with supports inside trivializing subset of G/H. However, in the general case of a locally compact group G, the bundle $G \longrightarrow G/H$ need not be locally trivial [Karu–58].

The case of (f) is easily checked: if there exist a countable subset $\{a_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ in G such that $\{\pi(a_m)\}_{m\geq 1}$ is dense in G/H and a countable subset $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ dense in H, then the set $\{a_m b_n\}_{m,n\geq 1}$ is countable and dense in G.

In view of Lemma 2.C.9, the case of (d) is similar.

By Theorem 2.A.10, Case (e) follows from Cases (b) and (f).

(3) Let K be as in Definition 2.C.7, so that $G = KH$. If H is generated by a compact set T, then G is generated by $T \cup K$. Conversely, suppose that G is generated by a compact set S. There is no loss of generality in assuming S symmetric and $K \ni 1$. Set $T = KSK^{-1} \cap H$, where KSK^{-1} is the subset of G of elements of the form $g = k_1 s k_2^{-1}$, with $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $s \in S$; observe that T is compact. It remains to check that T generates H.

Let $h \in H$. There exist $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ with $h = s_1 \cdots s_n$. Set $k_0 = 1$; since $HK = G$, we can choose inductively $k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in K$ such that $k_{i-1} s_i k_i^{-1} \in H$; set $k_n = 1$. We have $h = \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_{i-1} s_i k_i^{-1}$, and $k_{i-1} s_i k_i^{-1} \in T$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

For G locally compact, there is another proof of (3) in Proposition 4.C.11 below.

(4) The first part of the claim is straightforward, and the second part is a consequence of Lemma 2.C.9. □

Example 2.C.10. Let G be an LC-group. Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ the group of its continuous **automorphisms**, with the topology generated by the subbasis

$$N(K,V) = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(G) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \alpha(g) \in Vg \text{ and } \alpha^{-1}(g) \in Vg \\ \text{for all } g \in K \end{array} \right\},$$

where K is a compact subset of G and V an open neighbourhood of 1 in G. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is a topological group [Hoch–65, Section III.3, Page 40]. This topology is often called the **Braconnier topology** on $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$, and the *Birkhoff topology* in [Brac–48, § IV.1].

The topology defined above on $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ coincides with the compact-open topology in some cases, for example if G is connected-by-compact, or connected-by-discrete [Wang–69]. However, Wang shows that they do not coincide for the following example: $G = F^{\mathbf{N}} \times F^{(\mathbf{N})}$, where F is a finite group, $F \neq \{1\}$, and G is the direct product of the compact group $F^{\mathbf{N}}$ with the discrete countable group $F^{(\mathbf{N})}$.

For an LC-group G, the topological group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ *need not* be locally compact. For example, if G is the free abelian group $\mathbf{Z}^{(\mathbf{N})}$ on an infinite countable set, or its Pontrjagin dual $(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{N}}$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is not locally compact (see [HeRo–63, Example 26.18]).

There are large classes of LC-groups G for which $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is locally compact. If G is finitely generated, $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is discrete. If G is a Lie group such that G/G_0 is finitely generated, $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is a Lie group [BGLA2-3, Ch. III, § 10, n^o 2].

A recent short guide on the Braconnier topology on $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ can be found in [CaMo–11, Appendix I]

There are known sufficient conditions for the group $\mathrm{Int}(G)$ of inner automorphisms to be closed in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$; for example, this is obviously so when G is compact (more on this in Example 2.E.4(4) below). In general, $\mathrm{Int}(G)$ need not be closed in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$, as shown by an example of the form $G = (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}) \rtimes \mathbf{R}$ given in [Helg–62, Pages 127–128]. Further properties of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and sufficient conditions for $\mathrm{Int}(G)$ to be closed in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ are discussed in [PeSu–78].

Remark 2.C.11 (compact generation, σ-compactness, second countability)**.** (1) It follows from Equation (2.1) of Definition 2.C.1 that a compactly generated group is σ-compact. The converse does *not* hold; for example:

(a) Discrete groups such as the additive group $\mathbf{Q}$ of rational numbers and its subgroups $\mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]$ are countable, and are not finitely generated (n is an integer, $n \geq 2$).
(b) For a prime p, the additive group $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of p-adic numbers is σ-compact, second-countable, and is not compactly generated. We come back on this in Examples

5.A.2 (on $\mathbf{Q}_p$, $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$, and compact generation) and 4.D.7 (local ellipticity of $\mathbf{Q}_p$). This carries over to the additive group $\mathbf{Q}_m$ of the m-adic numbers, for every integer $m \geq 2$.

(2) For an LC-group, compact generation and second countability are independent properties. The four possibilities are illustrated by the following four examples: $\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{dis}}$, that are discrete groups, and $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{dis}}}$, that is a compact group. Here, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{dis}}$ denotes the additive group of real numbers with the discrete topology.

(3) An LC-group that contains a finitely generated dense subgroup is compactly generated.

The converse does not hold. Consider again a compact group $G = F^A$, with F a non-trivial finite group and A a set, as in Example 2.A.15. We leave it as an exercise for the reader to check that any fnitely generated subgroup of F^A is finite, more precisely that any subgroup of F^A generated by k elements is isomorphic to a subgroup of F^N, with $N = |F|^k$.

If A is infinite countable, say $A = \mathbf{N}$, then $F^{\mathbf{N}}$ is a compact group that is infinite, metrizable, and second-countable, but without finitely generated *dense subgroups*. (If the cardinality of A is strictly larger than that of the continuum, then G is non-separable by Proposition 2.A.12(8), i.e. G does not contain any countable *dense subset*.)

(4) Any connected Lie group G contains dense finitely generated subgroups. Indeed, let $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ be generators of the Lie algebra of G. For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, the one-parameter subgroup $(\exp(tX_j))_{t\in\mathbf{R}} \simeq \mathbf{R}$ contains two elements, say $a_j = \exp(X_j)$ and $b_j = \exp(\sqrt{2}X_j)$, which generate a dense subgroup. It follows that $\{a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_k, b_k\}$ generates a dense subgroup of G.

A non-solvable connected Lie group G contains dense finitely generated free subgroups; see [Kura–51] for G semisimple, and [BrGe–03] in general. For more on dense finitely generated subgroups of Lie groups, see [Breu] and [Glut–11].

(5) Let G be a σ-compact group G. Choose a compact normal subgroup K of G such that G/K is metrizable (Theorem 2.B.6). Then G is second-countable if and only if K is so; in loose words, second countability for G can be seen on K. (For σ-compactness and second countability, see also Example 2.A.15.)

(6) An LC-group G is **just non-compact** if it is not compact and, every closed normal subgroup $N \neq \{1\}$ of G is cocompact. Just non-compact LC-groups include just infinite discrete groups, $\mathbf{R}$, and wreath products $S \wr_X F$, with S a simple LC-group and F a finite group acting transitively on a set X. Compactly generated LC-groups that are just non-compact are discussed in [CaMo–11].

Example 2.C.12. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a non-discrete locally compact field, for example $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{Q}_p$, or $\mathbf{F}_q(\!(t)\!)$; by "field", we always mean "commutative field". Then $\mathbf{K}$ is σ-compact and metrizable, and therefore second-countable (Theorem 2.A.10); see [Weil–67, Chapter I, Proposition 2] or [BInt7-8, chap. 7, § 1, no 10].

For $n \geq 1$, the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ and the special linear group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ are locally compact, σ-compact, metrizable, second-countable, and separable. They are moreover compactly generated (Example 5.A.11).

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be an algebraic group defined over $\mathbf{K}$, and let G be the group of its $\mathbf{K}$-points, with its $\mathbf{K}$-topology. Thus G is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ for some n. It follows from Proposition 2.C.8 that G is locally compact, σ-compact, second-countable, metrizable, and separable.

The group G need not be compactly generated (see Example 2.C.13(2)).

Example 2.C.13. There are non-compactly generated closed subgroups of compactly generated LC-groups.

(1) Historically, the first example we know appears in an observation of Max Dehn concerning discrete groups: a non-abelian free group of finite rank has subgroups that are not finitely generated ([Dehn–11] and [DeSt–87, Page 135]). This is straightforward in terms of covering spaces of wedges of circles. Indeed, if X is a wedge of a finite number $k \geq 2$ of circles, every normal subgroup Γ of $\pi_1(X)$ is the fundamental group of a Galois covering $Y \longrightarrow X$, and Γ is not finitely generated if and only if Y is neither simply connected nor compact, if and only if Γ is non-trivial and of infinite index in $\pi_1(X)$.

(2) Consider a prime p, the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of p-adic numbers, and the affine group $\mathrm{Aff}_1(\mathbf{Q}_p) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_p^\times & \mathbf{Q}_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \simeq \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \ltimes \mathbf{Q}_p$. The usual p-adic topology on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ induces a topology on this affine group which makes it a compactly generated LC-group (see Example 5.A.10). The translation subgroup, identified with $\mathbf{Q}_p$, is not compactly generated.

Similarly, in $\mathrm{BS}(1,p) = \begin{pmatrix} p^{\mathbf{Z}} & \mathbf{Z}[1/p] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \simeq \langle s, t \mid t^{-1}st = s^p \rangle$, a solvable Baumslag-Solitar group generated by $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $t = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, the subgroup $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{Z}[1/p] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is not finitely generated.

(3) However, a closed subgroup of a compactly generated *abelian or nilpotent* LC-group is compactly generated (Proposition 5.A.7).

Definition 2.C.14. Let G_1, G_2, H be LC-groups. For $j = 1, 2$, let $p_j : G_j \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a continuous epimorphism. The corresponding **fibre product** is the group defined by

$$G_1 \times_H G_2 = \{(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2 \mid p_1(g_1) = p_2(g_2)\}.$$

As a closed subgroup of $G_1 \times G_2$, it is an LC-group.

Remark 2.C.15. We keep the notation of the previous definition.

(1) Assume furthermore that the homomorphisms p_1 and p_2 are open (this is automatic in case G_1 and G_2 are σ-compact, by Corollary 2.D.6 below). For $\{j,k\} = \{1,2\}$, we have a short exact sequence

$$N_j \hookrightarrow G_1 \times_H G_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_k} G_k$$

where $N_j = \ker(p_j)$, and where π_k denotes the canonical projection. Indeed, we have $\ker(\pi_2) = \ker(p_1) \times \{1\}$, and similarly $\ker(\pi_1) = \{1\} \times \ker(p_2)$.

It follows that $G_1 \times_H G_2$ is compactly generated as soon as G_1 and N_2 are so, or G_2 and N_1 are so (Proposition 2.C.8(4)).

(2) Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are compactly generated. The fibre product $G_1 \times_H G_2$ need not be compactly generated, as the following example shows (the argument anticipates on a proposition of Chapter 8).

Let F be a finitely presented group and $p : F \twoheadrightarrow Q$ a group epimorphism. Set

$$E = F \times_Q F = \{(x, y) \in F \times F \mid p(x) = p(y)\}.$$

Assume that Q is not finitely presented; we claim that E is not finitely generated.

Denote by N the normal subgroup $\ker(p) \times \{1\}$ of E, and let Δ the diagonal subgroup of elements of the form (y, y), with $y \in F$. Then $N \cap \Delta = \{1\}$, and $E = N \rtimes \Delta$ since every $(x, y) \in E$ can be written as the product $(xy^{-1}, 1)(y, y) \in N\Delta$. By Proposition 8.A.10, the group $\ker(p)$ is not finitely generated as a normal subgroup of F. Hence there exists a strictly increasing nested sequence

$$K_1 \subsetneqq K_2 \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq K_n \subsetneqq K_{n+1} \subsetneqq \cdots \subset \ker(p) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_n$$

of normal subgroups of F. For each $n \geq 1$, set $E_n = (K_n \times \{1\}) \rtimes \Delta$. Then we have

$$E_1 \subsetneqq E_2 \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq E_n \subsetneqq E_{n+1} \subsetneqq \cdots \subset E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n,$$

and this shows that E is not finitely generated.

For G_1, G_2 compactly generated LC-groups, short of having compactly generated fibre products, we have the following:

Proposition 2.C.16. *Let G_1, G_2, H be compactly generated LC-groups. For $j = 1, 2$, let $p_j : G_j \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a continuous epimorphism.*

There exist a compactly generated LC-group E and two continuous epimorphisms π_1, π_2 such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & G_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_2} \\ G_1 & \xrightarrow{p_1} & H \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover, for $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$, there exists an isomorphism of topological groups from $\ker(\pi_i)$ to an open subgroup of $\ker(p_j)$.

Proof. Let

$$F := G_1 \times_H G_2 = \{(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2 \mid p_1(g_1) = p_2(g_2))\} \subset G_1 \times G_2$$

denote the relevant fibre product. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, denote by π_i the canonical projection $G_1 \times G_2 \twoheadrightarrow G_i$ as well as its restriction to F (and also its restriction to E as soon as E will be defined). For $j = 1, 2$, there exists by Lemma 2.C.9 a compact subset S_j of F such that $\pi_j(S_j)$ generates G_j. Let U be a compact subset of F containing $S_1 \cup S_2$; assume moreover that U has a non-empty interior. Then we can define E as the open subgroup of F generated by U.

The last claim follows from the equalities $\ker(\pi_2) = (\ker(p_1) \times \{1\}) \cap D$ and $\ker(\pi_1) = (\{1\} \times \ker(p_2)) \cap D$. See Remark 2.C.15(1). □

Digression 2.C.17 (compact generation beyond local compactness)**.** A topological group G generated by a compact subset K need not be locally compact, as shown by Examples (1) and (3) below.

(1) Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space, with the weak topology; it is a topological group under addition. The unit ball $\mathcal{H}_1$ is a compact generating set of $\mathcal{H}$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite-dimensional, this group is compactly generated and is not locally compact (see (2) below).

This carries over to the dual of any infinite-dimensional Banach space with the w*-topology, by a theorem of Alaoglu.

Note that, for the norm topology, an infinite-dimensional Banach space is never compactly generated. This follows from (2) just below and Remark 2.B.1(6).

(2) Recall the following theorem. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a non-discrete complete valued field and $E \neq \{0\}$ a Hausdorff topological vector space over $\mathbf{K}$; if E is locally compact, then $\mathbf{K}$ is a non-discrete locally compact field and E is finite-dimensional [BEVT, chapitre 1, § 2, n^o 4]. Recall also that, with the weak topology, an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is non-metrizable; its unit ball is metrizable if and only if the space is separable [Halm–74, Problems 18, 19, and 21].

(3) Free topological groups[1] and free abelian topological groups have been defined and investigated in the early 1940's, by A.A. Markov. For references, let us indicate Section II.8 in [HeRo–63], Abels' article [Abel–72'], an introduction to free topological groups [Todo–97, Section 25], and an extended review [Sipa–03].

Let X be a topological space, identified with a subset of the free group F_X on X. Then F_X is given the finest group topology which induces on X a topology coarser than the given one. Then, every continuous mapping from X to a topological group G extends uniquely to a continuous homomorphism from F_X to G; moreover, if X is Hausdorff and completely regular, the inclusion of X in F_X is a homeomorphism. The topological group F_X is locally compact if and only if the topological space X is discrete [Sipa–03, Page 5793]. In particular, if X is compact and infinite, F_X is a topological group which is compactly generated and not locally compact.

(4) Let $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbf{C})$ be the *plane Cremona group over* $\mathbf{C}$*,* i.e. the group of birational transformations of the complex projective plane. There exists a Hausdorff topology on $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbf{C})$ making it a topological group, and inducing the usual topology on its standard subgroups $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{C})$. The group $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbf{C})$ is compactly generated and is *not* locally compact; see [BlFu–13], in particular their Lemmas 5.15 and 5.17.

Remark 2.C.18. (1) Any countable group is a subgroup of a 2-generator group. This is the *HNN embedding theorem* of [HiNN–49]; see [Rotm–95, Theorem 11.71], or the very short exposition in [Galv–93], which includes a ten-lines-long proof. The theorem is also an immediate consequence of the following result, where $\mathrm{Sym}(X)$ stands for the symmetric group of all permutations of an infinite countable set X: every countable subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(X)$ is contained in a 2-generator subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(X)$; see Section 4.E.

[1] In this book, with the exception of the present digression, we *do not* consider any topology on a free group F_S, even when $G = F_S/N$ is a topological group rather than just a group.

(2) It is natural to ask whether (1) extends to the locally compact setting, more precisely to ask whether every σ-compact LC-group embeds as a *closed* subgroup of a compactly generated LC-group. The answer is negative, as shown in [CaCo–14].

(3) Pestov has shown that every σ-compact topological group H is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of a compactly generated topological group G; in this statement, neither H nor G need be locally compact ([Pest–86], see also [Todo–97, Section 24]).

Also: every separable topological group embeds as a subgroup in a topological group containing a dense subgroup generated by 2 elements [MoPe–98].

Remark 2.C.19. For discrete groups, finite generation is the first of a sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of increasingly stronger properties, introduced by C.T.C. Wall in 1965. For $n \geq 1$, a group Γ is of **type** F_n if there exists a connected CW-complex with finite n-skeleton, fundamental group Γ, and trivial higher homotopy groups. A group is of type F_1 if and only if it is finitely generated, and of type F_2 if and only if it is finitely presented. For each $n \geq 1$, a group of type F_n need not be of type F_{n+1}, as shown by examples of Stallings for $n = 2$ and Bieri for $n \geq 3$ (among others).

For LC-groups, Abels and Tiemeyer [AbTi–97] have defined a sequence of types $(C_n)_{n\geq 1}$, with the following properties:

(1) an LC-group is of type C_1 if and only if it is compactly generated,
(2) an LC-group is of type C_2 if and only if it is compactly presented (Chapter 8),
(dis) for all $n \geq 1$, a discrete group is of type C_n if and only if it is of type F_n.

Moreover, these properties are invariant "up to compactness": more precisely, consider an LC-group G, a cocompact closed subgroup H, a compact normal subgroup N, and an integer $n \geq 1$; then G is of type C_n if and only if H is of type C_n, if and only if G/N is of type C_n.

In [Tiem–97], it is shown that reductive groups over local fields of characteristic 0 are of type C_n, for all $n \geq 1$. This is used to give a new proof of a result of Borel and Serre [BoSe–76]: S-arithmetic subgroups of reductive groups are of type F_n for all $n \geq 1$.

2.D Miscellanea

In this section, we collect a few very standard facts, for convenient reference.

Let X be a topological *space*. If X is Hausdorff, then every point of X is closed. It is well-known that the converse does not hold; see e.g. Chap. I, § 8, Exercise 7 in [BTG1-4, Page I.101]. However, for a possibly non-Hausdorff topological *group*, we have:

Proposition 2.D.1. *Let G be a (possibly non-Hausdorff) topological group. Then G is Hausdorff if and only $\{1\}$ is closed in G.*

Proof. Recall that a topological space X is Hausdorff if and only if the diagonal $\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x = y\}$ is closed in the product space $X \times X$.

To show the non-trivial implication, suppose $\{1\}$ is closed in G. The diagonal is closed in $G \times G$, because it is the inverse image of $\{1\}$ by the continuous map $(g, h) \longmapsto gh^{-1}$ from $G \times G$ to G. Hence G is Hausdorff. □

Proposition 2.D.2. *Let G, H be topological groups and $p : G \twoheadrightarrow H$ a continuous epimorphism. Then p is a covering if and only if p is a local homeomorphism at 1.*

Proof. Suppose that p is a local homeomorphism at 1: there exist a neighbourhood U of 1 in G and a neighbourhood V of 1 in H so that the restriction p_U of p to U is a homeomorphism of U onto V. Then $p^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{x\in\ker(p)} xU \subset G$.

For $x, y \in \ker(p)$ with $x \neq y$, we have $xU \cap yU = \emptyset$. Indeed, otherwise, there would exist $u_1, u_2 \in U$ with $u_1 = x^{-1}yu_2$, hence with $p_U(u_1) = p_U(u_2)$, and this is impossible since p_U is injective. Therefore, we have a disjoint union $p^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{x\in\ker(p)} xU$, so that $p^{-1}(V)$ is naturally homeomorphic to $\ker(p) \times V$, that is to the product of the discrete space $\ker(p)$ with V.

For every $h \in H$, we have by left translation a neighbourhood hV of h in H such that $p^{-1}(hV)$ is homeomorphic to $\ker(p) \times hV$. This means that p is a covering.

The converse implication is trivial. □

Proposition 2.D.3. *(1) In a connected topological group, every discrete normal subgroup is central.*

(2) The fundamental group of a connected Lie group is abelian.

Note: the fundamental group of a connected Lie group is moreover finitely generated (Corollary 8.A.21).

Proof. (1) Let G be a connected topological group and N a discrete normal subgroup. For $n \in N$, the image of the continuous map $G \longrightarrow G$, $g \longmapsto gng^{-1}n^{-1}$ is both connected and contained in N. Hence this image is $\{1\}$, and n is central in G.

(2) For a connected Lie group L with universal covering group $\widetilde{L}$, the fundamental group $\pi_1(L)$ can be identified with the kernel of the covering projection $\widetilde{L} \twoheadrightarrow L$. Hence $\pi_1(L)$ is abelian, by (1) applied to the connected group $\widetilde{L}$. □

Remark 2.D.4 (some properties of LC-groups)**.** The following properties of LC-groups and their homomorphisms are of frequent use.

(1) Locally compact groups are paracompact. Indeed, let G be an LC-group, V a compact symmetric neighbourhood of 1, and $U = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} V^n$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.C.3. Then U is a subgroup of G that is σ-compact, and therefore paracompact. Since U is an open subgroup, G is homeomorphic to $U \times G/U$, where G/U is discrete. It follows that G is paracompact [BTG1-4, Theorem 5, Page I.70].

More generally, if G is an LC-group and H a closed subgroup, the homogeneous space G/H is paracompact [BTG1-4, Page III.35].

Recall that a Hausdorff topological space X is **paracompact** if every open covering of X has a locally finite refinement. For such a space X and any open covering $\mathcal{U}$ of X, it is a basic fact that there exists a continuous partition of unity, subordinate to $\mathcal{U}$.

(2) Since paracompact spaces are normal [Dugu–66, Section 8.2], it follows from (1) that a locally compact *group* is normal. Note that a locally compact *space* is completely regular, but need not be normal [Dugu–66, Section 11.6].

Recall that a Hausdorff topological space X is **normal** (or T_4) if, for every pair (Y, Z) of disjoint closed subsets of X, there exists a continuous function $f : X \longrightarrow$

$[0,1]$ such that $f(y) = 0$ for all $y \in Y$ and $f(z) = 1$ for all $z \in Z$. The space X is **completely regular** (or $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$) if the analogous condition holds with Y a singleton subspace $\{y\}$. The space X is **regular** (or T_3) if, given any point $y \in X$ and closed subset $Z \subset X$, there exist neighbourhoods U of y and V of Z such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

(3) A continuous bijection between locally compact *spaces* need not be a homeomorphism, as shown by the bijection $x \longmapsto e^{2i\pi x}$ from $[0,1[$ to the unit circle in $\mathbf{C}$ (compare with Remark 2.A.8(2)). However, a continuous bijective homomorphism between locally compact *groups* is an isomorphism of topological groups (Corollary 2.D.6 below).

More generally, we have the following proposition, which can be viewed as an open mapping theorem:

Proposition 2.D.5 (Freudenthal)**.** *Let G be an LC-group, X a locally compact space, $G \times X \longrightarrow X$ a transitive continuous action, $a \in X$ a base-point, and $H = \{g \in G \mid g(a) = a\}$ the corresponding isotropy subgroup.*

If G is σ-compact, the orbit map $i_a : G \longrightarrow X$, $g \longrightarrow g(a)$, is open, and the quotient map $G/H \twoheadrightarrow X$ is therefore a homeomorphism.

Note. Our attribution to Freudenthal rests on [Freu–36, Item 26]. Hewitt and Ross refer to a article by A.A. Markov, from 1935 [HeRo–63, Pages 37 and 51]. The proposition can also be found in [Hoch–65, Theorem 2.5 of Chapter I].

Proof. Let $g \in G$ and V an open neighbourhood of g. We have to show the existence of an open neighbourhood of $i_a(g)$ in X contained in $i_a(V)$.

Let U be a symmetric compact neighbourhood of 1 in G such that $gU^2 \subset V$. Since G is σ-compact, there exists a sequence $(g_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in G such that $G = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} g_n U$; thus $X = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} i_a(g_n U)$. Since X is a Baire space, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that the interior $\mathrm{int}(i_a(g_n U))$ is non-empty; as $x \longmapsto g_n x$ is a homeomorphism of X, we have $\mathrm{int}(i_a(U)) \neq \emptyset$. Hence there exists $g' \in U$ such that $i_a(g') \in \mathrm{int}(i_a(U))$, and therefore $a \in \mathrm{int}(i_a(U^2))$. It follows that $i_a(g) \in \mathrm{int}(i_a(gU^2)) \subset i_a(V)$, as was to be shown. □

Corollary 2.D.6. *Let G_1, G_2 be two LC-groups and $\varphi : G_1 \twoheadrightarrow G_2$ a surjective continuous homomorphism. Assume that G_1 is σ-compact.*

Then φ is open. In particular, if φ is a bijective continuous homomorphism, then it is an isomorphism of topological groups.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.D.5, applied to the action $G_1 \times G_2 \longrightarrow G_2$ of G_1 defined by $(g_1, g_2) \longmapsto \varphi(g_1)g_2$. □

In Corollary 2.D.6, some hypothesis is needed on G_1, as the example $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{dis}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \mathbf{R}$ shows, where $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{dis}}$ stands for the group $\mathbf{R}$ with the discrete topology.

As a particular case of Corollary 2.D.6, with $G_1 = G_2$ as abstract groups:

Corollary 2.D.7. *On a σ-compact LC-group, a continuous left-invariant metric is compatible.*

2.E LC-groups: structure and approximation by Lie groups

Definition 2.E.1. Let X be a topological space. The **connected component of a point** $x \in X$ is the union of the connected subspaces of X containing x; it is a closed subspace of X [BTG1-4, Page I.84]. A topological space is **totally disconnected** if every point in it is its own connected component. A subspace is **clopen** if it is both closed and open. A topological space is **zero-dimensional** if its clopen subspaces constitute a basis of its topology.

Any zero-dimensional space is clearly totally disconnected. There is a partial converse. In a totally disconnected LC-space, every neighbourhood of a point contains a clopen neighbourhood of this point [HeRo–63, Theorem 3.5]. Thus:

Proposition 2.E.2. *A locally compact space is totally disconnected if and only if it is zero-dimensional.*

Let X be a topological space. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the relation defined on X by $x\mathcal{R}y$ if the connected components of x and y coincide; then $\mathcal{R}$ is an equivalence relation on X. The quotient space [2] $\pi_0(X) := X/\mathcal{R}$ is totally disconnected, see again [BTG1-4, Page I.84]; note that $\pi_0(X)$ is not necessarily Hausdorff. If X is a non-empty topological space, X is connected if and only if $\pi_0(X)$ is the one-point space, and X is totally disconnected if and only if the canonical projection $X \longrightarrow \pi_0(X)$ is a homeomorphism.

Let G be a topological group. The **unit component** of G, denoted by G_0, is the connected component of the unit in G; it is a closed subgroup of G that is normal, indeed characteristic. The quotient group $\pi_0(G) = G/G_0$ is totally disconnected (it is a particular case of the result on $X/\mathcal{R}$ quoted above). A topological group G is **connected-by-compact** if G/G_0 is compact. This terminology fits with our general terminology, see (A4) in Chapter 2; many authors use "almost connected" for "connected-by-compact".

If G is an LC-group, its unit component G_0 is also the intersection of the open subgroups of G [BTG1-4, Page III.35]. This does *not* hold in general; a trivial example is provided by the group $\mathbf{Q}$, with the topology inherited from the usual topology on $\mathbf{R}$; moreover, there are known examples of totally disconnected *Polish* groups in which *any* neighbourhood of 1 generates the whole group; see the discussion in [Sole–05].

In an LC-group G, the unit component need not be open; for example, if G is totally disconnected, $G_0 = \{1\}$ is open if and only if G is discrete. However, in a locally path-connected LC-group, for example in a Lie group, connected components are open.

[2] As agreeed in (A1), Page 19, we note here that $\pi_0(X)$ need not be Hausdorff. An example is provided by the subspace

$$X = \{(0,0)\} \cup \{(0,1)\} \cup \Big(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} (\{1/n\} \times [0,1]) \Big)$$

of the Euclidean plane.

One of the main open problems about totally disconnected LC-groups is the *Hilbert-Smith conjecture*, which can be formulated as a question: Does there exist an LC-group, which is not a Lie group, that has a faithful continuous action on a connected topological manifold? Equivalently: does there exist for some prime p a faithful continuous action of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ on a connected topological manifold? For the equivalence, see [Lee–97]. The answer is known to be negative for manifolds of dimension at most three [Pard–13].

Example 2.E.3 (non-discrete locally compact fields)**.** Let $\mathbf{K}$ be non-discrete locally compact field. Then $\mathbf{K}$ is either Archimedean, and then isomorphic to one of $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, or non-Archimedean, in which case it is defined to be a **local field**.

[Some authors, including [Weil–67, Page 20] and [Marg–91], define a *local field* to be any commutative non-discrete locally compact field. Others are keen on not formulating a precise definition, like Serre in his "Corps locaux" (outside the introduction).]

A non-discrete locally compact field is connected if and only if it is Archimedean, i.e. if it is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$. The multiplicative group $\mathbf{C}^\times$ is connected, and $\mathbf{R}^\times$ has two connected components.

Here are some basic facts on local fields and their classification. More information on the subject can be found, among many other places, in [Neuk–99, Chapter II] or/and [Cass–86]. Absolute values on local fields are briefly discussed in Remark 4.D.9

From now on, let $\mathbf{K}$ be a local field. Then $\mathbf{K}$ has a unique maximal compact subring

$$\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K} = \big\{x \in \mathbf{K} \,\big|\, \{x^n \mid n \geq 1\} \text{ is relatively compact}\big\}$$

and $\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}$ has a unique maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{p}_\mathbf{K} = \{x \in \mathbf{K} \mid \lim_{n\to\infty} x^n = 0\}.$$

Both $\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbf{K}$ are compact and open in $\mathbf{K}$. Moreover, the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbf{K}$ is principal in $\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}$: there exists a "prime element" π such that $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbf{K}$ is the ideal (π) generated by π. The nested sequence of ideals $(\pi) \supset \cdots \supset (\pi^n) \supset (\pi^{n+1}) \supset \cdots$ constitute a basis of clopen neighbourhoods of 0 in $\mathbf{K}$, so that $\mathbf{K}$ is totally disconnected. The multiplicative group $\mathbf{K}^\times$ is also totally disconnected.

If $\mathbf{K}$ is of characteristic zero, then $\mathbf{K}$ is a finite extension of a field $\mathbf{Q}_p$; for each prime p and each degree n, the number $N_{p,n}$ of extensions of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of degree n satisfies $1 \leq N_{p,n} < \infty$ [Robe–00, Section 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.1.6].

In the particular case $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}_p$, we have $\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Z}_p$ and a choice for π is p. Every $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ can be written uniquely as $[x] + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i p^{-i}$, with $[x] \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $n \geq 0$, and $x_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, with $x_n \neq 0$ when $n \geq 1$. Every $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ can be written uniquely as $p^n u$, with $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$; it follows that the multiplicative group $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ is the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} p^n \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$; hence $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ is homeomorphic to the direct product $p^\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$. The multiplicative group $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ is homeomorphic to the direct product of the finite group $\{x \in \mathbf{Z}_p \mid x^{p-1} = 1\}$ of $(p-1)$th roots of 1, which is cyclic of order $p-1$, and of the compact group $1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p$, itself homeomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_p$ when p is odd and to $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ when $p = 2$ (see for example [Serr–70, chap. 2]).

If $\mathbf{K}$ is of finite characteristic p, then $\mathbf{K}$ is a field of formal Laurent series $\mathbf{F}_q(\!(t)\!)$ over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$, where $q = p^d$ for some $d \geq 1$. Then $\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}$ is the ring of formal power series $\mathbf{F}_q[[t]]$, and a choice for π is t. The multiplicative group $\mathbf{F}_q(\!(t)\!)^\times$ is isomorphic to a product of three groups: the infinite cyclic group generated by t, the finite group $\{x \in \mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K} \mid x^{q-1} = 1\} \simeq \mathbf{Z}/(q-1)\mathbf{Z}$ of $(q-1)^{\text{th}}$ roots of unity, and the multiplicative group $1 + \mathfrak{p}_\mathbf{K}$, which is compact.

Similarly, let R be a finite commutative ring. Then $R(\!(t)\!)$ is a totally disconnected LC-ring in which $R[[t]]$ is an open subring.

Example 2.E.4 (totally disconnected LC-groups)**.** Discrete groups are clearly totally disconnected. Here are some other examples.

(1) Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a local field, $\mathbf{G}$ an algebraic group defined over $\mathbf{K}$, and G the group of its $\mathbf{K}$-points. The group G can be seen as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$, and the latter is an open subspace of the algebra $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{K})$ of n-by-n matrices over $\mathbf{K}$; it follows that G has a natural topology $\mathcal{T}$ inherited from the topology of $\mathbf{K}$, and G with $\mathcal{T}$ is a totally disconnected LC-group. When $\mathbf{K}$ is $\mathbf{Q}_p$ or a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, this topology is sometimes called the **p-adic topology** on G.

(2) For any non-discrete LC-field $\mathbf{K}$, there is a notion of *Lie group over* $\mathbf{K}$. These groups are totally disconnected when $\mathbf{K}$ is so. If $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}_p$, they include all closed subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$; see [BGLA2-3, Chap. 3, § 8, n^o 2].

(3) Let X be a connected locally finite graph. For the topology of pointwise convergence, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is a totally disconnected LC-group (Example 2.B.10). It is a discrete group if and only if there is a finite subset V of the vertex set of X such that $\{g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X) \mid gv = v \text{ for all } v \in V\}$ is trivial. The following examples have non-discrete automorphism groups.

Let T_k be a regular tree of some valency $k \geq 3$. Let $\mathrm{Aut}^+(T_k)$ be the index two subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(T_k)$ generated by the vertex stabilizers. Then $\mathrm{Aut}^+(T_k)$ is a non-discrete LC-group; as an abstract group, it is simple [Tits–70]. When $k = p + 1$ for some prime p, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(T_k)$ has subgroups isomorphic to the projective linear groups $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p(\!(t)\!))$, see [Serr–77]; these are closed subgroups, by Proposition 5.B.6(2).

Consider two integers $m, n \in \mathbf{Z} \smallsetminus \{0\}$, the Baumslag-Solitar group $\mathrm{BS}(m,n) = \langle s, t \mid t^{-1}s^m t = s^n \rangle$, its Bass-Serre tree T_{m+n} (a regular tree of valency $m+n$), and the closure $G_{m,n}$ of the natural image of $\mathrm{BS}(m,n)$ in the automorphism group of T_{m+n}. The groups $G_{m,n}$ are non-discrete totally disconnected LC-group; moreover, for $m, n, m', n' \in \mathbf{Z} \smallsetminus \{0\}$, the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\mathrm{BS}(m', n')$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{BS}(m, n)$,

(ii) $G_{m',n'}$ is isomorphic to $G_{m,n}$,

(iii) (m', n') is one of (m, n), (n, m), $(-m, -n)$, $(-n, -m)$.

See [ElWi].

Cayley graphs of appropriate Coxeter groups provide other examples of graphs X with non-discrete $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$. See [HaPa–98, Theorem 1.3].

(4) Let K be a compact group. With the natural topology (see Example 2.C.10), the outer automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(K)/\mathrm{Int}(K)$ is totally disconnected [Iwas–49, Theorem 1]; see also [Serr–50, théorème 6].

Let G be a totally discontinuous LC-group. The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is totally discontinuous [Brac–48].

[Recall that $\mathrm{Aut}(K)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ need not be locally compact, as already noted in Example 2.C.10.]

(5) With the Krull topology, the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ of a Galois extension L of a field K is a totally disconnected compact group.

Total disconnectedness is inherited by closed subgroups (obvious), and by homogeneous spaces, as recorded in Claim (1) of the next proposition.

Proposition 2.E.5. *Let G be an LC-group, H a closed subgroup, G/H the quotient space, and $\pi : G \longrightarrow G/H$ the canonical projection.*

(1) The connected components of G/H are the closures of the images by π of the connected components of G. In particular: if G is totally disconnected, so is G/H.

Assume moreover that H is a normal subgroup, so that G/H is a topological group.

(2) If G is locally compact and $L = G/H$ a Lie group, then $\pi(G_0) = L_0$.

Proof. See [BTG1-4, Page III.36] for (1) and [CCMT, Lemma 2.4] for (2). □

Claim (1) does not hold without the hypothesis of local compactness. Indeed, let G be the group of sequences of rational numbers having a limit in $\mathbf{R}$, with the ℓ^∞-topology, and let H be the subgroup of sequences converging to 0. Then G is totally disconnected, H is closed in G, and G/H can be identified to the *connected* group $\mathbf{R}$, with its standard topology [BTG1-4, Page III.70 (exercice 17)].

Theorem 2.E.6 (van Dantzig)**.** *Let G be a totally disconnected LC-group and U a neighbourhood of 1 in G. Then U contains a compact open subgroup of G.*

In other words: compact open subgroups constitute a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of 1 in G.

Note. This theorem is part of [HeRo–63, Theorem 7.7], where it is quoted from the thesis of van Dantzig (1931); see also [Dant–36]. Related references include [MoZi–55, Section 2.3], [BTG1-4, Page III.36], Willis [Will–94] and Tao [Tao–14].

Proof. By Proposition 2.E.2, there exists a compact open neighbourhood V of 1 contained in U. We define below a compact neighbourhood S of 1 in G generating an open subgroup K inside V. This will complete the proof, because an open subgroup is also closed, and a closed subgroup of the compact set V is compact.

For each $x \in V$, there exist a neighbourhood S_x of 1 in G and a neighbourhood V_x of x in V such that $S_x V_x \subset V$; we assume furthermore that S_x is symmetric. Since V is compact, there exists a finite subset $F \subset V$ such that $V = \bigcup_{f\in F} V_f$. Set $S = \bigcap_{f\in F} S_f$; it is a symmetric neighbourhood of 1. We have $SV_f \subset V$ for all $f \in F$, and therefore $SV \subset V$.

Let $K = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} S^n$ be the subgroup of G generated by S; since S is a neighbourhood of 1, the subgroup K is open. We have $S^n V \subset V$ for all $n \geq 0$, by induction on n, and therefore $K \subset V$. □

Claim (1) of the next corollary appears as Lemma 1.4 in [Glea–51].

Corollary 2.E.7. *Let G be an LC-group.*

(1) There exists an open subgroup H of G, containing G_0, such that the quotient group H/G_0 is compact.

In particular, every LC-group contains an open subgroup that is connected-by-compact, and therefore compactly generated, a fortiori σ-compact.

(2) Assume moreover that G is totally disconnected. Any compact subgroup of G is contained in a compact open subgroup.

Proof. (1) Let K be a compact open subgroup of the totally disconnected group G/G_0. The inverse image H of K in G is an open subgroup of G. Observe that G_0, which is normal in G, is a posteriori normal in H.

The quotient H/G_0 is compact, isomorphic to K. Since $G_0 \subset H_0$, the quotient group H/H_0 is compact. Since H is connected-by-compact, H is compactly generated (see Proposition 5.A.1), and in particular σ-compact.

(2) Let now K be a compact open subgroup of G. Let L be an arbitrary compact subgroup of G. There exist $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in L$ such that $L \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \ell_i K$. Set $N = \bigcap_{\ell \in L} \ell K \ell^{-1}$. Observe that $N = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \ell_i K \ell_i^{-1}$, so that N is a compact open subgroup of G. Since $\ell N \ell^{-1} = N$ for all $\ell \in L$, the product NL is a subgroup of G, indeed a compact open subgroup containing L. □

Remark 2.E.8. (1) A totally disconnected LC-group need not contain a *normal* compact open subgroup. Consider for example a prime p, the discrete group $\mathbf{Z}$, the totally disconnected group $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and the action of $\mathbf{Z}$ on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ given by $(n, x) \longmapsto p^n x$. The corresponding semidirect product $G = \mathbf{Q}_p \rtimes_p \mathbf{Z}$, with the direct product topology, is a totally disconnected group. Observe that all orbits of $\mathbf{Z}$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p \smallsetminus \{0\}$ are unbounded. The group G has compact open subgroups, for example $\mathbf{Z}_p$, but it is easy to check that G does not have any non-trivial compact normal subgroup. (Up to details, this is the example of [MoZi–55, Section 2.5].)

In particular, the totally disconnected group G *is not* "compact-by-discrete".

(2) Let K be a totally disconnected compact group and U a neighbourhood of 1 in K. Then U contains a normal compact open subgroup of K; in particular, the group K/N is finite. See again [MoZi–55, Section 2.5]. (In other terms, totally disconnected compact groups are profinite.)

Proposition 2.E.9. *For an LC-group, the following two properties are equivalent:*

(i) G is compactly generated and has a compact open subgroup;
(ii) there exist a connected graph X of bounded valency and a continuous action of G on X which is proper and vertex-transitive.

Note. A compactly generated totally disconnected LC-group has Property (i), by the van Dantzig theorem.

Definition 2.E.10. A G-graph X with Property (ii) of Proposition 2.E.9 is a **Cayley-Abels graph** for G.

Proof of Proposition 2.E.9. To show that (i) implies (ii), let S_0 be a compact generating set of G and K a compact open subgroup of G. Set $S = K(S_0 \cup S_0^{-1})K \smallsetminus K$; it is symmetric, K-biinvariant, open (because K is open), and $S \cup K$ generates G.

Define a relation $\sim$ on G by $g \sim g'$ if $g^{-1}g' \in S$; this relation is symmetric ($g \sim g'$ implies $g' \sim g$), antireflexive ($g \not\sim g$ for all $g \in G$), and K-biinvariant ($g \sim g'$ implies $k_1 g k_2 \sim k_1 g' k_2$ for all $k_1, k_2 \in K$). This relation induces a left-K-invariant relation on G/K, again denoted by $\sim$, again symmetric and antireflexive.

Define a graph X as follows: its vertex set is G/K, and there is an edge between gK and $g'K$ if $gK \sim g'K$. Then X is connected, because $S \cup K$ generates G. The natural action of G on X preserves the graph structure.

The set of neighbours of the vertex $1K$ can be identified with the set $\{g \in G \mid gK \sim 1K\}/K$, which is S/K; it is finite, because the right action of K on S has open orbits, and S is compact. By homogeneity, every vertex in X has the same number $|S/K|$ of neighbours.

To show that (ii) implies (i), we refer to Corollary 4.C.6 below. As X can be viewed as a (coarsely) geodesic metric space, and as the action of G on X is geometric, the group G is compactly generated. Moreover, for every vertex $x_0 \in X$, the isotropy subgroup $\{g \in G \mid g(x_0) = x_0\}$ is a compact open subgroup. □

Remark 2.E.11. With the terminology of Definition 4.C.1, the natural actions of G on its Cayley-Abels graphs are geometric. In particular, any two Cayley-Abels graphs for a group G as in Proposition 2.E.9 are quasi-isometric [KrMo–08, Theorem 2.7].

For finitely generated groups, Cayley graphs in the usual sense (see e.g. [Cann–02]) are examples of Cayley-Abels graphs. "Cayley graphs" for infinite groups appeared first in articles by Dehn, see [Dehn–10]; the terminology "Dehn Gruppenbild" is also used.

Cayley-Abels graphs appear, sometimes with different names, in [Abel–74, Beispiel 5.2], [Moll–03, Corollary 1], [KrMo–08, Section 2], and shortly after Proposition 2.1 in [CCMT].

Compactly generated LC-groups containing compact open subgroups appear again in Proposition 8.A.18.

Before we state the next structure theorem, it is convenient to recall the standard terminology related to real and complex Lie groups; see e.g. [Hoch–65].

Definition 2.E.12. A real **analytic group** is a group, and a *connected* real analytic manifold, such that the group operations are analytic. A real **Lie group** is a topological group G of which the unit component is both open and a real analytic group. There are similar definitions for complex analytic groups and complex Lie groups. We often write "Lie group" for "real Lie group".

Remark 2.E.13. There is no restriction on the number of connected components of a Lie group. In particular, every discrete group is a Lie group.

If G is the group of real points of an algebraic group defined over the reals, then G is connected-by-finite. More generally, real algebraic varieties have finitely many connected components; this is due to Whitney [Whit–57].

Theorem 2.E.14 (Gleason-Yamabe)**.** *Let G be a connected-by-compact LC-group and let U be a neighbourhood of 1 in G.*

There exists a compact normal subgroup N of G contained in U such that $L = G/N$ is a Lie group with finitely many connected components.

In particular, a connected-by-compact LC-group is compact-by-(analytic-by-finite).

On the proof. This is [MoZi–55, Theorem 4.6]. Observe that the quotient L/L_0 is finite, because it is discrete (L is a Lie group) and compact (by Proposition 2.E.5).

The original articles, [Glea–51] and [Yam–53b], were building upon a large amount of deep work by various authors, including Chevalley, Kuranishi, Iwasawa, Montgomery, and Zippin. See also [Bore–50] and [DrGo]. □

Remark 2.E.15. (1) There exists also a *maximal* compact normal subgroup of G. See Example 2.E.23(1).

(2) One way to express the theorem is that every connected-by-compact LC-group can be *approximated by Lie groups*, the G/N 's.

Here is another way. A topological group G is a **generalized Lie group** (in the sense of Gleason [Glea–49, Glea–51]) if, for every neighbourhood U of 1 in G, there exist an open subgroup H of G and a compact normal subgroup N of H, with $N \subset U$, such that H/N is a Lie group; a generalized Lie group is locally compact. Corollary 2.E.7 and Theorem 2.E.14 show that, conversely, every LC-group is a generalized Lie group.

(3) The Gleason-Yamabe Theorem on the structure of LC-groups goes together with the final solution of the Hilbert Fifth Problem, published in 1952 [Glea–52, MoZi–52] (see Theorem 2.E.18). There is a classical exposition in [MoZi–55, in particular Sections 2.15 and 4.10]; see also [Glus–57] and [Tao–14].

(4) The hypothesis "connected-by-compact" cannot be omitted in Theorem 2.E.14, as the following example shows.

Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(3)^{\mathbf{Z}} \rtimes_{\mathrm{shift}} \mathbf{Z}$, where the semidirect product refers to the action of $\mathbf{Z}$ by shifts; the topology is that for which $\mathrm{SO}(3)^{\mathbf{Z}}$ has the compact product topology, and is open in G. Then $G_0 = \mathrm{SO}(3)^{\mathbf{Z}}$, and G has exactly two compact normal subgroups which are G_0 and $\{1\}$.

In particular, for a neighbourhood U of 1 in G such that $U \not\supset G_0$, there cannot exist a compact normal subgroup N of G such that $N \subset U$ and G/N is a Lie group.

Theorem 2.E.16. *Let G be a connected-by-compact LC-group.*

(1) The group G contains maximal compact subgroups, such that every compact subgroup of G is contained in one of them.
(2) Maximal compact subgroups of G are conjugate with each other.
(3) If K is a maximal compact subgroup of G, the quotient space G/K is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^n$ for some $n \geq 0$; moreover, $G_0 K = G$, i.e. the natural action of G_0 on G/K is transitive.
(4) For K and n as in (3), there exists on $G/K \overset{\text{homeo}}{\approx} \mathbf{R}^n$ a G-invariant structure of analytic manifold.

On the proof. For the particular case of a connected LC-group, the theorem is essentially that of Section 4.13 in [MoZi–55]. For the theorem as stated, a convenient strategy is to split the argument in two steps, as follows.

Assume first that G is a Lie group; we refer to [Hoch–65, Theorem 3.1 of Chapter XV and Observation Page 186]. Credits for this are shared by several authors: "The names to be attached to this result are: É. Cartan, C. Chevalley, K. Iwasawa, A. Malcev, G.D. Mostow" (quoted from [Hoch–65, Preface]).

Assume now that G is a connected-by-compact LC-group. Let N be as in Theorem 2.E.14 (for an arbitrary U). Inverse images of maximal compact subgroups of G/N are maximal compact subgroups of G, and are conjugate with each other. Let K be one of them. Then $G/K \approx (G/N)/(K/N)$, and the right-hand side is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^n$ for some $n \geq 0$, by the Lie group case.

Let us check the equality $G_0K = G$ (even for G a Lie group, it is not explicitly in [Hoch–65]). Since K is compact, G_0K is closed. The homogeneous space G/G_0K is both totally disconnected (as a quotient of G/G_0) and connected (as a quotient of G/K), hence is just one point.

Set

$$J = \bigcap_{g \in G} gKg^{-1} = \{g \in G \mid g \text{ acts as the identity on } G/K \approx \mathbf{R}^n\}.$$

It is a compact normal subgroup of G contained in K. Since the natural action of G/J on G/K is faithful, G/J is a Lie group by the next theorem. Thus $\mathbf{R}^n \approx G/K \approx (G/J)/(K/J)$ has a natural analytic structure which is invariant by G/J, and a fortiori by G [Hoch–65, Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 of Chapter VIII]. □

Remark 2.E.17. (1) Let G be a connected-by-compact LC-group, and K a maximal compact subgroup, as in Theorem 2.E.16. The topology of K can be as rich as that of G/K is poor.

The topology of connected compact Lie groups has been intensively studied in the period 1925–1960 by many authors including Weyl (one of his first results in this field is that $\pi_1(G)$ is finite for a semisimple connected compact Lie group), É. Cartan, A. Borel, and Bott (periodicity theorems, including the isomorphisms $\pi_{j+2}(\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{U}(n)) \simeq \pi_j(\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{U}(n))$). See for example [Bore–01], [Same–52], [Bore–55], and [Bott–59].

(2) A compact group K is homeomorphic to the direct product $K_0 \times K/K_0$ [HoMo–06, Corollary 10.38, Page 559].

(3) Without the hypothesis "connected-by-compact", each conclusion of Theorem 2.E.16 may fail, as the following examples show.

(3_a) An infinite locally finite group does not contain maximal finite subgroups. Recall that a group Γ is **locally finite** if every finite subset of Γ is contained in a finite subgroup of Γ.

(3_b) Let A, B be two non-trivial finite groups. In the free product $A * B$, maximal finite subgroups are either conjugate of A or conjugate of B, and A, B are not conjugate with each other [MaKS–66, Section 4.1, Problem 11].

For an integer $n \geq 2$ and a prime p, the locally compact group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ contains n distinct conjugacy classes of maximal compact subgroups ([PlRa–94, Proposition

3.14], see also [Bruh–64]). Note that, in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, maximal compact subgroups are conjugate with each other.

(3_c) Let Γ be an infinite discrete group and K a finite subgroup. The quotient space Γ/K is not homeomorphic to a Euclidean space.

Theorem 2.E.18 (Montgomery-Zippin)**.** *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group that acts transitively, faithfully, and continuously on a connected topological manifold.*

Then G is isomorphic to a Lie group.

Proof. This follows simply from [MoZi–55, Corollary of Section 6.3].

A convenient reference is [Tao–14, Proposition 1.6.5, Pages 116–126]. Tao's proof uses first Proposition 2.D.5, to show that the natural map from G/H to the manifold is a homeomorphism (where H is some isotropy subgroup), and then the van Dantzig & Gleason-Yamabe Theorems, 2.E.6 & 2.E.14. □

In particular, if a σ-compact LC-group is locally homeomorphic to a Euclidean space, Theorem 2.E.18 applied to the action of G_0 on itself implies that G is isomorphic to a Lie group: this is the solution of Hilbert Fifth Problem .

Corollary 2.E.19. *Let G be an LC-group. There exist a compact subgroup K of G, a non-negative integer n, and a discrete subspace D of G, such that the homogeneous space G/K is homeomorphic to $D \times \mathbf{R}^n$. The unit component G_0 acts transitively on each connected component of G/K.*

On the space $D \times \mathbf{R}^n$, there exists a G-invariant structure of analytic manifold (in general non-connected).

Proof. By Corollary 2.E.7 of van Dantzig Theorem, there exists a connected-by-compact open subgroup H of G. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of H. By Theorem 2.E.16, we know that $H/K \approx \mathbf{R}^n$ for some $n \geq 0$, and that H_0 acts transitively on H/K, so that $H_0/(K \cap H_0) = H/K$.

Let D be a discrete subspace of G such that $G = \bigsqcup_{d \in D} dH$ (disjoint union), i.e. such that G is homeomorphic to $D \times H$; without loss of generality, we can assume that $1 \in D$. Then

$$G/K \;=\; \bigsqcup_{d \in D} d(H/K) \;=\; \bigsqcup_{d \in D} d(H_0/(K \cap H_0)) \approx D \times \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Since the unit component G_0 contains H_0 and is connected, $G_0/(K \cap G_0)$ is connected. Therefore $H/K = H_0/(K \cap H_0) = G_0/(K \cap G_0) \approx \{1\} \times \mathbf{R}^n$ is G_0-invariant; and G_0 acts transitively on $\{1\} \times \mathbf{R}^n$. Since G_0 is normal in G, the group G_0 acts transitively on each component $\{d\} \times \mathbf{R}^n$.

The last claim follows from Theorem 2.E.16(4). □

Compact normal subgroups appear in Theorem 2.E.14. We continue on this theme as follows.

Definition 2.E.20. The **polycompact radical** of a topological group G is the union $W(G)$ of all compact normal subgroups of G. Actually, it is a subgroup, indeed a topologically characteristic subgroup.

A topological group G **has a compact radical** if $W(G)$ is compact; in this case $W(G)$ is called the compact radical of G, and $W(G/W(G)) = \{1\}$.

Remark 2.E.21. For G and $W(G)$ as above, note that $W(G)$ is compact if and only if it is relatively compact. Indeed if the latter holds, then $\overline{W(G)}$ is a compact normal subgroup and hence is contained in $W(G)$, whence $W(G) = \overline{W(G)}$.

Example 2.E.22. We show an example where the polycompact radical is not compact, and another one where the polycompact radical is not closed.

(1) If $G = \mathbf{Q}_p$ for some prime p, or if G is an infinite locally finite discrete group, then $W(G) = G$; in particular, $W(G)$ is closed in G and is not compact.

(2) Consider an integer $n \geq 3$, the finite cyclic group $A = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$, the group of units $B = (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times$ of A viewed as a ring, and the semidirect product

$$G = \Big(\bigoplus_{k\geq 1} A_k\Big) \rtimes \Big(\prod_{k\geq 1} B_k\Big),$$

where every A_k is a copy of A and every B_k a copy of B; the action of the product on the direct sum is the natural one, for which B_k acts on the corresponding A_k by multiplication; the topology is that for which $\bigoplus_{k\geq 1} A_k$ is discrete and $\prod_{k\geq 1} B_k$ has the compact product topology. It can be checked that

$$W(G) = \Big(\bigoplus_{k\geq 1} A_k\Big) \rtimes \Big(\bigoplus_{k\geq 1} B_k\Big).$$

In particular, $W(G)$ is a dense, proper subgroup of G and is not closed. This group is a particular case of Example 1 in Section 6 of [WuYu–72].

It is known that, in a compactly generated LC-group, the polycompact radical is closed [Corn–b, Theorem 1].

Example 2.E.23. We describe compact radicals in five classes of LC-groups.

(1) Let G be a connected-by-compact LC-group, as in Theorems 2.E.14 and 2.E.16. Then G has a compact radical $W(G) = \bigcap_{g\in G} gKg^{-1}$, where K is any maximal compact subgroup of G. The quotient $G/W(G)$ is a Lie group with finitely many connected components.

The quotient $G/W(G)$ need not be connected; indeed, if $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, then $W(G) = \{1\}$ and $G/W(G) = G$ has two connected components.

(2) Let A, B be two LC-groups, C a proper open subgroup of both A and B, and $G = A *_C B$ the locally compact amalgamated product, as in Proposition 8.B.9 below. Suppose moreover that $W(C)$ is compact. Then G has a compact radical: $W(G)$ is the largest compact subgroup of C which is normal in both A and B. Compare with [Corn–09].

(3) Let H be an LC-group, K, L two isomorphic open subgroups, $\varphi : K \xrightarrow{\simeq} L$ a topological isomorphism, and $G = \mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$ the corresponding HNN-extension, as in Proposition 8.B.10 below. Suppose moreover that $W(K)$ is compact. Then G has a compact radical: $W(G)$ is the largest compact subgroup of K which is normal in H and invariant by φ.

(4) Let G be a Gromov-hyperbolic LC-group (see Remark 4.B.15). Then G has a compact radical; if moreover G is not 2-ended, then $W(G)$ is the kernel of the G-action on its boundary. See [CCMT, Lemma 5.1].

(5) An LC-group G acting geometrically on a CAT(0)-space X has a compact radical. (For "geometrically", see Section 4.C.)

Indeed, let B be a bounded subset of X such that $X = \bigcup_{g\in G} gB$. Set $\Omega = \{g \in G \mid gB \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$; by properness, Ω is relatively compact in G. We claim that every compact normal subgroup K of G is contained in Ω; modulo this claim, the union $W(G)$ of all compact normal subgroups of G is in Ω. Hence $W(G) \subset \Omega$ is relatively compact, and therefore compact by Remark 2.E.21.

Let us finally prove the claim. Let K be a normal compact subgroup of G. Let X^K denote the subspace of X of the points fixed by K. As X is a CAT(0)-space X^K is non-empty. As K is normal, X^K is G-invariant; it follows that X^K contains a point $x \in \Omega$. Since $kx = x$ for all $k \in K$, we have $K \subset \Omega$.

More generally, the argument of (6) holds for every metric space X with the following property: every group acting on X by isometries with a bounded orbit has a fixed point.

Chapter 3

The metric coarse category and the large-scale category of pseudo-metric spaces

3.A Coarsely Lipschitz maps and large-scale Lipschitz maps

It will be convenient to call an **upper control** a non-decreasing function $\Phi_+ : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, and a **lower control** a non-decreasing function $\Phi_- : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Phi_-(t) = \infty$.

Definition 3.A.1 (controls)**.** Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces (as in 2.A.5) and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a map. An **upper control for** f is an upper control Φ_+ such that

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq \Phi_+(d_X(x, x')) \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X.$$

A **lower control for** f is a lower control Φ_- such that

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \geq \Phi_-(d_X(x, x')) \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X.$$

It is suitable to allow infinite values for lower controls, for example to allow $\Phi_-(t) = \infty$ for $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ with X of finite diameter, say D, and $t > D$. See the proof of Proposition 3.A.5.

If there exist controls Φ_+, Φ_- for f, as above, note that there exist *continuous* controls $\widetilde{\Phi}_-, \widetilde{\Phi}_+$ for f such that

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_-(t) \leq \Phi_-(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbf{R}_+, \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_-(0) = 0,$$
$$\Phi_+(t) \leq \widetilde{\Phi}_+(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

It suffices to set $\widetilde{\Phi}_-(t) = \int_{\max\{t-1,0\}}^{t} \min\{u, \Phi_-(u)\}du$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}_+(t) = \int_t^{t+1} \Phi_+(u)du$.

Definition 3.A.2. Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a map. Then f is

(a) **coarsely Lipschitz** if there exists an upper control for f;

(b) **coarsely expansive** if there exists a lower control for f;
(c) a **coarse embedding** if it is coarsely Lipschitz and coarsely expansive;
(d) **essentially surjective** if $\sup_{y\in Y} d_Y(y, f(X)) < \infty$;
(e) a **metric coarse equivalence** if it is coarsely Lipschitz, coarsely expansive, and essentially surjective.

Two pseudo-metric spaces are **coarsely equivalent** if there exists a metric coarse equivalence from one to the other (and thus conversely, see Proposition 3.A.14(3)). Two maps f, f' from X to Y are **close**, and we write $f \sim f'$, if

$$\sup_{x\in X} d_Y(f(x), f'(x)) \ < \ \infty.$$

Remark 3.A.3. Let us record some straightforward observations. For maps, closeness is an equivalence relation. If $f \sim f'$, then f is coarsely Lipschitz if and only if f' is coarsely Lipschitz; other statements of a similar vein will be found in Corollary 3.A.16. The composition of two coarsely Lipschitz maps is coarsely Lipschitz. If $f, f' : X \longrightarrow Y$ and $h, h' : Y \longrightarrow Z$ are two pairs of close maps, then the composites hf and $h'f'$ are close.

Remark 3.A.4. The terminology is not well-established.

If $f, f' : X \longrightarrow Y$ are two maps between metric spaces, other terms used for "close" are "parallel" [Grom–93, 1.A', Page 23], "at bounded distance", "equivalent", and "bornotopic".

A metric coarse equivalence here is called a "quasi-isometry" in [Shal–04, Def. 2.1.1]. Coarsely Lipschitz maps here are "uniformly bornologous" maps in [Roe–93, Roe–03]. Coarsely expansive maps here are "effectively proper maps" in [BlWe-92] and "uniformly expansive maps" in [BeDr–08]. Coarse embeddings have been introduced under the name of "placements" or "placings" in [Grom–88, Section 4.1]; they are the "effectively proper Lipschitz maps" in [BlWe-92], and the "uniform embeddings" in [Grom–93, 7.E, Page 211]. In [Grom–93, 2.D, Page 6], two metrics d_1, d_2 on a space X are "uniformly equivalent on the large scale" if the identity $(X, d_1) \longrightarrow (X, d_2)$ is a metric coarse equivalence.

Definitions 3.A.2(a) and 3.A.2(b) can be reformulated without explicit references to controls:

Proposition 3.A.5 (coarsely Lipschitz and coarsely expansive maps)**.** *Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a map. The map f is coarsely Lipschitz if and only if it satisfies:*

(1) for every pair $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$, $(x'_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of sequences of points in X with $\sup_{n\geq 0} d_X(x_n, x'_n) < \infty$, we have $\sup_{n\geq 0} d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) < \infty$.

The map f is coarsely expansive if and only if it satisfies:

(2) for every pair $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$, $(x'_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of sequences of points in X with $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_X(x_n, x'_n) = \infty$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) = \infty$.

Proof. Suppose first that f satisfies Condition (1), and let us check that f is coarsely Lipschitz. Define

$$\Phi_+(c) = \sup\{d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \mid x, x' \in X \text{ with } d_X(x, x') \leq c\} \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

We have to check that Φ_+ is an upper control for f. Since Φ_+ is obviously non-decreasing, it is enough to check that Φ_+ takes finite values only. Suppose ab absurdo that one had $\Phi_+(c) = \infty$ for some c; there would exist two sequences $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$, $(x'_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in X such that $\sup_{n\geq 0} d_X(x_n, x'_n) \leq c$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) = \infty$, and this would contradict the condition above.

The converse implication is straightforward.

Suppose now that f satisfies Condition (2), and let us check that f is coarsely expansive. We proceed as above, defining at the appropriate point

$$\Phi_-(c) = \inf\{d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \mid x, x' \in X \text{ with } d_X(x, x') \geq c\} \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

[Note that, in case X has finite diamater, say D, then $\Phi_-(t) = \infty$ for $t > D$.]

Again, the converse implication is straightforward. □

The control Φ_- of the previous proof is the **compression function** of f, and Φ_+ is the **dilation function** of f.

Definition 3.A.6 (metric coarse category)**.** Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces. A **coarse morphism** from X to Y is a closeness class of coarsely Lipschitz maps from X to Y. By abuse of notation, we often denote a coarsely Lipschitz map and its class by the same letter.

The **metric coarse category** is the category whose objects are the non-empty pseudo-metric spaces and whose morphisms are coarse morphisms.

Definition 3.A.7. Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a map. Then f is

(a) **large-scale Lipschitz** if it has an affine upper control, in other words if there exist constants $c_+ > 0$, $c'_+ \geq 0$ such that $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq c_+ d_X(x, x') + c'_+$ for all $x, x' \in X$;
(b) **large-scale expansive** if it has an affine lower control, in other words if there exist constants $c_- > 0$, $c'_- \geq 0$ such that $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \geq c_- d_X(x, x') - c'_-$ for all $x, x' \in X$;
(c) **large-scale bilipschitz**, or a **quasi-isometric embedding**, if it is large-scale Lipschitz and large-scale expansive;
(e) **a quasi-isometry** if it is large-scale bilipschitz and essentially surjective.

An item (d), missing above, is identical with that of Definition 3.A.2. Two pseudo-metric spaces are **quasi-isometric** if there exists a quasi-isometry from one to the other (and thus conversely, see Proposition 3.A.15(3)).

Remark 3.A.8. Let us again record some straightforward observations. To be large-scale Lipschitz is invariant under closeness of maps. The composition of two

large-scale Lipschitz maps is large-scale Lipschitz. Note that every large-scale Lipschitz map between pseudo-metric spaces is coarsely Lipschitz.; similarly a large-scale expansive map is coarsely expansive.

The words "coarse equivalence" and "quasi-isometric" appear in [Grom–81], not quite as they do here (this article is an early discussion of Gromov on the notion of hyperbolicity). The terminology "large-scale Lipschitz" appears in [Grom–93, 1.A', Page 22].

Quasi-isometry can be defined for *relations*, i.e. for "multi-valued maps", rather than for maps. See [Cann–02], where quasi-isometries are called "quasi-Lipschitz equivalences".

Definition 3.A.9 (large-scale category)**.** Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces. A **large-scale Lipschitz morphism** from X to Y is a closeness class (in the sense of Definition 3.A.2) of large-scale Lipschitz maps from X to Y.

The **large-scale category** is the subcategory of the metric coarse category whose objects are the non-empty pseudo-metric spaces and whose morphisms are large-scale Lipschitz morphisms.

In particular, it is a *wide subcategory* of the metric coarse category (same objects, "less" morphisms).

For later reference, we recall the following more classical definition. Compare (a′), (c′), (e′), below with (a), (c), (e) of Definition 3.A.7.

Definition 3.A.10. Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces, and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a map. Then f is

(a′) **Lipschitz** if the condition of 3.A.7(a) holds with $c'_+ = 0$,
(c′) **bilipschitz** if there exist constants $c_+, c_- > 0$ such that $c_- d_X(x, x') \leq d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq c_+ d_X(x, x')$ for all $x, x' \in X$,
(e′) a **bilipschitz equivalence** if it is bilipschitz and onto.

The **Lipschitz metric category** is the category whose objects are the non-empty metric spaces and whose morphisms are the Lipschitz maps.

The **Lipschitz pseudo-metric category** is the category whose objects are the non-empty pseudo-metric spaces and whose morphisms are equivalence classes of Lipschitz maps, where two maps f, f' from X to Y are equivalent if $d_Y(f(x), f'(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Observe that the Lipschitz metric category is a full subcategory of the Lipschitz pseudo-metric category, and also a subcategory of that of metric spaces and continuous maps.

There is a variation: the **pointed Lipschitz metric category**, of pointed non-empty metric spaces and base-point preserving Lipschitz maps.

Remark 3.A.11. (1) A large-scale Lipschitz map between metric spaces, and a fortiori a coarsely Lipschitz map, *need not* be continuous.

For example, if $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ are given their natural metrics, defined by $d(x, y) = |y - x|$, the floor function $\mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, $x \longmapsto \lfloor x \rfloor$ of Example 2.A.4 is a quasi-isometry.

(2) Let X, Y be pseudo-metric spaces. A map from $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is **metrically proper** if $f^{-1}(B)$ is bounded in X for every bounded subset B of Y.

A coarsely expansive map is metrically proper. But a metrically proper map need not be coarsely expansive, as shown by the map $x \longmapsto \sqrt{x}$ from $\mathbf{R}_+$ to itself, with the usual metric.

Coarse expansiveness can be viewed as uniform notion of metric properness.

(3) Let us reformulate Definitions 3.A.2(e) and 3.A.7(e).

A map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a metric coarse equivalence if there are a lower control Φ_-, an upper control Φ_+, and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_-(d_X(x,x')) \;\le\; d_Y(f(x),f(x')) \;\le\; \Phi_+(d_X(x,x')) \quad &\text{for all } x, x' \in X \\ d_Y(y, f(X)) \;\le\; C \quad &\text{for all } y \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

The map f is a quasi-isometry if, moreover, the controls Φ_-, Φ_+ can be chosen to be affine functions.

For yet another reformulation of the definition of quasi-isometry, see Remark 3.C.7.

(4) Let X, Y be pseudo-metric spaces. Assume that X is metric, and that there exists $c > 0$ such that $d_X(x, x') \ge c$ for every pair (x, x') of distinct points of X. (For example, assume that (X, d) is a group with a word metric.) A map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is large-scale Lipschitz if and only if it is Lipschitz.

(5) Given a non-principal ultrafilter ω on $\mathbf{N}$, there is a functor called *asymptotic cones* from the large-scale category to the pointed Lipschitz metric category. A basic reference for asymptotic cones is [Grom–93, Chapter 2]; later ones include [Drut–01] and [Corn–11].

(6) Notions as in Definition 3.A.7 have been used in the early 1960's by Efremovič, Tihomirova, and others in the Russian school. They play an important role in articles by Mostow and Margulis.

In [Most–73, § 9, Page 66], a *pseudo-isometry* is defined as a map satisfying the inequalities of 3.A.7(a) and 3.A.7(b) with $c'_+ = c'_- = 0$. In [Marg–70], Margulis observes that two finite generating sets for a group Γ provide two metrics d_1, d_2 on Γ that are bilipschitz equivalent.

The rigidity theorems of Mostow were decisive to establish the importance of notions like quasi-isometry or pseudo-isometry (see Example 3.C.5). This was of course due to Mostow himself, but also to Thurston, Gromov, and others, who revisited the rigidity theorem on several occasions; see e.g. Chapter 5 in [Thur–80], [Grom–84], and Lecture IV in [BaGS–85].

(7) Notions as in Definition 3.A.2 appear in [Grom–93]. They have shown to be important, for example, in establishing cases of the Baum-Connes conjecture [Yu–00], [KaYu–06].

(8) It is crucial and now well-recognized (but it has not always been so) that one should carefully distinguish coarsely Lipschitz maps and metric coarse equivalences on the one hand, and large-scale Lipschitz maps and quasi-isometries on the other hand.

(9) It is sometimes useful to compare pseudo-metrics in a finer way than in Definitions 3.A.2(e), 3.A.7(e), and even 3.A.10(e′). The following is used in [AbMa–04]: two pseudo-metrics d_1, d_2 on a set X are **coarsely equal** if there exists a constant $c \geq 0$ such that $|d_2(x, x') - d_1(x, x')| \leq c$ for all $x, x' \in X$, i.e. if the identity from (X, d_1) to (X, d_2) is a a quasi-isometry with multiplicative constants $c_+ = c_- = 1$.

Example 3.A.12. (1) If Y is a non-empty pseudo-metric space, the empty map $\emptyset \longrightarrow Y$ is a coarse embedding. It is not essentially surjective: $d_Y(y, \emptyset) = \infty$ for all $y \in Y$.

(2) Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a map. If X has finite diameter, then f is large-scale expansive; the function defined by $\Phi_-(s) = \max\{s - \mathrm{diam}(X), 0\}$ for all $s \in \mathbf{R}_+$ is a lower control for f. If $f(X)$ has finite diameter, then f is large-scale Lipschitz; the function defined by $\Phi_+(s) = \mathrm{diam}(f(X))$ for all $s \in \mathbf{R}_+$ is an upper control for f. If Y has finite diameter, f is essentially surjective.

In particular, every non-empty pseudo-metric space of finite diameter is quasi-isometric to the one-point space.

(3) Let (X, d_X) be a non–empty pseudo-metric space. Let X_{Haus} be the **largest Hausdorff quotient** of X, more precisely the quotient of X by the relation "$x \sim y$ if $d_X(x, y) = 0$". It is naturally a metric space, for a metric that we denote by d_{Haus}, and the quotient map $(X, d_X) \longrightarrow (X_{\mathrm{Haus}}, d_{\mathrm{Haus}})$ is an isomorphism in the Lipschitz pseudo-metric category.

(4) Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define a new metric d_1 on X by $d_1(x, x') = \max\{1, d(x, x')\}$ for $x, x' \in X$, $x \neq x'$. The identity map defines a quasi-isometry $(X, d) \longrightarrow (X, d_1)$.

In particular, every metric space is quasi-isometric to a discrete metric space.

Define another metric $d_{\ln}$ on X by $d_{\ln}(x, x') = \ln(1 + d(x, x'))$ for $x, x' \in X$. Viewed as a map $f : (X, d) \longrightarrow (X, d_{\ln})$, the identity is now a metric coarse equivalence; the functions Φ_+, Φ_- defined on $\mathbf{R}_+$ by $\Phi_+(r) = \Phi_-(r) = \ln(1 + r)$ are respectively an upper control and a lower control for f (alternatively: $\Phi_+(r) = r$). Note that f *is not* a quasi-isometry, unless X has finite diameter. The example of (5) below is of the same flavour.

Similarly, for every metric space (X, d), the identity map $(X, d) \longrightarrow (X, \sqrt{d})$ is a metric coarse equivalence. It is a quasi-isometry if and only if the diameter of (X, d) is finite.

In loose words, local properties of metric spaces are not invariant by quasi-isometries. More precisely, this holds in particular for local compactness ((2) above), being Hausdorff (3), and discreteness (4). Similarly, the inclusion of a metric space in its completion is a quasi-isometry.

(5) Let $\mathbf{H}^2$ denote the upper half-plane model $\{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$ for the hyperbolic plane. Consider the map $f : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^2$, $x \longmapsto x + i$, which is a parametrisation of the horocycle h around ∞ containing i. Then [Iver–92, II.8, Page 80]:

$$d_{\mathbf{H}^2}(f(x), f(x + \ell)) \;=\; \arg\cosh(1 + \ell^2/2) \underset{\ell \to \infty}{\sim} 2 \ln \ell.$$

The map f can be seen as a metric coarse equivalence from $\mathbf{R}$ with the usual metric to the horocycle h with the metric induced from $\mathbf{H}^2$, and f is not a quasi-isometry.

This carries over to the hyperbolic space of any dimension $n \geq 2$, and provides maps $f : \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^n$ whose images are horospheres. Compare with [Grom–93, Item 3.A].

In Example 3.D.24, we will state (and not completely prove) that Euclidean spaces $\mathbf{R}^m$, $m \geq 1$, and hyperbolic spaces $\mathbf{H}^n$, $n \geq 2$, are pairwise non-quasi-isometric. It can also be shown that, for every $n \geq 1$, the space $\mathbf{H}^2$ cannot be coarsely embedded in $\mathbf{R}^n$.

(6) Let X be a pseudo-metric space such that

$$\{(x, x') \in X^2 \mid x \neq x' \text{ and } d_X(x, x') \leq c\}$$

is a finite set for all $c > 0$; an infinite example is given by the set of squares in $\mathbf{N}$, with the usual metric defined by $d(m^2, n^2) = |m^2 - n^2|$. Then every map from X to a pseudo-metric space is coarsely Lipschitz.

(7) Let G be a connected real Lie group. The metrics associated to left-invariant Riemannian metrics on G are pairwise bilipschitz equivalent. Compare with Example 4.C.9.

It is known that G is quasi-isometric to a connected closed subgroup of real upper triangular matrices [Corn–08, Lemma 6.7].

(8) Let $n \geq 1$. Recall that an invertible matrix $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ is **distal** if it all its eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ are of modulus one, $|\lambda| = 1$, and **semisimple** it it is conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ to a diagonal matrix.

Consider the Lie group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ with some left-invariant Riemannian metric, a matrix $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, and the map $f : \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ given by $n \longmapsto g^n$. Then f is

(8_1) a Lipschitz map in all cases,

(8_2) a bilipschitz map if and only if g is non-distal,

(8_3) coarsely expansive if and only if g is either non-distal or non-semisimple,

(8_4) bounded if and only if g is distal and semisimple.

Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a local field (see Example 2.E.3), $n \geq 1$ an integer, and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$. Let $f : \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ be similarly defined by $f(n) = g^n$. The following four properties are equivalent:

(8_{local}) f is bilipschitz, g is non-distal, f is coarsely expansive, f is unbounded.

We refer to [Grom–93, Chapter 3, on distortion] for other examples of inclusions of sub-(semi-)groups in groups, looked at from the point of view of inclusions of metric spaces inside each other.

(9) Let F_2 denote the free group on a set S of two elements, with its natural word metric d_S (Definition 4.B.2). As shown below in Corollary 3.D.23, there does not exist any coarse embedding of F_2 (of exponential growth) into the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$ (of polynomial growth), for every $n \geq 1$.

There does not exist a large-scale bilipschitz map of F_2, indeed of a free *semi*-group of rank 2, in a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ [Bour–86], but there exist coarse embeddings of F_2 into $\mathcal{H}$ [BeHV–08, Section C.2].

(10) There is in [Tess] a characterization of functions that can be lower controls of Lipschitz embeddings of F_2 into Hilbert spaces.

Three basic examples from a later chapter

(11) Given a σ-compact LC-group G and a cocompact closed subgroup H (for example a cocompact lattice), the inclusion $j : H \hookrightarrow G$ can be seen as a metric coarse equivalence. If, moreover, G is compactly generated, j can be seen as a quasi-isometry (Proposition 4.C.11).

(12) Given a σ-compact LC-group G and a compact normal subgroup K, the canonical projection $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow G/K$ can be seen as a metric coarse equivalence. If, moreover, G is compactly generated, π can be seen as a quasi-isometry (Proposition 4.C.12).

(13) Let G be a σ-compact LC-group and H a closed subgroup. Let d_G be an adapted metric on G and d_H an adapted metric on H. The inclusion $j : (H, d_H) \hookrightarrow (G, d_G)$ is a coarse embedding (Corollary 4.A.6).

In general, j is not a quasi-isometric embedding, even if G, H are both compactly generated and d_G, d_H are word metrics defined by compact generating sets (Remark 4.B.12).

Lemma 3.A.13 (pairs of controls)**.** *(1) Let $\Phi_+ : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ be an upper control. The function $\Psi_- : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$ defined by*

$$\Psi_-(s) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{R}_+ \mid \Phi_+(r) \geq s\} \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbf{R}_+$$

is a lower control such that $\Psi_-(\Phi_+(t)) \leq t$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

(2) Let $\Phi_- : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$ be a lower control. The function $\Psi_+ : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ defined by

$$\Psi_+(s) = \sup\{r \in \mathbf{R}_+ \mid \Phi_-(r) \leq s\} \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbf{R}_+$$

is an upper control such that $t \leq \Psi_+(\Phi_-(t))$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

The proof is an elementary exercise. We use the natural conventions: $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ and $\sup \emptyset = 0$.

Consider for example the usual metric on $\mathbf{R}_+$ and the inclusion f of the interval $[0, 1]$ in $\mathbf{R}_+$. Define $\Phi_+ : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ and $\Phi_- : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$ by $\Phi_+(r) = \Phi_-(r) = r$ if $r \leq 1$, and $\Phi_+(r) = 1$, $\Phi_-(r) = \infty$ if $r > 1$. Then Φ_+ is an upper control for f and Φ_- a lower control for f; moreover, with the definition of Lemma 3.A.13, we have $\Psi_+ = \Phi_+$ and $\Psi_- = \Phi_-$.

Proposition 3.A.14 (on coarse morphisms)**.** *Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces, $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a coarsely Lipschitz map, and $\underline{f}$ the corresponding morphism (in the sense of Definition 3.A.6). Then, in the metric coarse category:*

(1) $\underline{f}$ is an epimorphism if and only if f is essentially surjective;
(2) $\underline{f}$ is a monomorphism if and only if f is coarsely expansive;
(3) $\underline{f}$ is an isomorphism if and only if it is both an epimorphism and a monomorphism, if and only if f is a metric coarse equivalence.

Proof. (1) Suppose first that f is essentially surjective; set $c = \sup_{y \in Y} d_Y(y, f(X))$. Consider a pseudo-metric space Z and two coarsely Lipschitz maps h_1, h_2 from Y to Z. To show that $\underline{f}$ is an **epimorphism**, we assume that $h_1 f \sim h_2 f$ and we have to show that $h_1 \sim h_2$.

Since $h_1 f \sim h_2 f$, there exists $c' > 0$ such that $d_Z(h_1(f(x)), h_2(f(x))) \leq c'$ for all $x \in X$. Since h_i is a coarsely Lipschitz map, there exists $c_i > 0$ such that $d_Z(h_i(y), h_i(y')) \leq c_i$ for all $y, y' \in Y$ with $d_Y(y, y') \leq c$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Let $y \in Y$. Choose $x \in X$ such that $d_Y(y, f(x)) \leq c$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_Z(h_1(y), h_2(y)) &\leq d_Z(h_1(y), h_1 f(x)) + d_Z(h_1 f(x), h_2 f(x)) + d_Z(h_2 f(x), h_2(y)) \\ &\leq c_1 + c' + c_2 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $h_1 \sim h_2$.

For the converse implication, suppose that f is not essentially surjective. Define two functions $h_1, h_2 : Y \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ by $h_1(y) = 0$ and $h_2(y) = d_Y(y, f(X))$ for all $y \in Y$. Observe that these are coarsely Lipschitz functions with $h_1 f = h_2 f = 0$, so that in particular $h_1 f \sim h_2 f$. But $h_1(Y) = \{0\}$ is bounded in $\mathbf{R}_+$ and $h_2(Y)$ is not, so that $h_1 \nsim h_2$. Hence $\underline{f}$ is not an epimorphism.

(2) Suppose first that f is coarsely expansive; let Φ_- be a lower control for f, and define an upper control Ψ_+ as in Lemma 3.A.13. Consider a pseudo-metric space W and two coarsely Lipschitz maps g_1, g_2 from W to X. To show that $\underline{f}$ is a **monomorphism**, we assume that $f g_1 \sim f g_2$ and we have to show that $g_1 \sim g_2$.

Since $f g_1 \sim f g_2$, there exists a constant c' such that $d_Y(f g_1(w), f g_2(w)) \leq c'$ for all $w \in W$. Since Φ_- is a lower control for f, we have $\Phi_-(d_X(g_1(w), g_2(w))) \leq d_Y(f g_1(w), f g_2(w))$ for all $w \in W$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(g_1(w), g_2(w)) &\leq \Psi_+(\Phi_-(d_X(g_1(w), g_2(w)))) \\ &\leq \Psi_+(d_Y(f g_1(w), f g_2(w))) \leq \Psi_+(c') \quad \text{for all } w \in W. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $g_1 \sim g_2$.

For the converse implication, suppose that f is not coarsely expansive. There exist a constant $c > 0$ and two sequences $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}, (x'_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of points in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_X(x_n, x'_n) = \infty$ and $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) \leq c$ for every $n \geq 0$. Let W denote the space of squares in $\mathbf{N}$ as in Example 3.A.12(6); define two maps $g_1, g_2 : W \longrightarrow X$ by $g_1(n^2) = x_n$ and $g_2(n^2) = x'_n$ for all $n^2 \in W$. Then g_1, g_2 are coarsely Lipschitz maps, they are not close, and $f g_1, f g_2$ are close. Hence $\underline{f}$ is not a monomorphism.

(3) Because of (1) & (2), the equivalence between "metric coarse equivalence" and "epimorphism & monomorphism" follows from the definitions.

Suppose now that f is a metric coarse equivalence; let Φ_- and Φ_+ be a lower control and an upper control for f, and let $c > 0$ be such that $d_Y(y, f(X)) \leq c$ for all $y \in Y$. Let Ψ_+ be the upper control defined as in Lemma 3.A.13, and let Ψ_- be the lower control defined by $\Psi_-(s) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{R}_+ \mid \Phi_+(r) + 2c \geq s\}$ for all $s \in \mathbf{R}_+$. For each $y \in Y$, choose $x_y \in X$ such that $d_Y(y, f(x_y)) \leq c$; set $g(y) = x_y$; thus g is a map $Y \longrightarrow X$ such that $\sup_{y \in Y} d_Y(y, f g(y)) \leq c$. We claim that g is coarsely Lipschitz and coarsely expansive.

Let $y, y' \in Y$. On the one hand, we have

$$\Phi_-(d_X(g(y), g(y'))) \leq d_Y(f g(y), f g(y')),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(g(y), g(y')) &\leq \Psi_+ \Phi_-(d_X(g(y), g(y'))) \\ &\leq \Psi_+(d_Y(f g(y), f g(y'))) \leq \Psi_+(d_Y(y, y') + 2c), \end{aligned}$$

so that $s \mapsto \Psi_+(s+2c)$ is an upper control for g, and g is a coarsely Lipschitz map. On the other hand, denoting by $\widetilde{\Phi}_+$ the upper control $\Phi_+ + 2c$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(y,y') &\leq d_Y(y, fg(y)) + d_Y(fg(y), fg(y')) + d_Y(fg(y'), y') \\ &\leq \Phi_+(d_X(g(y), g(y'))) + 2c = \widetilde{\Phi}_+(d_X(g(y), g(y'))) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\Psi_-(d_Y(y,y')) \leq \Psi_-\widetilde{\Phi}_+(d_X(g(y), g(y'))) \leq d_X(g(y), g(y')),$$

so that g is coarsely expansive.

We leave it to the reader to check that g is essentially surjective, and therefore that g is a metric coarse equivalence. From the definition of g, it is clear that $fg \sim \mathrm{id}_Y$. It follows that $fgf \sim f$; since $\underline{f}$ is a monomorphism (by (2)), this implies that $gf \sim \mathrm{id}_X$. We have shown that $\underline{f}$ is an isomorphism, with inverse isomorphism $\underline{g}$.

For the converse implication, we have to check that a coarse isomorphism is a metric coarse equivalence. This follows from (1) and (2). □

Here is the analogue of Proposition 3.A.14 for large-scale morphisms. The proof, which is similar, is left to the reader.

Proposition 3.A.15 (on large-scale morphisms)**.** *Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces, $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a large-scale Lipschitz map, and $\underline{f}$ the corresponding morphism (in the sense of Definition 3.A.9). Then, in the large-scale category:*

(1) $\underline{f}$ is an epimorphism if and only if f is essentially surjective;
(2) $\underline{f}$ is a monomorphism if and only if f is large-scale expansive;
(3) $\underline{f}$ is an isomorphism if and only if it is both an epimorphism and a monomorphism, if and only if f is a quasi-isometry.

Corollary 3.A.16 (properties of maps stable by closeness)**.** *Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces and $f, f' : X \longrightarrow Y$ two close maps.*

Then f' is coarsely expansive [respectively a coarse embedding, essentially surjective, a metric coarse equivalence, large-scale expansive, large-scale bilipschitz, a quasi-isometry] if and only if f has the same property.

3.B Coarse properties and large-scale properties

In this section, we begin to describe properties of pseudo-metric spaces which will later make good sense for LC-groups.

3.B.a Coarsely connected, coarsely geodesic, and large-scale geodesic pseudo-metric spaces

There are several notions of connectedness, or rather path-connectedness, suited to the categories introduced in the previous section:

Definition 3.B.1. Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space and $c > 0$ a constant.

For $x, x' \in X$ and $n \geq 0$, a **c-path of n steps from x to x' in X** is a sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = x'$ of points in X such that $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq c$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

(a) The space X is **c-coarsely connected** if, for every pair (x, x') of points in X, there exists a c-path from x to x' in X. The space X is **coarsely connected** if it is c-coarsely connected for some $c > 0$.

(b) The space X is **c-coarsely geodesic** if there exist an upper control Φ such that, for every pair (x, x') of points in X, there exists a c-path of at most $\Phi(d(x, x'))$ steps from x to x' in X. The space X is **coarsely geodesic** if it is c-coarsely geodesic for some $c > 0$.

(c) The space X is **c-large-scale geodesic** if it has the property of (b) above with Φ affine, in other words if there exist constants $a > 0, b \geq 0, c > 0$ such that, for every pair (x, x') of points in X, there exists a c-path of a most $ad(x, x') + b$ steps from x to x' in X. The space X is **large-scale geodesic** if it is c-large-scale geodesic for some $c > 0$.

(d) The space X is **c-geodesic** if, for $x, x' \in X$, there exists a c-path $x_0 = x, x_1, \ldots, x_n = x'$ from x to x' in X such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_{i-1}, x_i) = d(x, x')$.

(e) The space X is **geodesic** if, for every pair of points $x, x' \in X$ with $d(x, x') > 0$, there exists an isometry f from the interval $[0, d(x, x')]$ to X such that $f(0) = x$ and $f(d(x, x')) = x'$. [Alternatively, we could delete the condition "$d(x, x') > 0$" and introduce a non-Hausdorff "real interval" $[0^-, 0^+]$ of zero length, with two distinct points.]

Instead of writing that the *space* X is coarsely connected (or coarsely geodesic, etc.), we sometimes write that the *metric* d is coarsely connected (or coarsely geodesic, etc.).

Remark 3.B.2. "Coarsely connected" here means the same as "long-range connected" in [Grom–93, $0.2.A_2$].

Obviously, in Definition 3.B.1, each of the notions defined in (b), (c), (d), (e), implies the previous one.

There are characterizations of Properties (a), (b), and (c), of Definition 3.B.1 in Proposition 3.B.6.

Let (X, d) and $c > 0$ be as in the previous definition, and $C \geq c$. If X is c-coarsely connected, it is obvious that X is C-coarsely connected. Analogous implications hold for c-coarsely geodesic, c-large-scale geodesic, and c-geodesic spaces. It follows that, in each of (a), (b), and (c) above, the final "for some $c > 0$" can be replaced by "for any c large enough".

Example 3.B.3. Let $c > 0$. A c-coarsely connected pseudo-metric space need not be c-coarsely geodesic, as the following example shows.

For integers $m, n \geq 0$, denote by $C_{m,n}$ the metric realization of the graph with vertex set $(x_j^{(m,n)})_{j \in \mathbf{Z}/(m+n)\mathbf{Z}}$ and edge set $(\{x_{j-1}^{(m,n)}, x_j^{(m,n)}\})_{j \in \mathbf{Z}/(m+n)\mathbf{Z}}$, with the combinatorial metric (Example 2.A.13). Let $I_{m,n}$ be the subspace of $C_{m,n}$ corresponding to the subgraph spanned by the vertices $x_j^{(m,n)}$ with $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, with the induced metric. Set

$$X = \bigvee_{m,n \geq 3, m \leq n/2} I_{m,n} \subset B = \bigvee_{m,n \geq 3, m \leq n/2} C_{m,n},$$

where $\bigvee$ indicates a union in which the vertices $x_0^{(m,n)}$ are identified, and "B" stands for "bouquet". We view B as a metric space for the combinatorial metric, and X with the induced metric.

For every $c \geq 1$, the metric space X is c-coarsely connected. But X is not c-coarsely geodesic; indeed, for every $m, n \geq 3$ with $m \leq n/2$, the two end-points of $I_{m,n}$ inside X are at distance $m+1$, but cannot be joined in X by a m-path of less than n steps.

Definition 3.B.4. Let $c > 0$ be a constant, and (X, d_X) a c-coarsely connected pseudo-metric space. We define now a **graph X_c associated to** X and *two* metrics d_c, d'_c on it.

Let $(X_{\mathrm{Haus}}, d_{\mathrm{Haus}})$ be the largest Hausdorff quotient of X (Example 3.A.12(3)). Let X_c denote the connected graph with vertex set X_{Haus}, in which edges connect pairs $(x, y) \in X_{\mathrm{Haus}} \times X_{\mathrm{Haus}}$ with $0 < d_{\mathrm{Haus}}(x, y) \leq c$. Let d_c denote the combinatorial metric on X_c, with edges of length c (Example 2.A.13).

Because of (4) in Lemma 3.B.5 below, we define a second metric d'_c on X_c by

$$d'_c(u, v) = \begin{cases} d_c(u, v) \text{ if } u, v \text{ are in a common edge of } X_c, \\ \inf\{d_c(u, x) + d_X(x, y) + d_c(y, v) \mid x \in \mathrm{extr}(u), y \in \mathrm{extr}(v)\} \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have denoted by $\mathrm{extr}(u)$ the set of vertices in X_c (= the set of points in X_{Haus}) that are incident to an edge containing u. Thus, if u is in the interior of an edge connecting two distinct vertices x and x', then $\mathrm{extr}(u) = \{x, x'\}$.

Lemma 3.B.5. *Let $c > 0$ be a constant, (X, d_X) a c-coarsely connected pseudo-metric space, and (X_c, d_c) as in Definition 3.B.4.*

The natural mapping $\varphi : (X, d_X) \longrightarrow (X_c, d_c), x \longmapsto [x]$ has the following properties:

(1) The target space (X_c, d_c) is geodesic (and therefore in particular connected).
(2) We have $d_c([x], [y]) \geq d_X(x, y)$ for $x, y \in X$; in particular φ is large-scale expansive (a fortiori coarsely expansive). Observe that, for $x, y \in X$ with $0 < d_c(x, y) \leq c$, we have $d_c([x], [y]) = c \geq d_X(x, y)$.
(3) The mapping φ is essentially surjective: $\sup_{w \in X_c} d_c(w, \varphi(X)) \leq c/2$.
(4) The mapping φ need not be coarsely Lipschitz.
(5) If (X, d_X) is coarsely geodesic, φ is coarsely Lipschitz, and thus a metric coarse equivalence.
(6) If (X, d_X) is large-scale geodesic, φ is a large-scale Lipschitz, and thus a quasi-isometry.

The natural mapping $\psi : (X, d_X) \longrightarrow (X_c, d'_c), x \longmapsto [x]$ has the following properties:

(7) The target space (X_c, d'_c) is connected.
(8) The mapping ψ is an isometry and is essentially surjective; in particular, it is a metric coarse equivalence.

Proof. Proofs are straightforward, and left to the reader. Note that Properties (5) and (6) for φ hold also for ψ, but we will not use this below. □

Proposition 3.B.6 (notions invariant by coarse metric equivalence and by quasi-isometry)**.** *Let (X, d_X) be a pseudo-metric space.*

(1) Coarse connectedness is a property invariant by metric coarse equivalence.
(2) X is coarsely connected if and only if X is metric coarse equivalent to some connected metric space.
(3) Coarse geodesicity is a property invariant by metric coarse equivalence.
(4) X is coarsely geodesic if and only if X is metric coarse equivalent to some geodesic metric space.
(5) Large-scale geodesicity is a property invariant by quasi-isometry.
(6) X is large-scale geodesic if and only if X is quasi-isometric to some geodesic metric space.

Note. There are also characterizations of the properties in (1), (3), and (5), in terms of X and its Rips 2-complexes: see proposition 6.C.2.

Below, further properties are shown to be invariant by metric coarse equivalence:

(7) being coarsely ultrametric (Proposition 3.B.14),
(8) asymptotic dimension (Proposition 3.B.16),
(9) coarse properness (Corollary 3.D.11),
(10) uniformly coarse properness (Corollary 3.D.16),
(11) amenability (Proposition 3.D.34),
(12) coarse simple connectedness (Proposition 6.A.7).

Also:

(13) growth functions, degrees of polynomial growth and exponential growth are invariant by quasi-isometry (Definitions 3.D.19 & 3.D.20, and Proposition 3.D.22).

Compare with the situation for *groups* (Remarks 4.A.8 and 4.B.14).

Proof of Proposition 3.B.6. Claims (1), (3), and (5), are obvious.

For Claim (2), suppose X is coarsely equivalent to a connected metric space Y. For every $c > 0$, the c-coarsely connected components of a metric space are open; in particular, since Y is connected, Y is coarsely connected. By (1), the space X is also coarsely connected.

Conversely, if X is coarsely connected, then X is coarsely equivalent to a connected metric space by (7) and (8) of Lemma 3.B.5.

Similarly, Claims (4) and (6) follow from (1), (5) and (6) of Lemma 3.B.5. □

Remark 3.B.7. (1) Let (X, d) be a coarsely geodesic pseudo-metric space. Let Φ and c be as in Definition 3.B.1(b). Define $\nu : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ by

$$\nu(x, x') \;=\; \min\left\{ n \geq 0 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \exists\, x = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = x' \text{ such that} \\ d(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq c \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n \end{array} \right\}.$$

It follows from the definitions that ν is a pseudo-metric on X and that

$$\frac{1}{c} d(x, x') \;\leq\; \nu(x, x') \;\leq\; c\Phi(d(x, x')) \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X.$$

Hence the map $(X,d) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} (X,\nu)$ is a metric coarse equivalence. (A pseudo-metric similar to ν will appear in Definition 4.B.5.)

(2) Let (X,d) be a large-scale geodesic pseudo-metric space. Similarly, the map $(X,d) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} (X,\nu)$ is a quasi-isometry.

(3) A connected metric space is c-coarsely connected for every $c > 0$. Indeed, in every metric space, the c-coarsely connected components are open in X.

Proposition 3.B.8 (on maps defined on large-scale geodesic spaces)**.** *Let X, Y be two pseudo-metric spaces and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a map.*

(1) If X is large-scale geodesic and f coarsely Lipschitz, then f is large-scale Lipschitz.

(2) If X and Y are large-scale geodesic and if f is a metric coarse equivalence, then f is a quasi-isometry.

Note. (a) A slight variation of Claim (2) appears as the "trivial" lemma of [Grom–93, 0.2.D, Page 7].

(b) Proposition 4.B.10 below is the particular case of Proposition 3.B.8 for compactly generated LC-groups.

(c) Even if X and Y are large-scale geodesic and f coarsely expansive, f need not be large-scale expansive (Example 3.B.9(2) below).

Proof. It is enough to prove the first claim, because the second follows from the first applied both to f and to a map g such that f and g represent morphisms inverse to each other.

As X is large-scale geodesic, there exist constants a, b, c as in (3.B.1(c)). As f is coarsely Lipschitz, there exist $c' > 0$ such that $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq c'$ as soon as $d_Y(x,x') \leq c$. Let $x, x' \in X$. Let n and $x = x_0, \ldots, x_n = x'$ be as in (3.B.1(c)). Then

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_Y(f(x_{i-1}), f(x_i)) \leq nc' \leq (c'a)d_X(x,x') + (c'b).$$

It follows that f is large-scale Lipschitz. □

Example 3.B.9. (1) Let (X,d) be a coarsely geodesic metric space. The space $(X, \sqrt{d})$ is coarsely geodesic, and is large-scale geodesic if and only if the diameter of (X,d) is finite. The same holds for the space $(X, d_{\ln})$ of Example 3.A.12(4).

(2) Given a ring R, let $H(R)$ denote the **Heisenberg group** $H(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & R & R \\ 0 & 1 & R \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with coefficients in R. Anticipating on § 4.B and Definition 4.B.1, consider the groups $\mathbf{Z}$ and $H(\mathbf{Z})$ as metric spaces for the word metrics d_U, d_T respectively defined by the generating sets $U := \{1\} \subset \mathbf{Z}$ and $T := \{s,t,u\} \subset H(\mathbf{Z})$, where

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u = s^{-1}t^{-1}st = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The metric spaces $(\mathbf{Z}, d_U)$ and $(H(\mathbf{Z}), d_T)$ are both large-scale geodesic. The inclusion $(\mathbf{Z}, d_U) \hookrightarrow (H(\mathbf{Z}), d_T), n \longmapsto u^n$ is coarsely expansive, and is not large-scale expansive. We come back on this example in Remark 4.B.12.

3.B.b Coarsely ultrametric pseudo-metric spaces

Definition 3.B.10. A pseudo-metric d on a set X is **ultrametric** if

$$d(x, x'') \leq \max\{d(x, x'), d(x', x'')\} \quad \text{for all } x, x', x'' \in X.$$

Remark 3.B.11. (1) Let X be a set and d a pseudo-metric on X. Define $\lfloor d \rfloor : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by $\lfloor d \rfloor(x, x') = \lfloor d(x, x') \rfloor$ for all $x, x' \in X$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the floor function, as in Example 2.A.4. Observe that $\lfloor d \rfloor$ need not be a pseudo-metric.

However, if d is an ultrametric pseudo-metric, then so is $\lfloor d \rfloor$.

(2) Let G be a topological group and d a left-invariant ultrametric pseudo-metric on G. Suppose that there exists a neighbourhood S of 1 in G of diameter $\mathrm{diam}_d(S) = \sup_{s \in S} d(1, s) < 1$. Then $\lfloor d \rfloor$ is locally constant; in particular, $\lfloor d \rfloor$ is continuous.

Indeed, for every $g, g' \in G$ and $s, s' \in S$, we have $\lfloor d \rfloor(1, s) = \lfloor d \rfloor(1, s') = 0$, hence

$$\lfloor d \rfloor(gs, g's') \leq \max\{\lfloor d \rfloor(gs, g), \lfloor d \rfloor(g, g'), \lfloor d \rfloor(g', g's')\} = \lfloor d \rfloor(g, g')$$

and similarly $\lfloor d \rfloor(g, g') \leq \lfloor d \rfloor(gs, g's')$, so that $\lfloor d \rfloor(g, g') = \lfloor d \rfloor(gs, g's')$.

(3) Let G be a topological group and d a left-invariant continuous pseudo-metric on G. Consider a radius $r \geq 0$, the closed ball $B^1_G(r) = \{g \in G \mid d(1, g) \leq r\}$, a subset S of G contained in $B^1_G(r)$, and the subgoup H of G generated by S.

If d is ultrametric, then $B^1_G(r)$ is a closed subgroup of G, and $H \subset B^1_G(r)$. (Compare with Remark 4.A.3(4) below.)

Definition 3.B.12. A pseudo-metric space is **coarsely ultrametric** if, for every $r \geq 0$, the equivalence relation generated by the relation "being at distance at most r" has orbits of bounded diameter.

Remark 3.B.13. Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space. For $x, x' \in X$, define $d^{\mathrm{u}}(x, x')$ to be the infimum of $r \geq 0$ such that there exists a finite sequence $x_0 = x, x_1, \ldots, x_n = x'$ with $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq r$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then d^{u} is an ultrametric pseudo-metric on X, and $d^{\mathrm{u}} = d$ if and only if the pseudo-metric d itself is ultrametric. Let u denote the identity of X viewed as a map $(X, d) \longrightarrow (X, d^{\mathrm{u}})$. Observe that u is surjective and coarsely Lipschitz.

Definition 3.B.12 can be reformulated as follows: (X, d) is coarsely ultrametric if, for every $r \geq 0$, there exists $R \geq 0$ such that, for all $x, x' \in X$, the inequality $d^{\mathrm{u}}(x, x') \leq r$ implies $d(x, x') \leq R$. By Proposition 3.A.5(2), this means that the following three properties are equivalent:

(i) (X, d) is coarsely ultrametric,
(ii) u is coarsely expansive,
(iii) u is a coarse metric equivalence.

As a digression, we note that Definition 3.B.1(a) can also be reformulated in terms of d^u: for a constant $c > 0$, a pseudo-metric space (X, d) is c-coarsely connected if and only if the diameter of (X, d^{u}) is at most c.

Proposition 3.B.14. *A pseudo-metric space is coarsely ultrametric if and only if it is coarsely equivalent to an ultrametric pseudo-metric space.*

In particular, being coarsely ultrametric is a coarse invariant of pseudo-metric spaces.

Proof. Remark 3.B.13 shows in particular that, if a pseudo-metric space is coarsely ultrametric, then it is coarsely equivalent to an ultrametric pseudo-metric space.

For the converse implication, consider two pseudo-metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y). Assume that (Y, d_Y) is ultrametric, and that there exists a metric coarse equivalence $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, with some upper control Φ_+ and lower control Φ_-. Define ultrametric pseudo-metrics d_X^{u} and d_Y^{u} as in Remark 3.B.13; note that $d_Y^{\mathrm{u}} = d_Y$.

Let $r \geq 0$. There exists $R \geq 0$ such that, for $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$, the inequality $\Phi_-(t) \leq \Phi_+(r)$ implies $t \leq R$. Let $x, x' \in X$ be such that $d_X^{\mathrm{u}}(x, x') \leq r$. Let $x_0 = x, x_1, \ldots, x_n = x'$ be a sequence in X with $d_X(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq r$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then

$$\Phi_- \left(d_X(x, x') \right) \leq d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq \max_{i=1,\ldots,n} d_Y(f(x_{i-1}), f(x_i)) \leq \Phi_+(r),$$

so that $d_X(x, x') \leq R$. Hence (X, d_X) is coarsely ultrametric. □

3.B.c Asymptotic dimension

The following definition appears in [Grom–93, 1.E, Page 28].

Definition 3.B.15. Let n be a non-negative integer. A pseudo-metric space (X, d) has **asymptotic dimension** $\leq n$, written $\mathrm{asdim}(X) \leq n$, if the following property holds:

$$\text{for every } r > 0, \text{ there exists a cover } X = \bigcup_{c=0,\ldots,n} \bigsqcup_{i \in I_c} X_{c,i}$$

$$\text{with } \sup_{c,i} \mathrm{diam}(X_{c,i}) < \infty \text{ and}$$

$$d(X_{c,i}, X_{c,j}) \geq r \text{ for all } c \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \text{ and } i, j \in I_c,\ i \neq j.$$

A pseudo-metric space (X, d) has **asymptotic dimension** n if $\mathrm{asdim}(X) \leq n$ holds and $\mathrm{asdim}(X) \leq n - 1$ does not.

Proposition 3.B.16. *Asymptotic dimension is an invariant of metric coarse equivalence.*

Proof. This is an exercise. Alternatively, see for example [BeDr–08, Proposition 22]. □

Example 3.B.17. An ultrametric space (X, d) has asymptotic dimension 0. Indeed, for every $r > 0$, the closed balls of radius r constitute a partition $X = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} B_i$, and $d(B_i, B_j) > r$ for every $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$.

More generally, a coarsely ultrametric pseudo-metric space has asymptotic dimension 0.

Example 3.B.18. It is known that the additive group of rationals, $\mathbf{Q}$, has asymptotic dimension 1 [Smit–06].

For every $n \geq 2$, the following spaces have asymptotic dimension n: the Euclidean space $\mathbf{E}^n$, the free abelian group $\mathbf{Z}^n$ (with a word metric), the hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}^n$, and fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic manifolds (covered by $\mathbf{H}^n$). See [BeDr–11], in particular Proposition 6 (for $\mathbf{R}^n$) and Corollary 22 (for $\mathbf{H}^n$).

3.C Metric lattices in pseudo-metric spaces

A metric space (X, d) is *discrete*, i.e. is such that the underlying topological space is discrete, if and only if there exists for each $x \in X$ a constant $c_x > 0$ such that $d(x, x') \geq c_x$ for all $x' \in X$ with $x' \neq x$. We define explicitely the corresponding *uniform* notion:

Definition 3.C.1. Ler $c > 0$ be a constant. A pseudo-metric space D is **c-uniformly discrete** if

$$\inf\{d(x, x') \mid x, x' \in D \text{ and } x \neq x'\} \geq c;$$

it is **uniformly discrete** if it is so for some positive constant. Note that a uniformly discrete pseudo-metric space is a metric space.

A subspace L of a pseudo-metric space X is **cobounded** if

$$\sup\{d(x, L) \mid x \in X\} < \infty.$$

A **c-metric lattice in** X is a subspace L that is c-uniformly discrete and cobounded; a **metric lattice** is a c-metric lattice for some $c > 0$.

Metric lattices are called *separated nets* in [Grom–93]. They appear already in [Efre–53]. They occur also in the mathematical physics literature, often in connection with quasi-crystals, where they are called *Delone sets*.

Remark 3.C.2. The inclusion of a metric lattice (indeed of any cobounded subspace) in its ambient space is a quasi-isometry.

It follows that, in a pseudo-metric space, two metric lattices are quasi-isometric.

Proposition 3.C.3 (existence of metric lattices)**.** *Let X be a non-empty pseudo-metric space and $x_0 \in X$. For every constant $c > 0$, there exists a c-metric lattice L in X containing x_0, such that* $\sup\{d(x, L) \mid x \in X\} \leq 2c$.

More generally, if Y is a non-empty subspace of X and M a c-metric lattice in Y, there exists a c-metric lattice in X that contains M.

Proof. Subsets L of X such that $x_0 \in L$ and

$$\inf\{d_X(\ell, \ell') \mid \ell, \ell' \in L \text{ and } \ell \neq \ell'\} \geq c \tag{3.1}$$

are ordered by inclusion, and Zorn Lemma applies. If L is maximal, then L is a c-metric lattice in X containing x_0, and $\sup\{d(x, L) \mid x \in X\} \leq 2c$.

The argument extends to the relative situation, with subsets L of X containing M such that (3.1) holds. □

Example 3.C.4. (1) Uniform lattices in σ-compact LC-groups (Definition 5.C.1) are metric lattices.

Note however that, for a σ-compact LC-group G with a pseudo-metric d, the pseudo-metric space (G, d) contains always metric lattices by the previous proposition, but G need not contain any uniform lattice, indeed any lattice whatsoever (Section 5.C).

(2) Let L be the metric lattice in $\mathbf{R}^2$ obtained by placing a point at the centre of each tile of a Penrose tiling of $\mathbf{R}^2$. Yaar Solomon [Solo–11] has shown that L and $\mathbf{Z}^2$ are bilipschitz equivalent, thus answering a question raised in [BuKl–02]; see also [AlCG–13].

(3) The question of the existence of metric lattices in Euclidean spaces which are not bilipschitz with each other was asked independently by Furstenberg in a context of ergodic theory (see the introduction of [BuKl–02]) and by Gromov in a context of geometry [Grom–93, Page 23]. In [Grom–99, Item 3.24_+], Gromov asks more precisely for which spaces X it is true that two metric lattices in X are always bilipschitz.

Metric lattices in $\mathbf{R}^2$ not bilipschitz with $\mathbf{Z}^2$ were found independently in [BuKl–98] and [McMu–98]; for later examples, see [CoNa] and references there.

Let A, B be two non-trivial finite groups, and let $\Gamma = A \wr \mathbf{Z}$, where $\wr$ indicates a wreath product. If $|B|$ is not a product a primes dividing $|A|$, Dymarz has shown that Γ and the direct product $\Gamma \times B$ are not bilipschitz equivalent [Dyma–10]. This provides one more example of a metric space (here $\Gamma \times B$, with a word metric) with two metric lattices (here $\Gamma \times B$ itself and Γ) which are not bilipschitz.

It is not known if there exists a finitely generated nilpotent group that contains two finite index subgroups that are not bilipschitz. See the discussion in [BuKl–02].

In contrast, two finitely generated non-amenable groups are bilipschitz if and only if they are quasi-isometric [Whyt–99, Theorems 7.1 and 4.1].

(4) Despite what the examples above may suggest, metric lattices *need not* be locally finite (in the sense of Section 3.D). For example, consider the real line $\mathbf{R}$ with the word metric $d_{[-1,1]}$ (see Section 4.B), and a constant $c > 0$. If $c \leq 1$, then $\mathbf{R}$ itself is a c-metric lattice in $\mathbf{R}$.

[However, if $c > 1$, every c-metric lattice in $\mathbf{R}$ is locally finite, indeed uniformly locally finite.]

Example 3.C.5 (on Mostow rigidity)**.** Let M be a connected compact Riemannian manifold. Let $\widetilde{M}$ denote its universal cover, with the Riemannian structure making the covering map a local isometry. The fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ acts on $\widetilde{M}$ by isometries. Since $\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated, we can view it as a metric space, for the word metric defined by some finite generating set (see Section 4.B below). Choose $x_0 \in \widetilde{M}$; the orbit map provides a quasi-isometry $\pi_1(M) \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}$ (Theorem 4.C.5). Its image is a metric lattice in $\widetilde{M}$, say K.

Consider similarly a connected compact Riemannian manifold N, its Riemannian universal covering $\widetilde{N}$, a point $y_0 \in \widetilde{N}$, and the metric lattice $L = \pi_1(N)y_0$ in $\widetilde{N}$. Assume that there exists a homotopy equivalence $f : M \longrightarrow N$. Denote by $f_* : \pi_1(M) \longrightarrow \pi_1(N)$ the resulting group isomorphism. Let $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow \widetilde{N}$ be a lift

of f; then $\widetilde{f}$ is a f_*-equivariant quasi-isometry.

Suppose moreover that M and N are hyperbolic manifolds of the same dimension, $n \geq 3$; thus both $\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{N}$ are isometric to the n-dimensional hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}^n$. Standard topological arguments show that, in this case (indeed whenever $\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{N}$ are contractible), M and N are homotopy equivalent if *and only if* $\pi_1(M)$ and $\pi_1(N)$ are isomorphic (see [Hatc–02, Proposition 1B.9], or [Hure–36] for an historical reference).

> **Mostow Rigidity Theorem** : $\pi_1(M)$ and $\pi_1(N)$ are isomorphic if and only if M and N are isometric.

Indeed, if $\pi_1(M)$ and $\pi_1(N)$ are isomorphic, there exists a homotopy equivalence $f : M \longrightarrow N$, and therefore an equivariant lift $\widetilde{f} : \mathbf{H}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^n$ as above. It can be shwon that $\widetilde{f}$ extends to the appropriate compactification $\mathbf{H}^n \cup \partial\mathbf{H}^n$ of $\mathbf{H}^n$. The strategy of the proof is to show, using extra properties of the resulting map from $\partial\mathbf{H}^n$ to itself, that $\widetilde{f}$ is close to an isometry (in the sense of Definition 3.A.2). See [Most–68], as well as [Thur–80, Sections 5.9 and 6.3] and [Grom–81a, BaGS–85].

A similar strategy applies to Mostow rigidity for locally Riemannian symmetric spaces of rank at least 2 [Most–73].

Mostow Rigidity Theorems have established the importance of the notion of quasi-isometry, as already noted in Remark 3.A.11(6).

The following proposition will be used in § 3.D. Part (3) is from [Grom–93, 1.A', Page 22].

Proposition 3.C.6. *Let X, Y be non-empty pseudo-metric spaces and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a map.*

(1) Assume that f is coarsely expansive. There exists $c > 0$ such that, for every c-metric lattice L in X, we have $d(f(\ell), f(\ell')) \geq 1$ for all $\ell, \ell' \in L$, $\ell \neq \ell'$.

(2) Assume that f is large-scale Lipschitz. For every metric lattice L in X, the restriction $f|_L : L \longrightarrow Y$ is a Lipschitz map.

(3) Assume that f is large-scale bilipschitz. There exists $k > 0$ such that, for every k-metric lattice L in X, the mapping $f|_L : L \longrightarrow f(L)$ is bilipschitz.

In particular, if f is a quasi-isometry, there exists $k > 0$ such that $f(L)$ is a metric lattice in Y for every k-metric lattice L in X.

Proof. (1) Let Φ_- be a lower control for f. It is enough to choose c such that $\Phi_-(c) \geq 1$.

(2) Let L be a metric lattice in X. Let $c_+, c > 0$ and $c'_+ \geq 0$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), f(x')) &\leq c_+ d(x, y) + c'_+ \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X, \\ d(\ell, \ell') &\geq c \quad \text{for all } \ell, \ell' \in L,\ \ell \neq \ell'. \end{aligned}$$

Then $d(f(\ell), f(\ell')) \leq \left(c_+ + \frac{c'_+}{c}\right) d(\ell, \ell')$ for all $\ell, \ell' \in L$.

(3) Let $c_- > 0, c'_- \geq 0$ be constants such that $d(f(x), f(x')) \geq c_- d(x, x') - c'_-$ for all $x, x' \in X$. Set $k = 2c'_-/c_-$. Let L be a k-metric lattice in X. Then

$$d(f(\ell), f(\ell')) \geq c_- d(\ell, \ell') - c'_- \geq (c_-/2) d(\ell, \ell') \quad \text{for all } \ell, \ell' \in L.$$

This and Claim (2) show that f is bilipschitz. □

Remark 3.C.7. Let X, Y be non-empty pseudo-metric spaces. Definitions 3.A.2 and 3.A.7 are formulated in terms of everywhere defined maps from X to Y, but this can be relaxed.

For example, let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a quasi-isometry. Let $c_+, c_- > 0$, and $c'_+, c'_-, c' \geq 0$ be such that

$$c_- d_X(x, x') - c'_- \leq d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq c_+ d_X(x, x') + c'_+ \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X,$$
$$d_Y(y, f(X)) \leq c' \quad \text{for all } y \in Y.$$

Let $k > 0$ be such that $c_- k - c'_- > 0$, and let L be a k-metric lattice in X. Then the restriction of f to L is a bilipschitz map, and $f(L)$ is a metric lattice in Y.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a metric lattice L in X and a bilipschitz map $f_L : L \longrightarrow Y$ with cobounded image. Set $k = \sup\{d(x, L) \mid x \in X\}$. For every $x \in X$, choose $\ell \in L$ with $d(x, \ell) \leq k$, and set $f(x) = f_L(\ell)$. The map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ defined this way is a quasi-isometry in the sense of Definition 3.A.7.

Consequently, one could define X and Y to be quasi-isometric when there exist a metric lattice L in X and a bilipschitz map $f : L \longrightarrow Y$ with cobounded image.

Instead of L being a metric lattice in X and $f(L)$ being cobounded in Y, a weaker requirement could be that L is "Hausdorff equivalent" with X and $f(L)$ Hausdorff equivalent with Y; for the definition, we refer to [Grom–93, No 0.2.A]. This would be the characterization of quasi-isometries given in [Grom–93, No 0.2.C].

3.D Uniformly coarsely proper spaces, growth, and amenability

3.D.a Growth for uniformly locally finite pseudo-metric spaces

For a pseudo-metric space X, a point $x \in X$, and a radius $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$, recall that $B^x_X(r)$ denotes the **closed ball** $\{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq r\}$.

Definition 3.D.1. A pseudo-metric space (D, d) is **locally finite** if all its balls are finite, and **uniformly locally finite** if $\sup_{x \in D} |B^x_D(r)| < \infty$ for all $r \geq 0$.

A discrete metric space is locally finite if and only if it is proper (see Definition 2.A.7). Note that the formulation of Definition 3.D.1 avoids "discrete pseudo-metric spaces", in compliance with (A1) of Page 19 and Remark 2.A.6.

Definition 3.D.2. Let D be a non-empty locally finite pseudo-metric space and $x \in D$. The **growth function** β^x_D **of** D **around** x is defined by

$$\beta^x_D(r) = |B^x_D(r)| \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Definition 3.D.3. Let β, β' be two non-decreasing functions from $\mathbf{R}_+$ to $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$. We define

$\beta \preceq \beta'$ if there exist $\lambda, \mu > 0, c \geq 0$ such that $\beta(r) \leq \lambda \beta'(\mu r + c)$ $\forall\, r \geq 0$,
$\beta \simeq \beta'$ if $\beta \preceq \beta'$ and $\beta' \preceq \beta$,
$\beta \precnsim \beta'$ if $\beta \preceq \beta'$ and $\beta' \not\preceq \beta$.

The **class** of β is its equivalence class modulo the relation $\simeq$.

Note that the relation $\preceq$ induces a partial order, denoted by $\preceq$ again, on the set of classes modulo $\simeq$.

We often write abusively β for the class of an actual function β. Consequently, if β and β' are two classes, $\beta \simeq \beta'$ stands for $\beta = \beta'$.

Example 3.D.4. Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}$ with $a, b > 0$ and $c, d > 1$. We have $r^a \preceq r^b$ if and only if $a \leq b$, and $c^r \simeq d^r$ for all c, d. Moreover, $r^a \precnsim e^{\sqrt{r}} \precnsim e^{r/\ln r} \precnsim e^r \precnsim e^{e^r}$.

Definition 3.D.5. Let D be a non-empty locally finite pseudo-metric space. Let $x, y \in D$. Observe that $B_D^y(r) \subset B_D^x(r + d(x, y))$ and $B_D^x(r) \subset B_D^y(r + d(x, y))$, so that $\beta_D^x \simeq \beta_D^y$.

The **growth function** of D is the class, denoted by β_D, of the function β_D^x.

In 3.D.19, the definition will be extended to a larger class of spaces.

Proposition 3.D.6. *Let D, E be non-empty uniformly locally finite pseudo-metric spaces, $x \in D$, and $y \in E$.*

(1) Let L be a c-metric lattice in D containing x, for some $c > 0$. Then $\beta_L^x \simeq \beta_D^x$ and $\beta_L = \beta_D$.

(2) Assume that there exist $c > 0$ and an injective map $f : D \hookrightarrow E$ such that $d(f(x'), f(x'')) \leq c d(x', x'')$ for all $x', x'' \in D$. Then $\beta_D^x \preceq \beta_E^y$ and $\beta_D \preceq \beta_E$.

If moreover $f(D)$ is cobounded in E, then $\beta_D^x \simeq \beta_E^y$ and $\beta_D = \beta_E$.

(3) If D and E are quasi-isometric, then $\beta_D^x \simeq \beta_E^y$ and $\beta_D = \beta_E$.

Proof. (1) On the one hand, since $L \subset D$, we have $\beta_L^x \preceq \beta_D^x$. On the other hand, we have

$$B_D^x(r) \subset \bigcup_{x' \in B_L^x(r+c)} B_D^{x'}(c) \text{ for all } r \geq 0.$$

Taking cardinals, we have $\beta_D^x(r) \leq \lambda \beta_L^x(r + c)$, where $\lambda = \sup_{x' \in D} |B_D^{x'}(c)|$; hence $\beta_D^x \preceq \beta_L^x$.

(2) Set $c' = d(f(x), y)$. The restriction of f to the ball $B_D^x(r)$ is a bijection into the ball $B_E^{f(x)}(cr)$, and the latter is contained in $B_E^y(cr + c')$. Hence $\beta_D^x(r) \leq \beta_E^y(cr + c')$ for all $r \geq 0$.

Suppose moreover that $s := \sup_{y' \in E} d(y', f(D)) < \infty$. For every $y' \in E$, there exists $x' \in X$ with $d(y', f(x')) \leq s$; hence

$$B_E^y(r) \subset B_E^{f(x)}(r + c') \subset \bigcup_{\substack{x' \in D \\ d(f(x)), f(x')) \leq r + c' + s}} B_E^{f(x')}(s) \subset \bigcup_{\substack{x' \in D \\ d(x, x') \leq c(r + c' + s)}} B_E^{f(x')}(s).$$

Taking cardinals, we have $\beta_E^y(r) \leq \mu \beta_D^x(cr + cc' + cs)$, where $\mu = \sup_{y' \in E} |B_E^{y'}(s)|$; hence $\beta_E^y \preceq \beta_D^x$.

(3) If D and E are quasi-isometric, there exists a metric lattice L in D containing x such that there exists an injective maps $L \hookrightarrow E$. Hence $\beta_D^x \simeq \beta_L^x$ by (1) and $\beta_L^x \simeq \beta_E^y$ by (2). □

Example 3.D.7. Proposition 3.D.6 *does not* carry over to locally finite spaces. More precisely, if D is a locally finite metric space and E a metric lattice in X, growth functions of D need not be similar to those of E, as the following example shows.

Consider the metric tree T_0 with vertex set $(x_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ and edge set $(\{x_k, x_{k+1}\})_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$. Let $s = (s_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ be a sequence of non-negative integers; let T_s be the tree obtained from T_0 by adding extra vertices $y_{k,\ell}$ and extra edges $\{x_k, y_{k,\ell}\}$, for $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq s_k$.

The vertex set D_0 of T_0 is a 1-metric lattice in the vertex set D_s of T_s. On the one hand, D_0 is uniformly locally finite, with linear growth. On the other hand, the growth β_{D_s} of D_s is highly sensitive to the choice of the sequence s, and can be made arbitrarily large; in particular the space D_s is uniformly locally finite if and only if s is bounded.

Example 3.D.8. (1) Let D be a non-empty locally finite pseudo-metric space; assume that D is large-scale geodesic. It is an easy exercise to check that $\beta_D(r) \preceq e^r$.

Large-scale geodesicity is crucial for the previous fact, as the following examples show.

(2) Every non-decreasing function $\beta : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is the growth function of some non-empty locally finite metric space, indeed of a discrete subspace of $\mathbf{R}_+$, for the usual metric.

(3) Similarly, the growth function of a *uniformly* locally finite metric space has no bound, as we show now by constructing such a space, E_s, as a subspace of the vertex set of a tree T_s.

Let $s = (s_k)_{k\geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive integers. Let T_s be the tree with vertex set $D_s = \{(j,k) \mid j,k \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ and } k \geq 0\}$, in which, for every $k \geq 1$, every vertex (j,k) of the k^{th} row is connected by an edge to the s_k vertices

$$(s_k j, k-1),\ (s_k j + 1, k-1),\ \ldots,\ (s_k(j+1) - 1, k-1)$$

of the previous row. We endow the vertex set D_s with the combinatorial metric of the tree T_s.

Consider the row $E_s = \{(j,0) \mid j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ of D_s, with the induced metric. We have

$$\beta_{E_s}^{(j,0)}(2k) \;=\; \left|B_{E_s}^{(j,0)}(2k)\right| \;=\; s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ and } k \geq 1.$$

In particular, E_s is uniformly locally finite. Moreover, for any non-decreasing function $\alpha : \mathbf{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, there exists a sequence s such that $\alpha \preceq \beta_{E_s}$.

3.D.b Growth for uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric spaces and σ-compact LC-groups

Definition 3.D.9. A pseudo-metric space (X, d_X) is **coarsely proper** if there exists $R_0 \geq 0$ such that every bounded subset in X can be covered by finitely many balls of radius R_0.

Proposition 3.D.10. *For a pseudo-metric space (X, d_X), the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) X is coarsely proper;
(ii) X is coarsely equivalent to a locally finite discrete metric space;
(iii) X is quasi-isometric to a locally finite discrete metric space;
(iv) the space X contains a locally finite metric lattice;
(v) for all $c > 0$, the space X contains a locally finite c-metric lattice;
(vi) there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that, for every $c \geq c_0$, every c-metric lattice in X is locally finite.

If moreover X is large-scale geodesic, these conditions are equivalent to:

(vii) X is quasi-isometric to a locally finite connected graph.

Proof. Implications (v) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), and (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are straightforward. It suffices to show (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi), (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) and, with the additional condition, (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (vii).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Assume that X is coarsely proper; let R_0 be as in Definition 3.D.9. Let L be a $3R_0$-metric lattice in X (Proposition 3.C.3); it is enough to show that L is locally finite. Let F be a bounded subset of L; then F is included in the union of a finite number of balls of radius R_0 in X; since two distinct points in L are at distance at least $3R_0$ apart, each of these balls contains at most one point of F; hence F is finite.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Assume there exists a locally finite discrete metric space (D, d_D) and a metric coarse equivalence $f : D \longrightarrow X$. By Proposition 3.C.6(1), there exists a metric lattice $E \subset D$ such that $d_X(f(e), f(e')) \geq 1$ for all $e, e' \in E$, $e \neq e'$. Then $f(E)$ is a metric lattice in X; we denote by d_E the restriction of d_D to E.

If B is a bounded subset of $f(E)$, then $f^{-1}(B)$ is bounded because f is coarsely expansive. Since the space (E, d_E) is proper, $f^{-1}(B) \cap E$ is finite. By injectivity of $f|_E$, we deduce that B is finite. Hence $f(E)$ is locally finite, and (iii) holds.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (vi). Assume there exists a locally finite metric lattice D in X. It is R-cobounded for some $R > 0$. Let $c_0 = 2R$. Consider a constant $c > c_0$ and a c-metric lattice E in X; we have to show that E is locally finite.

For every $e \in E$, we can choose an element in D, call it $f(e)$, such that $d_X(e, f(e)) \leq R$. The resulting map $f : E \longrightarrow D$ is injective; indeed, if $e', e'' \in E$ are such that $f(e') = f(e'')$, then $d_X(e', e'') \leq 2R < c$, hence $e' = e''$ since E is a c-metric lattice. Moreover, for a radius $r \geq 0$, the image by f of the ball $B_E^e(r)$ is inside the ball $B_D^{f(e)}(r + 2R)$. Since the latter is finite by hypothesis on D, so is $B_E^e(R)$. Hence E is locally finite.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v). Recall that, for every $c > 0$, every metric lattice contains a c-metric lattice, by Proposition 3.C.3. The implication follows.

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). This follows from the same proposition, which establishes the existence of c-metric lattices in X.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (vii). Assuming that (X, d) is c-large-scale geodesic, for some $c > 0$. We can suppose that X is a locally finite discrete metric space. Consider the graph for

which vertices are elements of X and two distinct elements are linked by an edge if they are at distance $\leq c$. This graph is connected and, if d' is the resulting metric, the identity map $(X,d) \to (X,d')$ is a quasi-isometry. Since X is locally finite, this graph obviously is locally finite. □

Corollary 3.D.11. *For pseudo-metric spaces, coarse properness is a property invariant by metric coarse equivalence.*

Proof. See (ii) in the previous proposition. □

Proposition 3.D.12. *(1) A proper metric space is coarsely proper.*

(2) A pseudo-metric space that can be coarsely embedded in a coarsely proper metric space is itself coarsely proper.

Proof. (1) Let X be a pseudo-metric space. By Proposition 3.C.3, there exists in X a metric lattice L such that $\inf_{\ell,\ell' \in L, \ell \neq \ell'} d(\ell,\ell') > 2$, and the inclusion $L \subset X$ is a quasi-isometry. Suppose moreover that X is a proper metric space (recall from 2.A.5 that "proper pseudo-metric space" is not a notion for this book); it suffices to check that balls in L are finite.

If L had an infinite ball $B_L^{\ell_0}(R) = \{\ell \in L \mid d(\ell_0,\ell) \leq R\}$, the space X would contain an infinite family $(\{x \in X \mid d(\ell,x) \leq 1\})_{\ell \in B}$ of pairwise disjoint non-empty balls of radius 1, all contained in the relatively compact ball $B_X^{\ell_0}(R+1) = \{x \in X \mid d(\ell_0,x) \leq R+1\}$, and this is impossible.

Claim (2) is obvious. □

Example 3.D.13. (1) For other examples of pseudo-metric spaces that are coarsely proper, see Example 3.D.17 and Proposition 3.D.28. We indicate here some examples that do not have the property.

(2) Let S be the tree obtained by attaching to a vertex s_0 an infinite sequence $(R_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of infinite rays; S is a metric space for the combinatorial metric ("S" stands for "star"). Then S is not coarsely proper.

Indeed, let $c > 0$ and L a c-metric lattice in S containing s_0. For each $n \geq 1$, let s_n be the point at distance $2c$ of s_0 on R_n. There exists a point $\ell_n \in L$ at distance at most c of s_n; observe that $\ell_n \in R_n$. The ball $B_L^{s_0}(3c)$ is infinite, because it contains ℓ_n for all $n \geq 1$. Hence L is not locally finite, and S is not coarsely proper.

(3) Let X be a tree with at least one vertex of infinite valency and without vertices of valency 1. Then X is not coarsely proper, because it contains a subtree isomorphic to S.

In particular, the homogeneous tree of infinite valency is not coarsely proper.

(4) An infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, together with the metric given by the norm, is not coarsely proper.

Indeed, there exists a bilipschitz embedding of $S \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$, with image contained in the union of the positive half-axes with respect to some orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space.

Definition 3.D.14 and Proposition 3.D.15 constitue a variation on Definition 3.D.9 and Proposition 3.D.10.

Definition 3.D.14. A pseudo-metric space (X, d_X) is **uniformly coarsely proper** if there exists $R_0 \geq 0$ such that, for every $R \geq 0$, there exists an integer N such that every ball of radius R in X can be covered by N balls of radius R_0.

Note that a uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric space is coarsely proper. Some authors write "X has **bounded geometry**" rather than "X is uniformly coarsely proper". Others use "bounded geometry" for "uniformly locally finite" [Whyt–99], [NoYu–12, Page 8].

Proposition 3.D.15. *For a pseudo-metric space (X, d_X), the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) X is uniformly coarsely proper;
(ii) X is coarsely equivalent to a uniformly locally finite discrete metric space;
(iii) X is quasi-isometric to a uniformly locally finite discrete metric space;
(iv) the space X contains a uniformly locally finite metric lattice;
(v) for all $c > 0$, the space X contains a uniformly locally finite c-metric lattice;
(vi) there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that, for every $c \geq c_0$, every c-metric lattice in X is uniformly locally finite.

If moreover X is large-scale geodesic, these conditions are equivalent to:

(vii) X is quasi-isometric to a connected graph of bounded valency.

Proof. We leave it to the reader to adapt the proof of Proposition 3.D.10. □

Corollary 3.D.16. *For pseudo-metric spaces, uniformly coarse properness is a property invariant by metric coarse equivalence.*

Proof. See (ii) in the previous proposition. □

Example 3.D.17. Let M be a connected compact manifold, furnished with a Riemannian metric. Let $\widetilde{M}$ denote the universal cover of M, furnished with the covering Riemannian metric and the corresponding metric d. Then $(\widetilde{M}, d)$ is uniformly coarsely proper, because every orbit in $\widetilde{M}$ of the fundamental group of $\pi_1(M)$ is a uniformly locally finite metric lattice in $\widetilde{M}$ (see Example 3.C.5).

In particular, Euclidean spaces $\mathbf{R}^m$ $(m \geq 1)$ and hyperbolic spaces $\mathbf{H}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ are uniformly coarsely proper.

Proposition 3.D.18. *Let X be a non-empty uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric space. Let L_0, L_1 be metric lattices in X and $x_0 \in L_0$, $x_1 \in L_1$. Then*

$$\beta_{L_0}^{x_0} \simeq \beta_{L_1}^{x_1}.$$

Proof. Denote by $i_j : L_j \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion $(j = 0, 1)$. Choose a quasi-isometry $g_1 : X \longrightarrow L_1$ inverse of i_1, and let $f : L_0 \longrightarrow L_1$ be the composition $g_1 i_0$. By Proposition 3.C.6, there is a metric lattice M_0 in L_0 such that $x_0 \in M_0$, and $M_1 := f(M_0)$ is a metric lattice in L_1. Since L_0, L_1 are uniformly locally finite (Proposition 3.D.15), the claim follows, by Proposition 3.D.6. □

Definition 3.D.19. Let X be a non-empty uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric space. The **growth function** of X is the class, denoted by β_X, of the function β_L^x, for L a metric lattice in X and $x \in L$.

Definition 3.D.20. For X as in the previous definition, the **upper degree of polynomial growth**

$$\mathrm{poldeg}(X) \;=\; \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\ln \beta_X(r)}{\ln r} \;\in\; [0,\infty]$$

is well-defined. For non-empty uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric spaces, the upper degree of polynomial growth is an invariant of quasi-isometries.

A non-empty uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric space is of **polynomial growth** if $\mathrm{poldeg}(X) < \infty$; it is of **exponential growth** if $\beta_X(r) \simeq e^r$.

Remark 3.D.21. Let X be a non-empty uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric space. If X is large-scale geodesic, the growth function of X is at most exponential, $\beta_X(r) \preceq e^r$. In other cases, it can grow much faster, as shown in Example 3.D.8.

Proposition 3.D.22. *Let X, Y be two non-empty pseudo-metric spaces. Suppose that Y is uniformly coarsely proper.*

(1) If there exists a coarse embedding f of X in Y, then X is uniformly coarsely proper. In particular, the growth function of X is well-defined.
(2) If f is moreover large-scale Lipschitz, then $\beta_X \preceq \beta_Y$.
(3) If f is moreover a quasi-isometry, then $\beta_X \simeq \beta_Y$.

Proof. By hypothesis, there exists a subspace Y_0 of Y and a surjective metric coarse equivalence $f : X \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow Y_0$. Hence, there exists a metric coarse equivalence $g : Y_0 \longrightarrow X$ such that the morphisms defined by f and g are inverse to each other in the metric coarse category (Proposition 3.A.14). Let $c > 0$ be such that $d_X(g(y), g(y')) \geq 1$ for all $y, y' \in Y_0$ with $d_Y(y, y') \geq c$.

Let M_0 be a c-metric lattice in Y_0, and M a c-metric lattice in Y that contains M_0 (Proposition 3.C.3). Since M is uniformly locally finite by hypothesis on Y, so is M_0. It is then straightforward to check that $g(M_0)$ is a lattice in X that is uniformly locally finite; this proves (1).

Claims (2) and (3) follow from Proposition 3.D.6. □

Corollary 3.D.23. *Let X, Y be two non-empty pseudo-metric spaces. Suppose that X is large-scale geodesic and that Y is uniformly coarsely proper.*

If there exists a coarse embedding of X in Y, then $\beta_X \preceq \beta_Y$.

Proof. Note that X is also uniformly coarsely proper, by Proposition 3.D.22(1).

Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a coarse embedding; set $Y_0 = f(X)$. Let $c > 0$ be such that

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \;\geq\; 1 \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X \text{ with } d_X(x, x') \geq c.$$

By Proposition 3.B.8, f is large-scale Lipschitz, so that there exist $c_+ > 0$, $c'_+ \geq 0$ such that

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \;\leq\; c_+ d_X(x, x') + c'_+ \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X.$$

Let L be a c-metric lattice in X containing x_0. Then $M_0 := f(L)$ is a 1-metric lattice in Y_0, and there exists a 1-metric lattice M in Y containing M_0. Observe that the restriction $f|_L : L \longrightarrow M$ is injective, and that

$$f(B_L^{x_0}(r)) \subset B_M^{f(x_0)}(c_+ r + c'_+) \quad \text{for all } r \geq 0.$$

Since $f|_L$ is injective, this implies $\beta_L^{x_0}(r) \leq \beta_M^{f(x_0)}(c_+ r + c'_+)$ for all $r \geq 0$, i.e. $\beta_L^{x_0} \preceq \beta_M^{f(x_0)}$. It follows that $\beta_X \preceq \beta_Y$. □

An illustration of Corollary 3.D.23 has already been given in Example 3.A.12(9): there does not exist any coarse embedding of a non-abelian free group of finite rank into a Euclidean space. Compare with Example 3.D.25.

The hypothesis on X being large-scale geodesic cannot be omitted. Indeed, consider on $\mathbf{R}$ the usual metric d, and the metric $d_{\ln}$ defined by $d_{\ln}(x, x') = \ln(1 + |x - x'|)$, as in Example 3.A.12(4). Then $(R, d_{\ln})$ is not large-scale geodesic, is of exponential growth, and the identity map $(R, d_{\ln}) \longrightarrow (R, d)$ is a metric coarse equivalence with a space of linear growth.

Example 3.D.24. (1) For every $m \geq 1$, the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^m$ contains $\mathbf{Z}^m$ as a metric lattice, and is of polynomial growth:

$$\beta_{\mathbf{R}^m}(r) \simeq \beta_{\mathbf{Z}^m}(r) \simeq r^m.$$

For $m \neq m'$, Proposition 3.D.22 implies that $\mathbf{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{R}^{m'}$ are not quasi-isometric.

(2) For every $n \geq 2$, the hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}^n$ is quasi-isometric to the fundamental group Γ of any closed n-dimensional manifold of constant negative curvature. It is of exponential growth:

$$\beta_{\mathbf{H}^n}(r) \simeq \beta_\Gamma(r) \simeq e^r$$

(see Proposition 3.D.22, and [Miln–68]). It follows that $\mathbf{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{H}^n$ are not quasi-isometric.

(3) For $n, n' \geq 2$, $n \neq n'$, it can be shown that $\mathbf{H}^n$ and $\mathbf{H}^{n'}$ are not quasi-isometric. One proof involves *Gromov boundaries*, for which we refer to [GhHa–90]. Another proof consists in computing the asymptotic dimension of $\mathbf{H}^n$, which is n (see Definition 3.B.15 below). Incidentally, the asymptotic dimension of $\mathbf{R}^n$ is also n. See [BeDr–11, Proposition 6 and Corollary 22], or [NoYu–12].

(4) The spaces $\mathbf{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{H}^n$ are geodesic, and therefore large-scale geodesic (see Definition 3.B.1). Since two distinct of them are not quasi-isometric, as just seen, Proposition 3.B.8(2) implies that they are not coarsely equivalent.

(5) A regular tree of valency $d \geq 3$ is of exponential growth. A regular tree of valency 2 is of polynomial growth, indeed of linear growth.

Example 3.D.25. Let X be either a regular tree of infinite valency or an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and G a σ-compact LC-group. There does not exist any coarse embedding of X in G; this is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.D.22 and Example 3.D.13.

In particular, X is not quasi-isometric to any compactly generated LC-group.

Remark 3.D.26. Early articles on growth of Riemannian manifolds, finitely generated groups, and compactly generated groups, include [Efre–53], [Švar–55], [Miln–68], [Guiv–70, Guiv–71, Guiv–73], [Jenk–73], [Grom–81b], and [Lose–87, Lose–01]. We would like to quote [Nekr–98] on growth of metric spaces, and the book [Mann–12] on growth of finitely generated groups.

In the particular case of finitely generated groups, the subject of growth has special features. One is that the growth function of such a group Γ is **submultiplicative**: $\beta_\Gamma(m+n) \leq \beta_\Gamma(m)\beta_\Gamma(n)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$. Another one is the existence of finitely generated groups of **intermediate growth**, that is with growth function β such that $r^n \precnsim \beta(r) \precnsim e^r$ for all $n \geq 1$ [Grig–84].

On metrizable LC-groups, Haar measure provides a way – and a very standard way – to define growth functions. To compare it with the way exposed above, we anticipate on Chapter 4.

More precisely, let G be a σ-compact LC-group. Choose a left-invariant Haar measure μ on G and an adapted pseudo-metric d on G (see § 4.A). Assume that, moreover, d is measurable on G; this is always possible (e.g. by choosing d continuous). Note that, when G is compactly generated, word metrics are measurable whenever they are defined either by compact generating sets (which are upper semi-continuous) or by relatively compact open generating sets (which are lower semi-continuous).

When d is measurable, balls are measurable.

Definition 3.D.27. Let G be an LC-group, μ a left-invariant Haar measure on G, and d a measurable adapted pseudo-metric on G.

For $r \geq 0$, the **volume** of the ball $B^1_G(r)$ of radius r in G is defined by

$$\mathrm{Vol}(B^1_G(r)) \;=\; \int_{\{g \in G \mid d(1,g) \leq r\}} d\mu.$$

The function $v_{G,d,\mu}$ is defined by

$$v_{G,d,\mu}(r) \;=\; \mathrm{Vol}(B^g_G(r)).$$

Concerning this definition, three remarks are in order.

(a) Since d is adapted, balls of large enough radius have non-empty interiors, and therefore positive μ-volumes: $v_{G,d,\mu}(r) > 0$ for r large enough.
(b) Let d, d' be two quasi-isometric adapted pseudo-metrics on G. Then $v_{G,d,\mu}(\cdot)$ and $v_{G,d',\mu}(\cdot)$ are equivalent in the sense of Definition 3.D.3, because there exist constants $c > 0, c_+ \geq 0$ such that $\{g \in G \mid d(1,g) \leq r\} \subset \{g \in G \mid d'(1,g) \leq cr + c_+\}$ for all $r \geq 0$, and similarly for d, d' exchanged.
(c) The equivalence class of the function $v_{G,d,\mu}(\cdot)$ is independent of the choice of the Haar measure μ, since the latter is unique up to a multiplicative constant.

In the next proposition, equivalences of functions are in the sense of Definition 3.D.3.

Proposition 3.D.28. *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group, μ, d, and $v_{G,d,\mu}$ as above.*

(1) The pseudo-metric space (G, d) is uniformly coarsely proper. In particular, its growth function β_G is well-defined (see 3.D.19).
(2) The functions β_G and $v_{G,d,\mu}$ are equivalent.
(3) Let d' be another measurable adapted pseudo-metric on G. If d and d' are quasi-isometric, the functions $v_{G,d,\mu}$ and $v_{G,d',\mu}$ are equivalent.

Proof. Let $s > 0$ be large enough so that the ball $C := B_G^1(s)$ to be a neighbourhood of 1 in G. Let $c > 2s$. By Proposition 3.C.3 and its proof, there exists a c-metric lattice L in (G, d) containing 1, such that

$$\inf\{d(\ell, \ell') \mid \ell, \ell' \in L,\ \ell \neq \ell'\} \geq c > 2s \quad \text{and} \quad \sup\{d(g, L) \mid g \in G\} < \infty.$$

The inclusion $(L, d) \subset (G, d)$ is a metric coarse equivalence, indeed a quasi-isometry. For (1), it remains to check that (L, d) is uniformly locally finite.

Let $r \geq 0$ and $\ell \in L$. Since the balls $\ell' C = B_G^{\ell'}(s)$, with $\ell' \in L$ and $d(\ell, \ell') \leq r$, are pairwise disjoint, and all inside $B_G^{\ell}(r + s)$, we have

$$\beta_L^{\ell}(r) v_{G,d,\mu}(s) \ \leq\ v_{G,d,\mu}(r + s), \tag{3.2}$$

and therefore

$$\sup\{\beta_L^{\ell}(r) \mid \ell \in L\} \ \leq\ v_{G,d,\mu}(r + s)/v_{G,d,\mu}(s)$$

for all $r \geq 0$. Claim (1) follows.

On the one hand, we have $\beta_L^{\ell} \preceq v_{G,d,\mu}$ by (3.2). On the other hand, with B a ball in G of centre 1 and radius $R \geq \sup\{d(g, L) \mid g \in G\}$, the balls $(\ell B)_{\ell \in L}$ cover G. Hence we have

$$B_G^1(r) \ \subset \bigcup_{\ell \in L \cap B_G^1(r+R)} \ell B,$$

and therefore

$$v_{G,d,\mu}(r) \ \leq\ \beta_L^1(r + R) v_{G,d,\mu}(R)$$

for all $r \geq 0$. Hence $v_{G,d,\mu} \preceq \beta_L^1$, and (2) follows.

Claim (3) is an immediate consequence of Note (b) after Definition 3.D.27. □

Remark 3.D.29. Let G be a compactly generated LC-group. Let d, μ and $v_{G,d,\mu}$ be as in Definition 3.D.27. Suppose moreover that d is geodesically adapted, e.g. that d is a word metric with respect to a compact generating set of G (we anticipate here on § 4.B).

Then any other measurable geodesically adapted pseudo-metric on G is quasi-isometric to d, so that the class of $v_{G,d,\mu}$, in the sense of Definition 3.D.3, is independent of the choices of d and μ.

3.D.c Amenability

Definition 3.D.30. A non-empty uniformly locally finite pseudo-metric space (D, d) is **amenable** if, for every $r \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a non-empty finite subset $F \subset D$ such that

$$\frac{|B_D^F(r)|}{|F|} \ \leq\ 1 + \varepsilon,$$

where $B_D^F(r) = \{x' \in D \mid d(F, x') \leq r\}$.

In 3.D.33, the definition will be extended to a larger class of spaces.

Remark 3.D.31. If the condition of Definition 3.D.30 fails for one pair (r, ε), then it also fails for $(2r, 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)$, because $B_D^F(2r) \supset B_D^{B_D^F(r)}(r)$.

It follows that, given $r_0 > 0$, a space (D, d) as in Definition 3.D.30 is amenable if and only if, for every $r \geq r_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a non-empty finite subset $F \subset D$ such that the inequality of the definition holds.

Proposition 3.D.32. *Let D, E be two non-empty uniformly locally finite pseudo-metric spaces. Assume that D and E are coarsely equivalent.*

Then D is amenable if and only if E is amenable.

Proof. We assume that E is amenable, and we show that D is amenable.

Let $f : D \longrightarrow E$, $g : E \longrightarrow D$ be two metric coarse equivalences, and $c > 0$ a constant, such that

$$d_D(g(f(x)), x) \leq c \ \forall x \in D \quad \text{and} \quad d_E(f(g(y)), y) \leq c \ \forall y \in E.$$

Let Φ be an upper control such that

$$d_E(f(x), f(x')) \ \leq \ \Phi(d_D(x, x')) \ \forall x, x' \in D.$$

There exist two constants $k, \ell > 0$ such that

$$|f^{-1}(y)| \leq k \ \forall y \in E \quad \text{and} \quad |g^{-1}(x)| \leq \ell \ \forall x \in D.$$

Consider $r \geq c$ and $\varepsilon > 0$; concerning the choice of r, see Remark 3.D.31. Since E is amenable, there exists a non-empty finite subset $F' \subset E$ such that

$$\left| B_E^{F'}(\Phi(r) + c) \right| \ \leq \ \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{k\ell}\right) |F'|.$$

Define $F = \{x \in D \mid d_Y(f(x), F') \leq r\}$. We have $g(F) \subset F'$, and consequently

$$|F| \geq |g(F')| \geq \frac{1}{\ell}|F'|.$$

Let $x \in D$ be such that $x \notin F$ and $d_D(F, x) \leq r$. There exist $x' \in F$ with $d_D(x', x) \leq r$ and $y \in F'$ with $d_Y(f(x'), y) \leq c$, so that $d_E(f(x), F') \leq d_E(f(x), f(x')) + c \leq \Phi(r) + c$. We have

$$f(x) \ \in \ B_E^{F'}(\Phi(r) + c) \smallsetminus B_E^{F'}(c) \ \subset \ B_E^{F'}(\Phi(r) + c) \smallsetminus F'.$$

Hence

$$f\left(\{x \in D \mid x \notin F \ \text{ and } \ d_D(F, x) \leq r\}\right) \ \subset \ B_E^{F'}(\Phi(r) + c) \smallsetminus F'$$

and

$$|\{x \in D \mid x \notin F \ \text{ and } \ d_D(F, x) \leq r\}| \ \leq \ \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell}|F'| \ \leq \ \varepsilon|F|.$$

Since $B_D^F(r) = F \cup \{x \in D \mid x \notin F \ \text{ and } \ d_D(F, x) \leq r\}$, it follows that

$$\left|B_D^F(r)\right| \ \leq \ (1 + \varepsilon)|F|$$

and this ends the proof. □

Proposition 3.D.32 justifies the following definition and makes the next proposition straightforward.

Definition 3.D.33. A non-empty uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric space (X, d) is **amenable** if it is coarsely equivalent to an amenable uniformly locally finite pseudo-metric space, equivalently if every uniformly locally finite pseudo-metric space which is coarsely equivalent to (X, d) is amenable in the sense of Definition 3.D.30.

Proposition 3.D.34. *For uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric spaces, amenability is invariant by metric coarse equivalence.*

Definition 3.D.35. Let X be a graph, with vertex set X^0. Let Y^0 be a subset of X^0.

The **subgraph of X induced by** Y^0 is the subgraph with vertex set Y^0 and with edge set those edges of X with both their ends in Y^0.

The **boundary** ∂Y^0 is defined by

$$\partial Y^0 = \left\{ y \in Y^0 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \text{there exists an edge in } X \\ \text{connecting } y \text{ to a vertex in } X \text{ outside } Y^0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.D.36. *Let T be a tree in which every vertex has degree at least 3. For every non-empty finite subset U^0 of the vertex set of T, we have*

$$|\partial U^0| \geq \frac{1}{2}|U^0|.$$

Proof. First case: the subgraph U of T induced by U^0 is a tree. Since the lemma is obvious when $|U^0| = 1$, we can assume that $n := |U^0| \geq 2$. For $i = 1, 2$, denote by $n_i(U)$ the number of vertices in U^0 with degree i inside U.

We claim that

$$2n_1(U) + n_2(U) \geq n.$$

To prove the claim, we proceed by induction on n. If $n = 2$, we have $n_1(U) = 2$, $n_2(U) = 2$, and the claim is true. Assume from now on that $n \geq 3$, and that the claim holds for a subtree V of U containing all vertices of U except one vertex, say u, of degree 1 in U; denote by v the vertex in V which is adjacent to u. We distinguish three cases:

(1) If v has degree 1 in V, then $n_1(V) = n_1(U)$ and $n_2(V) = n_2(U) + 1$.
(2) If v has degree 2 in V, then $n_1(V) = n_1(U) + 1$ and $n_2(V) = n_2(U) - 1$.
(3) If v has degree 3 in V, then $n_1(V) = n_1(U) + 1$ and $n_2(V) = n_2(U)$.

In each case:

$$2n_1(V) + n_2(V) \geq 2n_1(U) + n_2(U) + 1 \overset{\text{hyp rec}}{\geq} (n-1) + 1 = n.$$

The claim follows.

We have now

$$|\partial U^0| \geq n_1(U) + n_2(U) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2n_1(U) + n_2(U)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}n = \frac{1}{2}|U^0|.$$

General case: the subgraph U of T induced by U^0 is a forest. Let $U_1, \dots, U_k$ be the trees of which the disjoint union is the forest U. Then U^0 is the disjoint union of $U_1^0, \dots, U_k^0$, and ∂U^0 is the disjoint union of $\partial U_1^0, \dots, \partial U_k^0$. Hence

$$|\partial U^0| = \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\partial U_j^0| \overset{\text{first case}}{\geq} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2}|U_j^0| = \frac{1}{2}|\partial U^0|,$$

as was to be shown. □

Example 3.D.37. (1) The empty metric space is non-amenable.

(2) Let D be a non-empty locally finite pseudo-metric space, x a base-point in X, and β_D^x the corresponding growth function. Assume that D has **subexponential lower growth**, i.e. that

$$\liminf_{s\to\infty} \beta_D^x(s)^{1/s} = 1.$$

Then D is amenable.

Indeed, by calculus, we have

$$\liminf_{s\to\infty} \frac{\beta_D^x(s+r)}{\beta_D^x(s)} = 1 \quad \text{for all } r \geq 0.$$

Hence, for every $r \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $s \geq 0$ such that, for $E = B_D^x(s)$, we have

$$\frac{|B_D^E(r)|}{|E|} = \frac{\beta_F^x(s+r)}{\beta_D^x(s)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

(3) For every integer $m \geq 1$, the free abelian group $\mathbf{Z}^m$ is of polynomial growth, and therefore amenable, by (2). The Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^m$ contains $\mathbf{Z}^m$ as a metric lattice, indeed as a uniformly locally finite metric lattice, so that $\mathbf{R}^m$ is amenable.

(4) A tree in which every vertex has degree at least 3 is non-amenable. This follows from Lemma 3.D.36.

Since a non-abelian finitely generated free group has a Cayley graph which is a regular tree of degree 4 or more, non-abelian free groups are non-amenable. We repeat that "non-amenable" is meant here in the sense of Definition 3.D.30, and involves a left-invariant proper metric on the free group; but this coincides with the more standard definition, discussed in Section 4.F.

(5) Let Γ be a countable group, with a left-invariant proper metric d. It Γ has a non-amenable subgroup, for example a non-abelian free subgroup, it can be checked that the metric space (Γ, d) is non-amenable.

(6) For every integer $n \geq 2$, the hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}^n$ is non-amenable. This follows from (5) and the following standard fact: there exist discrete groups of isometries Γ of $\mathbf{H}^n$ such that, given $x \in \mathbf{H}^n$, the orbit map $\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^n, \gamma \longmapsto \gamma(x)$ is a quasi-isometry. Examples of such groups include fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic manifolds of dimension n.

(7) There is no simple fact such as (5) for spaces. Consider for example a tree D that is regular of degree 3, and the space D_+ obtained from the union of D and a half-line $[0, \infty[$ by gluing some vertex of D to 0. Then D_+ is amenable (compare with (3) for $m = 1$), but its subspace D is not (see (4)).

3.E The coarse category

We have defined in § 3.A the metric coarse category, whose objects are pseudo-metric spaces. In this section, that is not used later, we introduce as a variation a larger category, where no reference is made to any pseudo-metric. It shows in particular that coarse maps between LC-groups can be defined without reference to any pseudo-metric.

Definition 3.E.1. A **uniform bornology** on a set X is a family $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets of $X \times X$ such that

- the diagonal $\mathrm{diag}(X) = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \mid x_1 = x_2\}$ is in $\mathcal{B}$,
- if $C \subset B \subset X \times X$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $C \in \mathcal{B}$,
- if $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$, then $B \cup C \in \mathcal{B}$,
- if $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$, then $B \circ C \in \mathcal{B}$,
- if $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $B^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$,

where

$$B \circ C = \{(x_1, x_3) \in \mathcal{B} \mid \exists\, x_2 \in X \text{ with } (x_1, x_2) \in B \text{ and } (x_2, x_3) \in C\},$$
$$B^{-1} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{B} \mid (x_2, x_1) \in B\}.$$

The elements of $\mathcal{B}$ are called **entourages** of the uniform bornology $\mathcal{B}$.

For $j = 1, 2$, let X_j be a set and $\mathcal{B}_j$ a uniform bornology on X_j. A **coarse map** from $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ to $(X_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a map $f : X_1 \longrightarrow X_2$ such that $(f \times f)(B) \in \mathcal{B}_2$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$.

A uniform bornology is a "coarse structure" in [Roe–03].

Definition 3.E.2. The **coarse category** is the category of which the objects are pairs $(X, \mathcal{B})$, with X a set and $\mathcal{B}$ a uniform bornology on X, and the morphisms are defined below.

For two objects $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ and $(X_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ in this category, two maps $f, f' : X_1 \longrightarrow X_2$ are **equivalent**, $f \sim f'$, if the image of $(f, f') : X_1 \longrightarrow X_2 \times X_2$ is in $\mathcal{B}_2$. Note that, if $f \sim f'$, then f is a coarse map if and only if f' is a coarse map.

A **coarse equivalence** is a coarse map $f : (X_1, \mathcal{B}_1) \longrightarrow (X_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ for which there exists a coarse map $g : (X_2, \mathcal{B}_2) \longrightarrow (X_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ such that $gf \sim \mathrm{id}_X$ and $gf \sim \mathrm{id}_Y$.

A **morphism** from $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ to $(X_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is an equivalence class of coarse maps. It can be checked that, in the coarse category, isomorphisms are precisely equivalence classes of coarse equivalences.

There is in [Roe–93, Roe–03] a category of which the objects are metric spaces, and the morphisms are coarse maps with an additional condition of properness that *is not* required above.

Example 3.E.3. (1) Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space. Then

$$\mathcal{B}_d := \left\{ B \subset X \times X \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \text{the function } (x_1, x_2) \mapsto d_X(x_1, x_2) \\ \text{from } B \text{ to } \mathbf{R}_+ \text{ is bounded} \end{array} \right\}$$

is a uniform bornology on X.

Let (X, d) and (X', d') be two pseudo-metric spaces and $f : X \longrightarrow X'$ a map. If f is coarsely Lipschitz in the sense of Definition 3.A.2, then f is coarse in the sense of Definition 3.E.2. It follows that there is a functor from the metric coarse category to the coarse category, such that the first one can be viewed as a full subcategory of the second one.

(2) Let G be an LC-group. Let $q : G \times G \longrightarrow G$ denote the map defined by $q(g_1, g_2) = g_1^{-1} g_2$. Then

$$\mathcal{B}_G := \left\{ B \subset G \times G \mid \overline{q(B)} \text{ is compact in } G \right\}$$

is a uniform bornology on G.

Definition 3.E.4. A pair consisting of a set Y and a uniform bornology $\mathcal{C}$ on Y is **coarsely pseudo-metric** if $(Y, \mathcal{C})$ is coarsely equivalent to a pseudo-metric space, more precisely to a pair $(X, \mathcal{B}_d)$ as in Example 3.E.3, for some pseudo-metric space (X, d).

We have the simple characterization:

Proposition 3.E.5. *Let $(X, \mathcal{B})$ be a set endowed with a uniform bornology. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $(X, \mathcal{B})$ *is coarsely pseudo-metric,*
(ii) $(X, \mathcal{B})$ *is coarsely equivalent to a pseudo-metric space,*
(iii) $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_d$ *for some pseudo-metric d on X,*
(iv) $X \times X$ *is a countable union of entourages.*

Proof. Trivially (iii) implies (i) and (i) implies (ii).

Assume that (ii) holds. There exist a pseudo-metric space (Y, d) and coarse maps $f : (X, \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow (Y, \mathcal{B}_d)$, $g : (Y, \mathcal{B}_d) \longrightarrow (X, \mathcal{B})$ such that $gf \sim \mathrm{id}_X$ and $gf \sim \mathrm{id}_Y$. Set $A = \{(g(f(x)), x) \in X \times X \mid x \in X\}$; then $A \in \mathcal{B}$. For $n \geq 0$, define $B_{d,n} = \{(y_1, y_2) \in Y \times Y \mid d(y_1, y_2) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{B}_d$. Then $X \times X = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} A^{-1} \circ (g \times g)(B_{d,n}) \circ A$. Hence (iv) holds.

Suppose (iv), $X \times X = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} B_n$ with $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$, and let us show (iii). Upon replacing B_n by $B_n \cup B_n^{-1} \cup \mathrm{diag}(X)$, we can suppose B_n flip-invariant and containing the diagonal. Define by induction $C_0 = \mathrm{diag}(X)$ and $C_n = B_n \cup \left(\bigcup_{p+q=n} B_p \circ B_q \right)$. Then a simple verification shows that the function $d(x, y) = \min\{n \mid (x, y) \in C_n\}$ is a pseudo-metric on X, and the identity $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_d$. □

Corollary 3.E.6. *Let G be an LC-group. Then G is σ-compact if and only if $(G, \mathcal{B}_G)$ is coarsely pseudo-metric (notation of Example 3.E.3).*

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of the equivalence of (i) and (iv) in Proposition 3.E.5. □

Definition 3.E.7. Let X be a set and E a subset of $X \times X$ containing the diagonal $\mathrm{diag}(X)$. Recall that the **equivalence relation generated by** E is the

relation $R_E \subset X \times X$ defined by $(x, y) \in R_E$ if there exists a finite sequence $(x_0 = y, x_1, \ldots, x_k = y)$ of points in X such that $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E \cup E^{-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. The set X is **E-connected** if R_E is the trivial equivalence relation. The **trivial equivalence relation** is the relation for which any two points are equivalent.

Definition 3.E.8. A pair consisting of a set X and a uniform bornology $\mathcal{B}$ on X is **boundedly connected** if there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that the equivalence relation generated by B is trivial.

Proposition 3.E.9. *Let G be an LC-group. Then G is compactly generated if and only if $(G, \mathcal{B}_G)$ is boundedly connected (notation of Example 3.E.3).*

Proof: it is left as an exercise. □

Chapter 4

Groups as pseudo-metric spaces

4.A Adapted (pseudo-)metrics and σ-compactness

Definition 4.A.1. On a topological group G, a pseudo-metric d is **adapted** if it is left-invariant, proper, and locally bounded; in other words if d is left-invariant, all balls are relatively compact, and balls $\{g \in G \mid d(1,g) \leq R\}$ are relatively compact *neighbourhoods* of 1 for R large enough (Definition 2.A.7).

Note that a topological group that has an adapted metric is locally compact. For examples of adapted metrics, see 4.B.2 and 4.C.9.

Proposition 4.A.2 (metric characterization of σ-compactness)**.** *Let G be an LC-group. The following four properties are equivalent:*

(i) G is σ-compact;
(ii) there exists an adapted continuous pseudo-metric on G;
(iii) there exists an adapted pseudo-metric on G;
(iv) there exists an adapted metric on G.

Proof. Let G be a σ-compact LC-group. There exists a compact normal subgroup K of G such that G/K is metrizable (Kakutani-Kodaira Theorem 2.B.6). There exists on G/K a metric, say $\underline{d}$, that is left-invariant, proper, and compatible (Struble Theorem 2.B.4), equivalently that is adapted and continuous (Proposition 2.A.9). Then $d : G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, $(g,h) \longmapsto \underline{d}(gK, hK)$, is a pseudo-metric on G which is adapted and continuous. This shows Implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

Implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is trivial. For (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv), let d is an adapted pseudo-metric on G; define d_+ by $d_+(g,h) = d(g,h) + 1$ if $g \neq h$ and $d_+(g,g) = 0$; then d_+ is an adapted metric on G.

We have already observed that (iv) implies (i); see Remark 2.A.8(6). □

Remark 4.A.3. (1) Recall Struble Theorem 2.B.4, according to which the following two properties are equivalent for an LC-group G:

(v) G is second-countable;
(vi) there exists a left-invariant proper compatible metric on G (i.e. there exists an adapted continuous metric on G, by Proposition 2.A.9).

(2) To illustrate Properties (ii), (iv), and (vi) above, let us consider a non-metrizable compact group K, for example a direct product of uncountably many copies of a non-trivial compact group. Then:

(a) there exist adapted continuous pseudo-metrics on K, for example that defined by $d(g,h) = 0$ for all $g, h \in K$;
(b) there does not exist any adapted continuous metric on K, because K is not second-countable;
(c) there exist adapted metrics on K, for example that defined by $d(g,h) = 1$ for all $g, h \in K$, $g \neq h$.

(3) Take care: as (c) above shows:

- **an LC-group with an adapted metric need not be metrizable.**

(This holds also for geodesically adapted metrics, as defined below in 4.B.1.) Moreover, we insist on the following facts:

(d) an adapted (pseudo-)metric need not be continuous (Remark 2.A.8(1));
(e) a topological group that has a proper locally bounded pseudo-metric is locally compact and σ-compact (Remark 2.A.8(6));
(f) on a locally compact group, a metric is adapted and continuous if and only if it is left-invariant, proper, and compatible (Proposition 2.A.9);
(g) a left-invariant proper metric need not be locally bounded (Remark 4.B.3(6)).

(4) Let G be an LC-group and d an adapted pseudo-metric on G (as for example in Proposition 4.A.2(iii)). Set $K = \{g \in G \mid d(1,g) = 0\}$. Then:

(h) K is a relatively compact subgroup of G;
(j) the diameter of (G,d) is finite if and only if the group G is compact.

In particular, if d is moreover continuous, K is a compact subgroup of G.

Corollary 4.A.4. *On every LC-group, there exists a continuous pseudo-metric with respect to which balls of radius ≤ 1 are compact.*

Proof. Let G be an LC-group, and U a compactly generated open subgroup of G (Proposition 2.C.3). Let d be an adapted continuous pseudo-metric on U (Proposition 4.A.2); upon replacing d by $2d/(1+d)$, we can assume that $d(u_1,u_2) < 2$ for all $u_1, u_2 \in U$. Define a pseudo-metric d_G on G by $d_G(g_1,g_2) = d(1, g_1^{-1}g_2)$ if $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in U$ and $d_G(g_1,g_2) = 2$ otherwise. The pseudo-metric d_G is continuous, and its balls of radius < 2 are compact. □

Proposition 4.A.5 (continuous homomorphisms of σ-compact LC-groups as coarse morphisms)**.** *For $j = 1, 2$, let G_j be a σ-compact LC-group and d_j an adapted pseudo-metric on it. Let $f : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ be a continuous homomorphism; denote by f_d this map when viewed as $(G_1, d_1) \longrightarrow (G_2, d_2)$. Then:*

(1) the map f_d is coarsely Lipschitz;
(2) f is proper if and only if the map f_d is coarsely expansive.

Proof. (1) Let $R_1 > 0$. The ball $B_1 := \{g \in G_1 \mid d_1(1,g) \leq R_1\}$ is relatively compact because d_1 is proper. Its image $f(B_1)$ is relatively compact because f is continuous. There exists $R_2 > 0$ such that $B_2 := \{g \in G_2 \mid d_2(1,g) \leq R_2\}$ contains $f(B_1)$ because d_2 is locally bounded (Remark 2.A.8(5)). By the first part of Proposition 3.A.5, and because d_1, d_2 are left-invariant, it follows that f_d is coarsely Lipschitz.

(2) Suppose that f_d is coarsely expansive. Let Φ_- be a continuous lower control for f_d (see Definition 3.A.1). Consider a compact subset L of G_2. There exists $R_2 > 0$ such that $L \subset B_2$, where B_2 is defined as in the proof of (1). Set $R_1 = \inf\{R \geq 0 \mid \Phi_-(R) \geq R_2\}$. Since $\Phi_-(d_1(1,g)) \leq d_2(1, f(g))$ for all $g \in G_1$, we have $f^{-1}(L) \subset f^{-1}(B_2) \subset B_1$, so that $f^{-1}(L)$ is compact. Hence f is proper.

We leave the checking of the converse implication to the reader. □

Corollary 4.A.6 (uniqueness of adapted pseudo-metrics up to metric coarse equivalence)**.** *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group, H a closed subgroup, d_G, d'_G two adapted pseudo-metrics on G, and d_H an adapted pseudo-metric on H.*

(1) The inclusion $(H, d_H) \hookrightarrow (G, d_G)$ is a coarse embedding.
(2) The identity, viewed as a map $(G, d_G) \longrightarrow (G, d'_G)$, is a metric coarse equivalence.

Proof. The inclusion homomorphism $H \hookrightarrow G$ is continuous and proper. Hence Claim (1) is a particular case of Proposition 4.A.5. Claim (2) follows. □

Propositions 4.A.2 & 4.A.5 and Corollary 4.A.6 mark a first important step in our exposition. They show:

Milestone 4.A.7. *(1) On every σ-compact LC-group, there exists an adapted pseudo-metric (indeed there exists an adapted metric) that makes it an object in the metric coarse category, well-defined up to metric coarse equivalence.*
(2) Any continuous homomorphism between such groups can be viewed as a coarsely Lipschitz map.

Remark 4.A.8. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a property of pseudo-metric spaces that is invariant by metric coarse equivalence. By 4.A.7, it makes sense to write that a σ-compact LC-group **has Property** $\mathcal{P}$ when the pseudo-metric space (G, d) has Property $\mathcal{P}$ for d an adapted pseudo-metric on G. Examples of such properties include:

(1) Coarse connectedness (Proposition 3.B.6), related to compact generation for groups (Proposition 4.B.8).

(2) Coarse simple connectedness (Proposition 6.A.7), related to compact presentation for groups (Corollary 8.A.4).

(3) Asymptotic dimension 0 (Example 3.B.17), related to local ellipticity for groups (Proposition 4.D.4), and more generally asymptotic dimension (Definition 3.B.15).

(4) Being coarsely embeddable in some kind of spaces, such as Hilbert spaces, or Banach spaces of some sort.

(5) **Property A** of Yu, for *uniformly locally finite metric spaces*. This definition has been useful in relation with the Baum-Connes conjecture. We refer to [Yu–00] for

its definition and to [Tu–01, Proposition 4.2] for its invariance by coarse equivalence. There is a relation to (4): a uniformly locally finite metric space that has Property A can be coarsely embedded in a Hilbert space [Yu–00, Theorem 2.2]; the converse does not hold [ArGS–12].

(6) For σ-compact LC-groups, the property of being both amenable and unimodular is invariant by metric coarse equivalence. See Section 4.F.

(7) This section has shown how to associate to an appropriate LC-group G a well-defined object in the metric coarse category. This has been extended by C. Rosendal to other metrizable groups, not necessarily locally compact; we refer to [Rose–a, Rose–b].

4.B Geodesically adapted (pseudo-)metrics and compact generation

Definition 4.B.1. On a topological group G, a pseudo-metric d is **geodesically adapted** if it is adapted and large-scale geodesic (see Definitions 4.A.1 and 3.B.1).

Definition 4.B.2. Let G be a group and S a generating set of G. The **word metric** defined by S on G is defined by

$$d_S(g,h) = \min\left\{ n \geq 0 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \exists\ s_1,\dots,s_n \in S \cup S^{-1} \\ \text{such that } g^{-1}h = s_1 \cdots s_n \end{array} \right\}.$$

The corresponding **word length**, or **S-length**, is defined by

$$\ell_S : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}, \quad g \longmapsto d_S(e,g).$$

Occasionally, if $\pi : F_S \longrightarrow G$ is the canonical projection associated to the generating set S, we denote also by ℓ_S the word length $F_S \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$. Note that $\ell_S(g) = \min\{\ell_S(w) \mid w \in \pi^{-1}(g)\}$ for all $g \in G$.

Remark 4.B.3. (1) Let G be a group and S a generating set of G. The word metric d_S is left-invariant and 1-geodesic; in particular, it is large-scale geodesic.

Suppose moreover that G is locally compact and S compact. Then d_S is geodesically adapted on G.

(2) As much as we know, word metrics have first been used by Max Dehn, see [Dehn–11] and [DeSt–87, Pages 130 and 143]. Let Γ be the fundamental group of a closed surface and S a finite generating set of Γ. Using the word length ℓ_S on Γ, shown to be quasi-isometric to a metric coming from hyperbolic geometry, Dehn has established that the "conjugacy problem" is solvable in Γ.

Previously, Poincaré had defined a word length in a finitely generated Fuchsian group [Poin–82, Page 11], using "exposant d'une substitution" g for our $\ell_S(g)$. But this does not re-appear later in the article.

(3) An adapted metric need not be geodesically adapted. For example, let G be a compactly generated LC-group and d a geodesically adapted metric on G (Proposition 4.B.4). Then $\sqrt{d}$ is an adapted metric on G, and $\sqrt{d}$ is geodesically adapted

if and only if the diameter of (G, d) is finite, if and only if the group G is compact. The same holds for $\ln(1 + d)$.

(4) In the circle group $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ (viewed as an abstract group), there exists a generating set T such that the word metric d_T makes $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ a metric space of infinite diameter.

Indeed, consider first the additive group $\mathbf{Q}$ of the rationals, generated by $U = \{\frac{1}{n!}\}_{n\geq 2}$. The word metric d_U on $\mathbf{Q}$ is unbounded, because $d_U(0, \frac{n/2}{n!}) = n/2$ for every even integer $n \geq 2$. We denote by U_0 the projection of U in $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$.

Let $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ be a basis of the $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space $\mathbf{R}$, containing the basis vector $e_{\alpha_0} := 1$; set $B = A \smallsetminus \{\alpha_0\}$. For each $\beta \in B$, let $\mathbf{Q}_\beta$ be a copy of $\mathbf{Q}$, and let $U_\beta \subset \mathbf{Q}_\beta$ be the corresponding copy of U, generating $\mathbf{Q}_\beta$. We can identify the abelian groups $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{\beta\in B} \mathbf{Q}_\beta\big)$.

The union T of U_0 and the U_β 's, for $\beta \in B$, has the desired properties.

[On a topological group, a word metric need not be locally bounded. For example, let F be a dense subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ that is free of rank 2, endowed with the topology induced by that of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$; note that this topological group is not locally compact. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ be a free basis of F. The word metric d_S on F is not locally bounded.

Indeed, let U be a neighbourhood of 1 in F. Consider a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of pairwise distinct points in F converging to 1 in $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. For every $k \geq 1$, the d_S-diameter of $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\geq k}$ is infinite. Since this set is contained in U for k large enough, the d_S-diameter of U is infinite. Hence d_S is not locally bounded.]

(6) Let G be an LC-group and d a proper pseudo-metric on G. As already observed in Remark 2.A.8(4), if d is continuous, then d is locally bounded. But a proper metric on G need not be locally bounded; more precisely, we will now show that there exists a generating subset S of the additive group $\mathbf{R}$ such that the word metric d_S is proper and not locally bounded.

Let $\pi : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ denote the canonical projection and $s : \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ the section of π with image in $]0, 1]$. Set $S = s(T) \cup \{1\}$, with T as in (4). Then S is a generating set of $\mathbf{R}$, contained in the generating set $[0, 1]$. Thus $d_S(x, y) \geq d_{[0,1]}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$. It follows that, on the LC-group $\mathbf{R}$, the metric d_S is proper and not locally bounded (a fortiori d_S is not continuous). More precisely, the compact interval $[0, 1]$ has infinite diameter with respect to d_S (otherwise, $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ would have finite diameter with respect to d_T).

(7) Consider a positive integer k, the free group F_k, and a word $w \in F_k$. For a group G, the corresponding **verbal map** is defined as

$G^k \longrightarrow G$, $(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \longmapsto w(g_1, \ldots, g_k)$. Denote by G_w the subset of G of elements h such that at least one of h, h^{-1} is in the image of this verbal map. Let $\langle G_w\rangle$ denote the subgroup of G generated by G_w, and d_w the G_w-word metric on $\langle G_w\rangle$. For an integer $n \geq 0$, the word w has **width at most** n **in** G if $d_w(1, g) \leq n$ for all $g \in \langle G_w\rangle$; thus, the width of w in G is the diameter of the metric space $(\langle G_w\rangle, d_w)$. The word w is **silly** if either $w = 1 \in F_k$ or $G_w = G$ for every group G. For example, $x \in F_1$ and $yxyzy \in F_3$ are silly, and $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in F_2$ is non-silly.

The question of finite width of words in groups has received a lot of attention.

Rhemthulla has shown that a non-silly word has infinite width in every non-abelian free group [Rhem–68]; see also [Sega–09]. In the special case of $w = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, the metric $d_w(1, g)$ is the **commutator length** of $g \in G_w = [G, G]$ (notation of Definition 5.A.4). Let c_n denote the supremum of the commutator lengths of elements in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$. Then c_n is finite for all $n \geq 3$, $c_2 = \infty$, and $c_3 \leq 43$ [Newm–87]. Commutator lengths are nicely discussed in [Bava–91].

In 1951, Ore conjectured that, in a non-abelian finite simple group G, every element is a commutator, i.e. the commutator width of G is 1. The conjecture has recently been established in [LOSP–10].

(8) Let G be an LC-group and H a closed subgroup. Assume that G has an adapted metric d; the restriction of d to H is an adapted metric on H.

In particular, let H be a countable group. By the HNN embedding theorem (see Remark 2.C.18), there exists a finitely generated group G (indeed a 2-generated group) containing H as a subgroup. Let $S \subset G$ be a finite generating set; the corresponding word metric d_S is geodesically adapted on G. The restriction d of d_S to H is an adapted metric.

The restriction d need not be geodesically adapted. For example, by Proposition 4.B.4 below, d is not geodesically adapted when H is not finitely generated.

(9) Let G be a group, S a generating set, and $w : S \cup S^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^\times$ a **weight**, such that $w(s^{-1}) = w(s)$ for all $s \in S \cup S^{-1}$. As a variation on Definition 4.B.2, one has a "weighted word metric" on G by

$$d_{S,w}(g, h) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} w(s_i) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \exists\ s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S \cup S^{-1} \\ \text{such that } g^{-1}h = s_1 \cdots s_n \end{array} \right\}.$$

This has been useful, for example to estimate word growth of finitely generated groups [Bart–98].

Proposition 4.B.4. *Let G be a topological group.*

(1) If there exists an adapted pseudo-metric d on G such that the metric space (G, d) is coarsely connected (in particular if d is geodesically adapted), then G is locally compact and compactly generated.
(2) Assume that G is locally compact and has a compact generating set S. The word metric d_S is geodesically adapted.
(3) Let G be a compactly generated LC-group, S, S' two compact generating sets, and d, d' the corresponding word metrics. Then the identity $(G, d) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} (G, d')$ is a bilipschitz equivalence.

Proof. (1) Suppose that d is a geodesically adapted pseudo-metric on G. Let c be a constant as in Definition 3.B.1(c). Then the closure of $\{g \in G \mid d(1, g) \leq c\}$ is a compact generating set of G.

(2) Let $n \geq 0$ be such that $\widehat{S}^n$ is a neighbourhood of 1 (Proposition 2.C.3). Its d_S-diameter is bounded by $2n$; hence d_S is locally bounded. As d_S is clearly left-invariant, proper, and large-scale geodesic, this establishes Claim (2).

(3) By Proposition 2.C.3(6),

$$c := \max\Big\{ \sup_{s\in S'\cup S'^{-1}} d(1,s), \sup_{s\in S\cup S^{-1}} d'(1,s)\Big\}$$

is a finite constant. We have $d'(g,h) \leq cd(g,h)$ and $d(g,h) \leq cd'(g,h)$ for all $g,h \in G$. □

Definition 4.B.5. Let X be a set and $E \subset X \times X$ a symmetric subset containing the diagonal; we suppose that X is E-connected (Definition 3.E.7). Define $\nu_E : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by

$$\nu_E(x,y) \;=\; \inf\left\{ n \geq 0 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \exists\, x = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = y \;\text{ in }\; X \\ \text{with }\; (x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E \;\text{ for }\; i = 1, \ldots, n \end{array} \right\}$$

(compare with Remark 3.B.7). Suppose that X is moreover given with a pseudo-metric d. Define $\delta_{E,d} : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ by

$$\delta_{E,d}(x,y) \;=\; \inf\left\{ \ell \geq 0 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \exists\, x = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = y \;\text{ in }\; X \\ \text{with }\; (x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E \;\text{ for }\; i = 1, \ldots, n \\ \text{and }\; \ell = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_{i-1}, x_i) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that

(a) ν_E and $\delta_{E,d}$ take finite values (because X is E-connected),
(b) ν_E is a metric on X which is 1-geodesic (Definition 3.B.1),
(c) $\delta_{E,d}$ is a pseudo-metric on X,
(d) $d(x,y) \leq \delta_{E,d}(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

For example, if $X = G$ is a group generated by a symmetric set S containing 1, and if $E := \{(g,h) \in G \times G \mid g^{-1}h \in S\}$, then ν_E is the word metric d_S of Definition 4.B.2.

Definition 4.B.6. Let (X,d) be a pseudo-metric space and $E \subset X \times X$ a symmetric subset containing the diagonal; we assume that X is E-connected. Let $\nu_E, \delta_{E,d}$ be as in Definition 4.B.5, and c, C be positive constants. The triple (X,d,E) is (c,C)**-controlled** if

$$B^x_X(c) \;\subset\; \{y \in X \mid (x,y) \in E\} \;\subset\; B^x_X(C)$$

for all $x \in X$ (recall that $B^x_X(c) = \{y \in X \mid d(x,y) \leq c\}$, as in § 3.D). The right-hand side inclusion implies:

(e) $d(x,y) \leq C\nu_E(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Say that a sequence $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of points in X with $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ is an E**-path of length** n. Such an E-path is c**-good** if either $n \leq 1$, or $n \geq 2$ and $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) + d(x_i, x_{i+1}) > c$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Then, because of the left-hand side inclusion above,

(f) in the definitions of $\nu_E(x,y)$ and $\delta_{E,d}(x,y)$, we can take the infima on sets of c-good E-paths only.

The following lemma is essentially Lemma 4 of [MoSW–02].

Lemma 4.B.7. *Let (X,d) be a pseudo-metric space and $E \subset X \times X$ a symmetric subset containing the diagonal. We assume that X is E-connected and that (X,d,E) is (c,C)-controlled for some $c, C > 0$. Then*

(1) $\delta_{E,d} \leq C\nu_E$.
(2) If $x, y \in X$ satisfy $\delta_{E,d}(x,y) < c$ or $d(x,y) < c$, then $\delta_{E,d}(x,y) = d(x,y)$.
(3) For all $x, y \in X$, we have $\delta_{E,d}(x,y) \geq \frac{c}{2}(\nu_E(x,y) - 1)$.
(4) ν_E and $\delta_{E,d}$ are quasi-isometric pseudo-metrics on X.
(5) If X is a topological space and d continuous, then $\delta_{E,d}$ is continuous.

Proof. (1) This inequality follows from the definitions of $\delta_{E,d}$ and ν_E, and from the controlled hypothesis.

(2) Let $x, y \in X$. For the proof of (2), we consider several cases.

Suppose first that $\delta_{E,d}(x,y) < c$. Choose $c' > 0$ with $\delta_{E,d}(x,y) < c' < c$. There exists a c-good E-path $(x_0 = x, x_1, \ldots, x_n = y)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < c'$. Since the path is c-good, we cannot have $n \geq 2$. Hence $n = 1$ and $d(x,y) < c'$. As this hold for all $c' \in]\delta_{E,d}(x,y), c[$, we have $d(x,y) \leq \delta_{E,d}(x,y)$.

Suppose now that $d(x,y) < c$. Then (x,y) is a E-path of length 1, and $\delta_{E,d}(x,y) \leq d(x,y)$. By the previous case, we have also $d(x,y) \leq \delta_{E,d}(x,y)$. Hence $\delta_{E,d}(x,y) = d(x,y)$.

Finally, if $\delta_{E,d}(x,y) < c$ again, then $d(x,y) < c$ by the first case, and $\delta_{E,d}(x,y) = d(x,y)$ by the second case.

(3) Let $x, y \in E$, $x \neq y$. Choose $\ell > 0$ such that $\ell > \delta_{E,d}(x,y)$. There exists a c-good E-path $(x_0 = x, x_1, \ldots, x_n = y)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \ell$. This path being c-good, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n d(x_{i-1}, x_i) > \lfloor n/2 \rfloor c$, hence $(n-1)/2 < \ell/c$. Since $\nu_E(x,y) \leq n$, we have also $\nu_E(x,y) - 1 < 2\ell/c$. As this hold for every $\ell > \delta_{E,d}(x,y)$, we have finally $\nu_E(x,y) - 1 \leq (2/c)\delta_{E,d}(x,y)$.

(4) follows from (1) and (3), and (5) follows from (2). □

Proposition 4.B.8 (metric characterization of compact generation)**.** *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group. Choose an adapted pseudo-metric d on G (see Proposition 4.A.2). The following properties are equivalent:*

(i) G is compactly generated;
(ii) the pseudo-metric space (G,d) is coarsely connected;
(iii) the pseudo-metric space (G,d) is coarsely geodesic;
(iv) there exists a geodesically adapted pseudo-metric on G, say d_{ga} (note that, in this case, the pseudo-metric space (G, d_{ga}) is large-scale geodesic);
(v) there exists a geodesically adapted metric on G;
(vi) there exists a geodesically adapted continuous pseudo-metric on G.

In particular, among σ-compact LC-groups, the property of compact generation is invariant by metric coarse equivalence.

Note. It is an old observation that ≪"compact generation" can be considered as a very weak type of connectedness condition.≫ The quotation is from the survey article [Palm–78, Page 685].

Proof. For (i) ⇒ (iii), choose a compact generating set S of G, and let d_S be the corresponding word metric. Since the space (G, d_S) is large-scale geodesic and the map $(G, d) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} (G, d_S)$ is a metric coarse equivalence (Corollary 4.A.6), the space (G, d) is coarsely geodesic (Proposition 3.B.6).

Implications (iii) ⇒ (ii) and (vi) ⇒ (v) follow from the definitions.

For (ii) ⇒ (i), suppose that (G, d) is c-coarsely connected for some $c > 0$, as in Definition 3.B.1(a). Let S be the closure in (G, d) of the ball of centre 1 and radius c. Then S is compact (because d is adapted) and generates G.

Properties (i), (iv), and (v) are equivalent by Proposition 4.B.4.

Let us show that (i) implies (vi). By Corollary 4.A.4, there exists a continuous pseudo-metric d on G such that closed balls of radius at most 1 are compact. If G is compactly generated, we can choose a symmetric compact generating set S of G containing the unit ball $B^1_G(1) = \{g \in G \mid d(1, g) \leq 1\}$. Since d is continuous and S compact, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $S \subset B^1_G(C)$. Set $E = \{(g, h) \in G \times G \mid g^{-1}h \in S\}$. Let ν_E and $\delta_{E,d}$ be as in Definition 4.B.5; recall that the metric space (G, ν_E) is 1-geodesic, and that ν_E is the word metric d_S. By Lemma 4.B.7, the pseudo-metric $\delta_{E,d}$ is continuous and the identity map $(G, \nu_E) \longrightarrow (G, \delta_{E,d})$ is a quasi-isometry; hence (vi) holds.

The last claim follows by Proposition 3.B.6(1). □

Here is an analogue of the previous proposition for second-countable groups:

Proposition 4.B.9. *Let G be a second-countable LC-group. The following properties are equivalent*

(i) G is compactly generated;
(ii) there exists a large-scale geodesic left-invariant proper compatible metric on G.

Proof. By Theorem 2.B.4, there exists a left-invariant proper compatible metric d on G.

Assume that (i) holds. Choose a symmetric compact generating set S containing $\{g \in G \mid d(1, g) \leq 1\}$, and proceed as in the proof of (i) ⇒ (vi) of Proposition 4.B.8. Note that $\delta_{E,d}$ is now a metric (rather than a pseudo-metric), by Lemma 4.B.7(2). Since $\delta_{E,d}$ is proper (see (d) in 4.B.5) and continuous, it is also compatible (Proposition 2.A.9). This shows that (ii) holds.

The converse implication is trivial. □

Proposition 4.B.10 (continuous homomorphisms of compactly generated LC–groups as large-scale morphisms)**.** *For $j = 1, 2$, let G_j be a compactly generated LC-group and d_j a geodesically adapted pseudo-metric on it.*

(1) Let $f : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ be a continuous homomorphism; denote it by $f_d : (G_1, d_1) \longrightarrow (G_2, d_2)$ when viewed as a map between pseudo-metric spaces. Then f_d is large-scale Lipschitz.
(2) Any metric coarse equivalence $(G_1, d_1) \longrightarrow (G_2, d_2)$ is a quasi-isometry.

Proof. In view of Proposition 4.A.5, this is a particular case of Proposition 3.B.8. □

Corollary 4.B.11 (Uniqueness of geodesically adapted pseudo-metrics up to quasi-isometry)**.** *Let G be a compactly generated LC-group and let d_1, d_2 be two geodesically adapted pseudo-metrics on G.*

Then the identity, viewed as a map $(G, d_1) \longrightarrow (G, d_2)$, is a quasi-isometry.

Remark 4.B.12. (1) In the situation of Proposition 4.B.10, the analogue of Part (2) in Proposition 4.A.5 does not hold: a proper continuous homomorphism need not be large-scale expansive.

Indeed, notation being as in Example 3.B.9, consider the discrete Heisenberg group $H(\mathbf{Z})$ generated by $S := \{s, t\}$. Its center, say Z, is the infinite cyclic group generated by u. On the one hand, since $H(\mathbf{Z})/Z \simeq \mathbf{Z}^2$, it is easy to check that $d_S(1, s^k) = d_S(1, t^k) = |k|$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. On the other hand, a straightforward computation shows that $[s^k, t^k] = u^{k^2}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, so that $d_S(1, u^{k^2}) \leq 4|k|$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let f denote the inclusion of Z in $H(\mathbf{Z})$; observe that f is proper (being injective). Since

$$d_{\{u\}}(0, k^2) = k^2 \text{ and } d_S(f(0), f(k^2)) = d_S(1, u^{k^2}) \leq 4|k| \text{ for all } k \in \mathbf{Z},$$

$f : (Z, d_{\{u\}}) \longrightarrow (H(\mathbf{Z}), d_S)$ is not large-scale expansive.

It can be shown that there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1\sqrt{|n|} \leq d_S(1, f(n)) \leq c_2\sqrt{|n|} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

In other words, the centre in $H(\mathbf{Z})$ is *distorted*, with *quadratic relative growth*.

Another example of distorted subgroup is that of the infinite cyclic subgroup $\langle s \rangle$ of the Baumslag-Solitar group $\langle s, t \mid t^{-1}st = s^2 \rangle$, with *exponential relative growth*. For distorsion, see [Grom–93, Chapter 3].

(2) In the situation of Corollary 4.B.11, the hypothesis of geodesic adaptedness cannot be omitted, as shown by the two adapted metrics defined on $\mathbf{Z}$ by $d_1(m, n) = |m - n|$ and $d_2(m, n) = \sqrt{|m - n|}$. (Compare with Remark 4.B.3(3).)

Propositions 4.B.8 & 4.B.10 and Corollary 4.B.11 mark a second important step in our exposition (compare with 4.A.7). They show:

Milestone 4.B.13. *(1) On every compactly generated LC-group, there exists a geodesically adapted pseudo-metric (indeed there exists a geodesically adapted metric) that makes it an object in the large-scale category, well-defined up to quasi-isometry.*

(2) Any continuous homomorphism among such groups can be viewed as a large-scale Lipschitz map.

Remark 4.B.14. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a property of pseudo-metric spaces that is invariant by quasi-isometries. By 4.B.13, it makes sense to write that a compactly generated LC-group **has Property** $\mathcal{Q}$ when the pseudo-metric space (G, d) has Property $\mathcal{Q}$ for d a geodesically adapted pseudo-metric on G (for example a word metric). Let us indicate examples of such properties, that *would not* fit in Remark 4.A.8.

(1) For a pseudo-metric space X and an integer $n \geq 1$, define **Property Flat(n)**

(Flat(n)) there exists a quasi-isometric embedding of $\mathbf{R}^n$ into X,

where $\mathbf{R}^n$ is viewed together with its Euclidean metric. This property is obviously invariant by quasi-isometries, but it is not invariant by metric coarse equivalence (as we leave it to the reader to check).

(2) For uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric spaces, the growth function is invariant by quasi-isometries (Proposition 3.D.22). Growth functions provide important quasi-isometry invariants of compactly generated LC-groups; see the references quoted in Example 3.D.24.

(3) Remark 4.B.15 shows a property that is invariant by quasi-isometries *for a subclass of pseudo-metric spaces only*, but nevertheless that makes sense for compactly generated LC-groups.

(4) As in Remark 4.A.8(7), we refer to [Rose–a, Rose–b] for a way to define a quasi-isometric type of some non-LC metrizable groups.

Remark 4.B.15 (Gromov-hyperbolicity)**.** Gromov-hyperbolicity *for geodesic metric spaces* is invariant by quasi-isometries [GhHa–90, Chapter 5, § 2]. In particular, with the aid of Cayley graphs, Gromov-hyperbolicity makes sense for compactly generated LC-groups, and is invariant by quasi-isometries of these; see for example [CCMT]. But Gromov-hyperbolicity for arbitrary proper metric spaces is not invariant by quasi-isometries, as the example below will show.

Recall the definition of **Gromov-hyperbolicity** of a metric space (X, d):

(GromHyp) there exists $\delta \geq 0$ such that
$(y|z)_x \geq \min\{(y|w)_x, (w|z)_x\} - \delta$ for all $x, y, z, w \in X$,

where the **Gromov product** is defined by

$$(y|z)_x = \frac{1}{2}\big(d(x,y) + d(x,z) - d(y,z)\big).$$

An LC-group G is **Gromov-hyperbolic** if it is compactly generated and if, with a word metric, it is a Gromov-hyperbolic metric space; equivalently if G has a continuous proper cocompact isometric action on some proper geodesic hyperbolic metric space [CCMT, Corollary 2.6].

Here is the announced example. Let f be a function $\mathbf{N} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$; denote by

$$X_f := \{(x,y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x \in \mathbf{N},\ y = f(x)\} \subset \mathbf{R}^2$$

its graph. Consider $\mathbf{N}$ together with the usual metric, $d_{\mathbf{N}}(m,n) = |m-n|$, and X_f together with the ℓ^1-metric, $d_X((x_1,x_2),(y_1,y_2)) = |x_1-y_1| + |x_2-y_2|$. View f as a bijection from $(\mathbf{N}, d_{\mathbf{N}})$ onto (X_f, d_X). Observe that $(\mathbf{N}, d_{\mathbf{N}})$ is Gromov-hyperbolic; indeed, it satisfies Condition (GromHyp) with $\delta = 0$.

Suppose moreover that f is a bilipschitz function, so that the bijection $f : (\mathbf{N}, d_{\mathbf{N}}) \longrightarrow (X_f, d_X)$ is a quasi-isometry. Let us show that (X_f, d_X) need not be Gromov-hyperbolic.

Suppose in addition that f satisfies the following: if $(a_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is the sequence in $\mathbf{N}$ defined by $a_1 = 1$ and $a_{n+1} = a_n + 2n$ for $n \geq 1$, then $f(a_n) = 0$ and $f(a_n + n) = n$ for all $n \geq 1$. A simple verification shows that there exist bilipschitz functions satisfying these conditions. Set

$$x = (a_n, 0),\ y = (a_n + n, n),\ z = (a_{n+1}, 0),\ w = (a_{n+1} + n + 1, n + 1)\ \in X_f.$$

A direct computation yields

$$(y|z)_x = n,\ (y|w)_x = 2n,\ (w|z)_x = 2n.$$

So $(y|z)_x - \min\{(y|w)_x, (w|z)_x\} = -n$, and this implies that X_f is not hyperbolic.

4.C Actions of groups on pseudo-metric spaces

Definition 4.C.1. Consider a topological group G, a non-empty pseudo-metric space (X, d_X), and an action $\alpha : G \times X \longrightarrow X, (g, x) \longmapsto gx$. For $x \in X$ and $R \geq 0$, denote by

$i_x : G \longrightarrow X,\ g \longmapsto gx$ the orbit map;
$B_X^x(R)$ the ball $\{y \in X \mid d_X(x, y) \leq R\}$ in (X, d_X);
$S_{x,R}$ the subset $\{g \in G \mid d_X(x, g(x)) \leq R\} = i_x^{-1}(B_X^x(R))$ of G.

The action α is

faithful if, for every $g \neq 1$ in G, there exists $x \in X$ with $gx \neq x$ (some authors use "effective" for "faithful");

metrically proper if $S_{x,R}$ is relatively compact for all $x \in X$ and $R \geq 0$;

locally bounded if, for every $(g, x) \in G \times X$, there exists a neighbourhood V of g in G such that Vx is bounded in X;

cobounded if there exists a subset F of X of finite diameter such that $\bigcup_{g\in G} gF = X$;

isometric if $d_X(gx, gx') = d_X(x, x')$ for all $g \in G$ and $x, x' \in X$;

geometric if it is isometric, cobounded, locally bounded, and metrically proper.

Suppose that X is moreover an LC-space. The action α is

proper if the map $G \times X \longrightarrow X \times X, (g, x) \longmapsto (gx, x)$ is proper (Definition 2.A.3), equivalently if $\{g \in G \mid gL \cap L \neq \emptyset\}$ is relatively compact for every compact subset L of X;

cocompact if there exists a compact subset F of X such that $\bigcup_{g\in G} gF = X$.

Remark 4.C.2. Let G be a topological group. The mere existence of an action of some sort of G on some space X can have strong consequences on G.

Here is a first example: if G has a proper continuous action on a non-empty LC-space, then G is an LC-group and the quotient space $G\backslash X$ is an LC-space [BTG1-4, Page III.33]. For other examples, see 4.C.5 – 4.C.7.

Remark 4.C.3. (1) In this book,

- **actions of topological groups on topological spaces and metric spaces need not be continuous.**

This includes geometric actions. Consider for example the regular action

$$\lambda : \mathbf{R} \times (\mathbf{R}, d_{[-1,1]}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}, d_{[-1,1]}), \ (t, x) \longmapsto \lambda_t(x) := t + x$$

of the additive group $\mathbf{R}$ on the metric space $(\mathbf{R}, d_{[-1,1]})$, where $d_{[-1,1]}$ denotes the word metric defined by $[-1, 1]$. This action is not continuous, because

$$\lim_{t \overset{>}{\to} 0} d_{[-1,1]}(\lambda_t(x), x) \ = \ 1 \neq 0 \ \text{ for all } \ x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

But this action is geometric.

Similarly, actions of groups on Rips complexes (see Sections 6.C, 7.B, and 8.A) and Cayley graphs are geometric and need not be continuous.

Here is one more family of examples. Let G be a compact group, with a left-invariant metric d, and $\varphi : G \longrightarrow G$ a group endomorphism; the action of G on (G, d) defined by $\alpha(g, x) = \varphi(g)x$ is geometric. Note that φ need be neither continuous nor onto; it is easy to give precise examples with $G = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, a direct sum of $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ and uncountably many copies of $\mathbf{Q}$.

(2) It is often sufficient to check local boundedness on generating sets. More precisely, consider an LC-group G, a non-empty pseudo-metric space X, an action α of G on X, and a point $x_0 \in X$, as in Definition 4.C.1. Assume that G is generated by a compact subset S and that α is isometric. Then α is locally bounded if and only if Sx_0 is bounded in X, as we check now.

Suppose first that α is locally bounded. For all $s \in S$, there exists a neighbourhood V_s of s in G such that $V_s x_0$ is bounded in X. Since S is compact, there exist $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ such that $S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{s_i}$. Then Sx_0, included in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{s_i} x_0$, is bounded.

Suppose now that Sx_0 is bounded. Let $n \geq 1$ be such that $V := \widehat{S}^n$ is a neighbourhood of 1 in G (Proposition 2.C.3). Observe that Vx_0 is bounded, indeed that Vx is bounded for all $x \in X$. Given $(g, x) \in G \times X$, there exists $k \geq 1$ such that g is in the neighbourhood V^k of 1 in G, and $V^k x$ is bounded in X. Hence α is locally bounded.

(3) Let X be a topological space and d_X a locally bounded pseudo-metric on X. A continuous action of a topological group on X is locally bounded with respect to d_X.

But an arbitrary action need not be locally bounded. For example, let $\mathbf{R}$ be given its usual metric and let $\gamma : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a non-continuous group automorphism; then the action $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}, \ (z, z') \longmapsto \gamma(z) + z'$ is not locally bounded.

(4) Consider again G, X, α and x as in Definition 4.C.1; assume now that G is locally compact and α is isometric locally bounded. Let $R \geq 0$; we claim that there exists $R' \geq R$ such that $d_X(x, g(x)) \leq R'$ for all $g \in \overline{S_{x,R}}$.

Indeed, let K be a compact neighbourhood of 1 in G. There exists $r > 0$ such that Kx lies inside the ball of centre x and radius r. Let $g \in \overline{S_{x,R}}$. There exists $h \in S_{x,R}$ and $k \in K$ such that $g = hk$. Then

$$d_X(x, g(x)) \leq d_X(x, h(x)) + d_X(h(x), hk(x)) \leq R + d_X(x, k(x)) \leq R + r.$$

and the claim holds with $R' = R + r$.

(5) Let $G \times X \longrightarrow X$ be a continuous action of an LC-group G on a non-empty *proper* metric space X.

The action is metrically proper if and only if it is proper.

The action is cobounded if and only if it is cocompact.

In particular, a continuous isometric action on X that is proper and cocompact is geometric.

Example 4.C.4. (1) Let G be a topological group and X the one-point metric space. The unique action of G on X is geometric if and only if G is compact.

(2) Let $\mathbf{E}^1$ denote an affine Euclidean line, with a standard metric. The group of isometries of $\mathbf{E}^1$ is a semidirect product $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{E}^1) \simeq \mathbf{R} \rtimes C_2$, where C_2 denotes a group of order 2. Up to conjugation inside the affine group $\mathbf{R} \rtimes \mathbf{R}^\times$, the group $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{E}^1)$ has four cocompact closed subgroups:

(a) the group $\mathbf{Z}$ of all iterates of one non-trivial translation;
(b) the infinite dihedral group $\mathbf{Z} \rtimes C_2 = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{Z})$;
(c) the group $\mathbf{R}$ of all translations;
(d) $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{E}^1)$ itself, containing all translations and all half-turns;

Each of these groups has a natural geometric action on $\mathbf{E}^1$. More generally, let G be a group acting continuously and geometrically on $\mathbf{E}^1$, and let $\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{E}^1)$ be the corresponding homomorphism; then $\rho(G)$ is conjugated inside $\mathbf{R} \rtimes \mathbf{R}^\times$ to one of (a) to (d) above; moreover, $\rho(G)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of G by its unique maximal compact normal subgroup.

Let G be an LC-group with two ends. Then G has a unique compact open normal subgroup N such that G/N is isomorphic to one of the four groups (a) to (d) above. See [Corn–a, Corollary 4.D.2], building on [Abel–74].

(3) We anticipate here on § 5.B: for a proper metric space X, the isometry group $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is an LC-group and its natural action on X is continuous.

Let X be a proper metric space such that the action of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ on X is cobounded. Then the action of every cocompact closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ on X is geometric.

Here is a kind of converse. Let G be an LC-group acting continuously and geometrically on a proper metric space X, and let $\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ be the corresponding homomorphism (see Proposition 5.B.6). Then the kernel of ρ is compact and the image of ρ is a cocompact closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

(4) Let G be a compactly generated LC-group containing a compact open subgroup, and X a Cayley-Abels graph for G (Definition 2.E.10). The action of G on X is geometric.

The first part of the following easy result is sometimes called (often for G discrete only) the **Schwarz-Milnor lemma (or theorem)**[1], also the **fundamental theorem (or observation) of geometric group theory** (see e.g. [FaMo–02]). The original articles are [Švar–55] and [Miln–68, Lemma 2].

Theorem 4.C.5. *Let G be an LC-group.*

(1) Let (X, d_X) be a non-empty pseudo-metric space and x a point of X. Suppose there exists a geometric action

$$\alpha : G \times X \longrightarrow X.$$

Define $d_G : G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ by $d_G(g, g') = d_X(gx, g'x)$.

Then d_G is an adapted pseudo-metric on G, and the orbit map

$$(G, d_G) \longrightarrow (X, d_X), \quad g \longmapsto gx$$

is a quasi-isometry. In particular, G is σ-compact.

If (X, d_X) is moreover coarsely connected, then G is moreover compactly generated. More precisely, for R large enough, $\overline{S_{x,R}}$ is a compact generating set of G (notation $S_{x,R}$ as in Definition 4.C.1).

(2) Assume that G is σ-compact, and choose an adapted pseudo-metric d on G. Then the action by left-multiplication of the group G on (G, d) is geometric.

Proof. (1) The map d_G is a pseudo-metric that is left-invariant (because α is isometric), proper (because α is metrically proper), and locally bounded (because α is so). In other words, d_G is an adapted pseudo-metric, and the first part of Claim (1) follows by Proposition 4.A.2. If (X, d_X) is coarsely geodesic, then G is compactly generated by Proposition 4.B.8

Claim (2) is straightforward. □

Corollary 4.C.6. *On a topological group G, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) G is locally compact and compactly generated;
(ii) there exists a geometric action of G on a non-empty coarsely geodesic pseudo-metric space;
(iii) there exists a geometric action of G on a non-empty geodesic metric space;
(iv) there exists a geometric faithful action of G on a non-empty geodesic metric space.

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii) Let d be an adapted pseudo-metric on G. Then d is coarsely geodesic (Proposition 4.B.8), so that (ii) holds by Theorem 4.C.5.

(ii) ⇒ (iii) Assume that G has an action on a non-empty coarsely geodesic pseudo-metric space (X, d); let $c > 0$ be such that pairs of points in X can be joined by c-paths (Definition 3.B.1). Let (X_c, d_c) be the metric graph of Definition 3.B.4. Recall from Lemma 3.B.5 that the natural map $(X, d) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_c, d_c)$ is a metric coarse equivalence, and observe that the action of G on (X, d) has a natural extension as an action on (X_c, d_c). The latter action satisfies Condition (iii).

[1] The mathematical physicist Albert Schwarz. His name is written Švarc in MathSciNet.

(iii) ⇒ (iv) Let μ be a left-invariant Haar measure on G and B the unit ball in the Hilbert space $L^2(G,\mu)$. The metric d_B defined on B by $d_B(b,b') = \|b-b'\|$ is geodesic, and the natural action of G on $L^2(G,\mu)$ induces a faithful continuous isometric action of G on (B,d_B). Let (X,d_X) be a G-space as in (iii). Set $Y = X\times B$ and let d_Y be a product metric, say that defined by

$$d_Y((x,b),(x',b'))^2 \;=\; d_X(x,x')^2 + d_B(b,b')^2.$$

The diagonal action of G on (Y,d_Y) satisfies Condition (iv).

(iv) ⇒ (i) Consider an action of G on a space (Y,d_Y) that satisfies Condition (iv). Choose a point $y_0 \in Y$ and define a pseudo-metric d on G by $d(g,h) = d_Y(g(y_0),h(y_0))$. Then d is adapted and (G,d) is coarsely connected, so that G is locally compact and compactly generated. □

Remark 4.C.7. (1) Let G be an LC-group. Assume there exists a non-empty pseudo-metric space X on which G has a geometric action. In Theorem 4.C.5, we have already written that G is necessarily σ-compact. Moreover, since the orbit map $(G,d_G) \longrightarrow (X,d_X)$ is a quasi-isometry, X is coarsely proper.

Thus, for example, X can be neither a homogenous tree of infinite valency, nor an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space with the metric of the norm (Example 3.D.13).

(2) It is known that a compactly generated LC-group has always a *continuous* geometric action on a non-empty locally compact geodesic metric space [CCMT, Proposition 2.1].

(3) Theorem 4.C.5 and Corollary 4.C.6 have analogues for compact presentation, see Theorem 8.A.8 and Corollary 8.A.9.

Claims (1') and (2') of the following standard proposition provide many examples of geometric actions.

Proposition 4.C.8 (G-invariant metrics)**.** *(1) Let G be a σ-compact LC-group acting continuously and properly on a locally compact metrizable space X such that $G\backslash X$ is paracompact. Then X admits a G-invariant compatible metric.*

(1') In particular, if K is a compact subgroup of G, there exists a G-invariant compatible metric d on the homogenous space G/K. The natural action of G on $(G/K,d)$ is geometric.

(2) Let G be a Lie group acting differentiably and properly on a paracompact smooth manifold X. Then X admits a G-invariant Riemannian structure.

(2') In particular, if K is a compact subgroup of G, there exists a G-invariant Riemannian structure on the homogenous manifold G/K, say $\mathcal{R}$. If $d_{\mathcal{R}}$ denotes the associated metric, the natural action of G on $(G/K,d_{\mathcal{R}})$ is geometric.

Proof. Since the action is proper, averaging arguments can be used for the existence claims. Details can be found, for example, in Pages 7 and 8 of [Kosz–65]. For (1), Koszul's argument and another argument are revisited in [AbMN–11, Section 6]. For (2), see also [Pala–61, Theorem 4.3.1].

For the paracompact hypothesis in (2), note that $G\backslash X$ is paracompact as soon as at least one of the following properties holds: (a) the connected components of X are open, (b) X is σ-compact. Indeed, an LC-space is paracompact if and only if it is a disjoint union of σ-compact spaces [Dugu–66, Chap. XI, Th. 7.3]. □

Example 4.C.9 (abundance of metrics)**.** On a given σ-compact LC-group [respectively on a given compactly generated LC-group], there can be an abundance of adapted metrics [respectively of geodesically adapted metrics].

Suppose here that G is a connected Lie group. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote its Lie algebra.

(1) A scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}$ defines a left-invariant Riemannian metric on G, and in particular a proper compatible geodesically adapted metric on G (as in Example 2.B.9).

(2) Let A be a bounded subset of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that every $g \in G$ can be represented as a product $g = \exp(t_1 a_1) \cdots \exp(t_k a_k)$ for some $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_k \in \mathbf{R}$. Define the "norm" $|g|_A$ as the infimum of the numbers $\sum_{i=1}^{k} |t_i|$ over all such representations of g, and the adapted metric d_A on G by $d_A(g,h) = |g^{-1}h|_A$. The set of metrics on G of the form d_A is known to coincide with a set definable in terms of "Carnot-Carathéodory-Finsler metrics" on G [Nosk].

(3) A compact generating set of G defines a word metric on G, that is geodesically adapted. Let us evoke three kinds of such generating sets, still for G connected.

(a) Every compact neighbourhood of G is generating, as already observed in Proposition 2.C.3
(b) Let P be a maximal subgroup of G, suppose that P is closed and connected, let T be a compact neighbourhood of 1 in P, and let $g \in G$, $g \notin P$; then $T \cup \{g\}$ is a compact generating set of G. Example: P is a maximal parabolic subgroup in a semisimple group G.
(c) Let K be a maximal proper subgroup of G, suppose that K is compact, and let $g \in G$, $g \notin K$; then $K \cup \{g\}$ is a compact generating set of G. Example: $K = \mathrm{SO}(n)$ in $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, see [Brau–65]. (A variation on this last example appears in Example 5.A.11.)

(4) Every continuous isometric action of G on a connected Riemannian manifold M with compact isotropy groups gives rise to geodesically adapted pseudo-metric on G, as in Theorem 4.C.5.

Example 4.C.10. We continue in the spirit of the previous example, but now for the group G of $\mathbf{K}$-points of a reductive algebraic group defined over some local field $\mathbf{K}$. Here are three kinds of metrics on G:

(a) A word metric d_{word} with respect to some compact generating set S of G.
(b) A pseudo-metric d defined by $d_{\mathrm{geom}}(g,h) = d_X(gx_0, hx_o)$, associated to the action of G on the corresponding Bruhat-Tits building X, where d_X is a G-invariant metric on X for which every apartment of X is isometric to a Euclidean space, and x_0 is some base-point in X; compare with Example 2.B.9(2).

(c) A left-invariant pseudo-metric d_{op} defined by

$$d_{\mathrm{op}}(1,g) = \sup\{|\log\|g\||, |\log\|g^{-1}\||\},$$

where G is identified with a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ by some faithful linear representation defined over $\mathbf{K}$, and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm of operators in $\mathbf{K}^n$; compare with Example 2.B.9(3).

It is a part of the main result in [Abel–04] that the three metrics d_{word}, d_{geom} and d_{op} are quasi-isometric on G.

Proposition 4.C.11 (σ-compactness and compact generation of cocompact closed subgroups)**.** *Let G be an LC-group and H a cocompact closed subgroup.*

(1) Assume that G is σ-compact, or equivalently that H is so (Proposition 2.C.8). Let d be an adapted pseudo-metric on G (Proposition 4.A.2).
Then, with respect to d, the inclusion of H in G is a metric coarse equivalence.
(2) G is compactly generated if and only if H is so.
(3) Assume that the conditions of (2) hold. Let d be a geodesically adapted pseudo-metric on G (Proposition 4.B.4).
Then the inclusion of H in G is a quasi isometry.

Note. Claim (2) is contained in Proposition 2.C.8, and also in [BInt7-8, chap. VII, § 3, n^o 2, lemme 3]. Below, we provide another proof.

In the literature, there are many other occurrences of various parts of the proposition; for (2) and (3), see for example [MoSW–02, Lemma 5].

Proof. (1) Since the inclusion of H in G is a continuous homomorphism, the inclusion $j : (H,d) \hookrightarrow (G,d)$ it is a coarse embedding, by Proposition 4.A.5. Since G/H is compact, it is essentially surjective.

(2) Suppose first that G is compactly generated, and let d be a geodesically adapted metric on it. The action by left multiplications of H on G is geometric, and H is compactly generated by Theorem 4.C.5(1). Suppose now that H has a compact generating set T. Let U be a compact subset of G such that $G = UH$ (Lemma 2.C.9). Then $T \cup U$ is a compact generating set of G.

There is another proof in [MaSw–59].

(3) Since d is geodesically adapted, the metric coarse equivalence $H \longrightarrow G$ is a quasi-isometry by Proposition 3.B.8. □

Similarly:

Proposition 4.C.12 (σ-compactness and compact generation of quotients by compact normal subgroups)**.** *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group, K a compact normal subgroup, $Q = G/K$ the quotient group, and $\pi : G \longrightarrow Q$ the canonical projection.*

(1) Assume that G is σ-compact, or equivalently that Q is so. Let d_G, d_Q be adapted pseudo-metrics on G, Q respectively.
Then $\pi : (G,d_G) \longrightarrow (Q,d_Q)$ is a metric coarse equivalence.
(2) G is compactly generated if and only if Q is so.

(3) Assume that the conditions of (2) hold. Let d_G, d_Q be geodesically adapted pseudo-metrics on G, Q respectively.
Then $\pi : (G, d_G) \longrightarrow (Q, d_Q)$ is a quasi isometry.

Remark 4.C.13. A homomorphism between LC-groups is a **copci** if it is **continuous proper with cocompact image**. Consider two compactly generated LC-groups G and H. A copci $\varphi : G \longrightarrow H$ factors as the composition of a quotient map with compact kernel $G \longrightarrow G/\ker(\varphi)$ and an injective homomorphism $G/\ker(\varphi) \longrightarrow H$ that is a topological isomorphism onto a cocompact closed subgroup. If follows from Propositions 4.C.11 and 4.C.12 that a copci is a quasi-isometry.

Two LC-groups G and H are **commable** if there exist an integer k and a sequence of copci homomorphisms

$$G = G_0 \text{ —— } G_1 \text{ —— } G_2 \text{ —— } \dots \text{ —— } G_k = H$$

where each sign —— stands for either $\longrightarrow$ or $\longleftarrow$.

If two compactly generated LC-groups are commable, they are quasi-isometric. The converse does not hold: if Γ_1, Γ_2 are two cocompact lattices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ that are not commensurable, then the free products $\Gamma_1 * \mathbf{Z}$ and $\Gamma_2 * \mathbf{Z}$ are quasi-isometric and are not commable (Mathieu Carette and Romain Tessera, private communication).

For copci homomorphisms and commable groups, see [Corn–b].

4.D Local ellipticity

Definition 4.D.1. An LC-group G is **locally elliptic** if every compact subset of G is contained in a compact open subgroup.

Remark 4.D.2. "Locally elliptic" here is called "topologically locally finite" in [Plat–66] and [Capr–09], and "k-k group" in [Abel–74].

A discrete group is locally elliptic if and only if it is locally finite (as defined in Remark 2.E.17).

Proposition 4.D.3. *For an LC-group G, the following three properties are equivalent:*

(i) every finite subset of G is contained in a compact subgroup;
(ii) every compact subset of G is contained in a compact subgroup;
(iii) G is locally elliptic.

Proof. For the equivalence of (i) and (ii), we refer to [Capr–09, Lemma 2.3].

Assume that G has Property (ii). Let L be a compact subset of G. Choose a compact neighbourhood B of 1 in G. By hypothesis, $L \cup B$ is contained in some compact subgroup K of G. Since $B \subset K$, the subgroup K is also open. Thus G has Property (iii).

[In case G is totally disconnected, the equivalence between (ii) and (iii) follows also from Corollary 2.E.7(2).] □

Proposition 4.D.4. *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group, and let d be an adapted pseudo-metric on G. The following properties are equivalent:*

(i) G is locally elliptic,
(ii) (G, d) has asymptotic dimension 0.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) Choose $r > 0$. Since d is proper, the ball $B_G^1(r)$ with respect to d is compact. Assume that G is locally elliptic, so that $B_G^1(r)$ is contained in a compact open subgroup K of G. On the one hand, for the partition of G in left-cosets of the form gK, we have $\operatorname{diam}(gK) = \operatorname{diam}(K)$ for every $g \in G$. On the other hand, for $g, g' \in G$, the inequality $d(gK, g'K) \leq r$ implies $gK = g'K$; equivalently, if $gK \neq g'K$, then $d(gK, g'K) > r$. Hence $\operatorname{asdim}(G, d) = 0$.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Assume that (G, d) has asymptotic dimension 0. Choose a compact subset S of G; we have to show that S is contained in a compact open subgroup of G. Upon enlarging it, we can assume that S is a compact symmetric neighbourhood of 1 in G. Let $r > 0$ be such that $S \subset B_G^1(r)$. By hypothesis, there exists a partition $G = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ such that $\sup_{i \in I} \operatorname{diam}(X_i) < \infty$ and $d(X_i, X_j) \geq 2r$ for $i, j \in I$, $i \neq j$.

Let $g \in X_i, g' \in X_j$ and $s \in S$ such that $gs = g'$. Then $d(g, g') = d(1, g^{-1}g') = d(1, s) \leq r$, and therefore $i = j$. It follows that, for all $i \in I$, we have $X_i S \subset X_i$, and by iteration $X_i S^n \subset X_i$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since S is a symmetric neighbourhood of 1, the set $K := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} S^n$ is an open subgroup of G, containing S, and $X_i K \subset K_i$ for all $i \in I$. Let i_0 be the index in I such that $1 \in X_{i_0}$, and let R denote the diameter of X_{i_0}; then $K \subset X_{i_0} \subset B_G^1(R)$, so that K is a bounded subgroup. Since K is closed (being an open subgroup), K is compact. Hence G is locally elliptic. □

Corollary 4.D.5. *Among σ-compact LC-groups, local ellipticity is invariant by metric coarse equivalence.*

Proof. See Example 3.B.17 and Proposition 4.D.4. □

Proposition 4.D.6. *Let G be an LC-group, H a closed subgroup, and N a normal closed subgroup; set $Q = G/N$.*

(1) If G is locally elliptic, so are H and Q.
(2) If N and Q are locally elliptic, so is G.

Proof. (1) From the definition, the claim for H is clear. Because of Lemma 2.C.9, so is the claim for Q.

(2) Let C be a compact subset of G. It suffices to show that C is contained in a locally elliptic open subgroup of G.

Let U be a compact neighbourhood of 1 in G. Let H denote the open subgroup of G generated by $C \cup U$; observe that H is σ-compact. Let $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ denote the canonical projection. Since Q is locally elliptic, there exists a compact open subgroup R of Q containing $\pi(C \cup U)$. Observe that $N \cap H$ is a normal and closed subgroup of H, and that $H/(N \cap H) = R$ is compact. Since H is compactly generated, the inclusion of $N \cap H$ in H is a metric coarse equivalence (Proposition 4.C.11). Since $N \cap H$ is locally elliptic by (1), H is locally elliptic by Example 3.B.17 and Proposition 4.D.4. □

Example 4.D.7. (1) Finite groups and compact groups are clearly locally elliptic.

(2) Every locally finite discrete groups is locally elliptic. Similarly, an LC-group that is a union of compact open subgroups is locally elliptic.

In particular, for a prime p and a positive integer n, the additive group $\mathbf{Q}_p^n$ is locally elliptic. See Example 5.A.2.

(3) An LC-group containing $\mathbf{Z}$ as a discrete subgroup is not locally elliptic. In particular, for a prime p and an integer $n \geq 2$, the groups $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ and $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ are not locally elliptic.

(4) Let A be a countable abelian group. Choose a compatible metric d on A. Then $\mathrm{asdim}(A, d) = 0$ if and only if A is locally elliptic, if and only if A is a torsion group (Proposition 4.D.4).

(5) Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a non-discrete locally compact field and $n \geq 2$ an integer. Let $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K})$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ of matrices $(u_{i,j})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ with $u_{i,i} = 1$ and $u_{i,j} = 0$ whenever $i > j$; it is an LC-group homeomorphic to $\mathbf{K}^{n(n-1)/2}$.

If $\mathbf{K}$ is $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, then $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K})$ contains discrete infinite cyclic subgroups, and therefore is not locally elliptic by (3).

If $\mathbf{K}$ is a local field, then $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K})$ is locally elliptic. Indeed, consider an absolute value $|\cdot|$ on $\mathbf{K}$ (see Remark 4.D.9) and, for every $\alpha > 0$, the subset U_α of matrices $(u_{i,j})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ in $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K})$ such that $|u_{i,j}| \leq \alpha^{j-i}$ for all i, j with $j > i$. Then U_α is a compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K})$, and $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K}) = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbf{K}^\times} \mathrm{U}_\alpha$. The local ellipticity of $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K})$ follows.

(6) Let G be an LC-group with unit component G_0. Then G is locally elliptic if and only if G_0 is compact and G/G_0 locally elliptic. In particular, a connected LC-group is locally elliptic if and only if it is compact.

(7) An LC-group G possesses a maximal locally elliptic normal subgroup, called the **LF-radical** of G and denoted by $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathcal{LF}}(G)$ in [Capr–09].

(8) Note that a locally elliptic LC-group is amenable (by Følner's Criterion [Foln–55]) and unimodular (as a union of open subgroups which are compact, and therefore unimodular).

Proposition 4.D.8. *For a σ-compact LC-group G with adapted metric d_G, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) the group G is locally elliptic;
(ii) there exists an ultrametric space (X, d) on which G acts continuously, properly, and isometrically.
(iii) the pseudo-metric space (G, d_G) is coarsely equivalent to an ultrametric space;
(iv) the pseudo-metric space (G, d_G) is coarsely ultrametric.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $K_0 \subset \cdots \subset K_n \subset K_{n+1} \subset \cdots$ be a nested sequence of compact subspaces of G such that $\mathrm{int}(K_0) \neq \emptyset$, and $K_n \subset \mathrm{int}(K_{n+1})$ for all $n \geq 0$, and $G = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} K_n$; see Remark 2.A.2(2). For $n \geq 0$, let G_n denote the subgroup of G generated by K_n; observe that G_n is an open subgroup of G. (Note that, here, G_0 *is not* meant to be the connected component of G.)

Assume now that G is locally elliptic, so that G_n is a compact open subgroup of G for all $n \geq 0$. Define $d' : G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ by $d'(g,h) = \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid g^{-1}h \in G_n\}$; then d' is a left-invariant pseudo-ultrametric on G. Set $X = G/G_0 = G/\sim$, where "$g \sim h$" for $g, h \in G$ means "$d'(g,h) = 0$", and let d be the natural ultrametric induced by d' on X. Then the natural action of G on (X,d) is continuous, proper, and isometric.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume now that G acts on an ultrametric space (X,d); let $x_0 \in X$. For $n \geq 1$, set

$$H_n \;=\; \{g \in G \mid d(x_0, g(x_0)) < n\}.$$

Then H_n is open because the action is continuous, compact because the action is proper, and H_n is a subgroup because d is an ultrametric. Hence $G = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} H_n$ is locally elliptic.

Implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from Theorem 4.C.5, and (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) holds by Proposition 3.B.14.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let d_G be an adapted metric on G and (X, d_X) an ultrametric space such that there exists a coarse equivalence $f : G \longrightarrow X$. Let G_{disc} denote the set G furnished with the discrete ultrametric, defined by $d_{\mathrm{disc}}(g,h) = 1$ whenever $g \neq h$. Upon replacing (X, d_X) by $(X \times G_{\mathrm{disc}}, d_X^+)$, with $d_X^+((x,g),(y,h)) = \max\{d_X(x,y), d_{\mathrm{disc}}(g,h)\}$, we can assume that f is injective. Let Φ_- and Φ_+ be lower and upper controls for f; observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_-(d_G(g,h)) \;\leq\; d_X(f(g),f(h)) \;&\leq\; \sup_{k\in G} d_X(f(kg),f(kh)) \\ &\leq\; \sup_{k\in G} \Phi_+(d_G(kg,kh)) \;=\; \Phi_+(d_G(g,g')) \quad \forall\; g,h \in G.\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$d'_G(g,h) \;=\; \sup_{k\in G} d_X(f(kg),f(kh)) \quad \forall\; g,h \in G;$$

then d'_G is obviously a left-invariant pseudo-metric, and satisfies the ultrametric inequality; moreover it is an ultrametric on G because f is injective.

The identity map $(G, d_G) \longrightarrow (G, d'_G)$ is a coarse equivalence. It follows that the natural action of G on (G, d'_G) has the desired properties. □

Our next goal is Proposition 4.D.15. Before this, we recall some background and definitions.

Remark 4.D.9 (absolute values on local fields)**.** An **absolute value**, or **valuation**, on a field K, is a function$|\cdot|_K : K \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

(1) $|x|_K \geq 0$ for all $x \in K$, and $|x|_K = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
(2) $|xy|_K = |x|_K |y|_K$ for all $x, y \in K$,
(3) if $|x|_K \leq 1$, then $|1 + x|_K \leq C$.

We often use "absolute value" (as in [Neuk–99]), where Cassels uses "valuation" [Cass–86]. If K is given with an absolute value, we consider on K the topology for

which the sets $\{x \in K \mid |x|_K < \varepsilon\}$, with $\varepsilon > 0$, constitute a basis of neighbourhoods of 0; it is a field topology.

Two absolute values $|\cdot|_1$, $|\cdot|_2$ on a same field K are **equivalent** if there exists $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}_+$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, such that $|x|_2 = (|x|_1)^\gamma$ for all $x \in K$. Two absolute values on a field K induce the same topology on K if and only if they are equivalent [Cass–86, Chapter 2, Lemma 3.2].

Let K be a field, $|\cdot|_K$ an absolute value on K with respect to which K is complete, and L a finite extension of K. There exists a unique absolute value $|\cdot|_L : L \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ extending $|\cdot|_K$, and L is complete with respect to $|\cdot|_L$; see for example [Cass–86, Chapter 7]. In this situation, we write $|\cdot|$ for both $|\cdot|_K$ and $|\cdot|_L$

A local field $\mathbf{K}$, see Example 2.E.3, has a canonical absolute value.

For a prime p, the canonical absolute value on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is given by $|p^m a/b|_p = p^{-m}$ for $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, $b \neq 0$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. If $\mathbf{L}$ is a local field of characteristic zero, then $\mathbf{L}$ is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ for an appropriate prime p; by the result recalled above, there is a unique absolute value on $\mathbf{L}$ extending the absolute value $|\cdot|_p$ on $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

If $\mathbf{L}$ is of finite characteristic, say $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{L}) = p$, then $\mathbf{L}$ is isomorphic to the field $\mathbf{F}_q(\!(t)\!)$ of formal Laurent series with coefficients in the finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$, where q is a power of p. The standard absolute value of $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} f_n t^n \in \mathbf{F}_q(\!(t)\!)$ is q^{-N}, where $N \in \mathbf{Z}$, $f_n \in \mathbf{F}_q$ for all $n \geq N$, and $f_N \neq 0$.

Definition 4.D.10. The **spectral radius** of a matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{L})$, for some integer $n \geq 1$ and non-discrete LC-field $\mathbf{L}$, is the maximum $\rho(A)$ of the absolute values $|\lambda|$, where λ describes the eigenvalues of A (in appropriate finite extensions of $\mathbf{L}$).

Remark 4.D.11. Consider a matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{L})$, as in the previous definition. The eigenvalues λ of A are elements in appropriate finite extensions of $\mathbf{L}$. Below, we write $|\lambda|$ with respect to the canonical absolute value on $\mathbf{L}$. It follows from Remark 4.D.9 that each of the (in)equalities $|\lambda| = 0$, $|\lambda| < 1$, $|\lambda| = 1$, $|\lambda| > 1$, holds for every absolute value on $\mathbf{L}$ if and only if it holds for the canonical absolute value on $\mathbf{L}$.

The remark carries over to (in)equalities $\rho(A) = 0$, $\rho(A) < 1$, $\rho(A) = 1$, $\rho(A) > 1$, for the spectral radius of A.

The following lemma is standard [BAlg4-7, Page VII.3].

Lemma 4.D.12. *For an integer $n \geq 1$ and a field K, a matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(K)$ is* ***nilpotent****, i.e. $A^k = 0$ for k large enough, if and only if 0 is the only eigenvalue of A (in any extension of K).*

Thus, in the particular case of a local field $\mathbf{L}$, a matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{L})$ is nilpotent if and only if its spectral radius is 0.

Definition 4.D.13. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a non-discrete LC-field $\mathbf{L}$. A linear automorphism $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is **distal** if every eigenvalue λ of g has absolute value $|\lambda| = 1$, equivalently if g has spectral radius 1.

A subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is distal if g is distal for all $g \in G$. (Compare with Example 3.A.12(8).)

Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ with $V \simeq \mathbf{R}^n$. Then G is distal if and only if every orbit closure in V is a minimal G-space [Abel–78].

Definition 4.D.14. Consider an integer $n \geq 1$ and a non-discrete LC-field $\mathbf{L}$. A subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{L})$ is **block-trigonalizable over L with relatively compact diagonal** if there exist integers $k \geq 1$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_k \geq 1$ with $n_1 + \cdots + n_k = n$, and a corresponding block-decomposition of matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{L})$, such that

$$G \subset T := \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & \mathrm{M}_{n_1,n_2}(\mathbf{L}) & \cdots & \mathrm{M}_{n_1,n_k}(\mathbf{L}) \\ 0 & C_2 & \cdots & \mathrm{M}_{n_2,n_k}(\mathbf{L}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_k \end{pmatrix}$$

with $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ compact subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbf{L}), \ldots, \mathrm{GL}_{n_k}(\mathbf{L})$, respectively. Here, for $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathrm{M}_{n_1,n_2}(\mathbf{L})$ the set of n_1-by-n_2 matrices over $\mathbf{L}$.

Proposition 4.D.15. *Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a local field, n a positive integer, and G a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{L})$. The following properties of G are equivalent:*

(i) G is locally elliptic;
(ii) G is distal;
(iii) G is block-trigonalizable over $\mathbf{L}$ with relatively compact diagonal.

Proof. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Assume that Property (iii) holds. If $k = 1$, the group G is a closed subgroup of the compact group C_1; in particular, G is compact, and therefore locally elliptic. Assume now that $k \geq 2$. With the same notation as just before the proposition, consider the closed subgroup

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n_1} & \mathrm{M}_{n_1,n_2}(\mathbf{L}) & \cdots & \mathrm{M}_{n_1,n_k}(\mathbf{L}) \\ 0 & 1_{n_2} & \cdots & \mathrm{M}_{n_2,n_k}(\mathbf{L}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1_{n_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{L})$ and the natural short exact sequence

$$U \hookrightarrow T \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k} C_i$$

Since $\mathbf{L}$ is non-Archimedean, the unipotent group U is locally elliptic (Example 4.D.7(5)). The quotient group $\prod_{i=1}^{k} C_i$ is compact, and therefore locally elliptic. Hence T and G are locally elliptic (Proposition 4.D.6).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that Property (ii) does not hold; hence there exist $g \in G$ and an eigenvalue λ of g (in an appropriate extension of $\mathbf{L}$) such that $|\lambda| > 1$. Since the image of the homomorphism $\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow G$, $j \longmapsto g^j$ is an infinite discrete subgroup of G, Property (i) does not hold.

For (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), we could quote [CoGu–74], but this has a gap in case of a field of finite characteristics. We rather use a result of Levitzky, recalled below for the reader's convenience.

It is enough to show that, if G is both distal and irreducible, with $n \geq 1$, then G is compact.

Let us show first that G is bounded. Let W be the set of limits of convergent sequences of the form $r_i^{-1}g_i$ where $g_i \in G$, $r_i \in \mathbf{L}$, and $\lim_{i\to\infty} |r_i|_{\mathbf{L}} = \infty$. Then W is a subsemigroup: indeed (with self-explained notation) if $r_i^{-1}g_i$ tends to w and ${r'}_i^{-1}g'_i$ tends to w', then $(r_i r'_i)^{-1}g_i g'_i$ tends to ww', by continuity of the multiplication $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{L}) \times \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{L}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbf{L})$. Also, it is stable by both left and right multiplication by every $g \in G$: indeed $r_i^{-1}gg_i$ tends to gw and $r_i^{-1}g_i g$ tends to wg. All this holds for an arbitrary group G. Now, because G is distal, the spectral radius of $r_i^{-1}g_i$ tends to 0, so W consists of nilpotent elements.

Set $V = \bigcap_{w\in W} \ker(w)$. By Levitzki's theorem, $V \neq \{0\}$. Since W is normalized by G, it follows that V is G-stable. By irreducibility, it follows that $V = \mathbf{L}^n$, whence $W = \{0\}$. Since $\mathbf{L}$ is locally compact, this implies that G is bounded.

Now we know that G is bounded. Let H be its closure in $\mathrm{M}_d(\mathbf{L})$, so H is compact. Then $H \subset \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbf{L})$: indeed otherwise some sequence (g_i) in G tends to $h \in H$ not invertible, which implies that the spectral radius of g_i^{-1} tends to infinity and contradicts that G is bounded. Thus G is compact. □

We have used:

Theorem 4.D.16 (Levitzki)**.** *Let K be any field, $d \geq 1$ an integer, and $S \subset \mathrm{M}_d(K)$ a subsemigroup of nilpotent matrices.*

There exists a vector $v \in K^d$, $v \neq 0$, such that $sv = 0$ for all $s \in S$.

On the proof. The original article is [Levi–31].

There is a convenient proof in [RaRo–00, Theorem 2.1.7]. There, the theorem is formulated for K algebraically closed. But the general case follows; indeed, let $\overline{K}$ denote the algebraic closure of K. The existence of $v \in \overline{K}^d$ is equivalent to the solvability of a system of linear equations; since this system has coefficients in K, it has a non-zero solution in K^d if and only if it has one in $\overline{K}^d$. □

Note that, by a straightforward iteration, Levitzki's theorem implies that a nilpotent subsemigroup of $\mathrm{M}_d(K)$ is trigonalizable.

4.E Capped subgroups

In this section, we point out that compact generation can be used to define in an LC-group subsets that are of a restricted size, in the following sense:

Definition 4.E.1. A subset K of an LC-group G is **capped**[2] if it is contained in a compactly generated closed subgroup, and **uncapped** otherwise. Proposition 4.E.3 shows that an equivalent definition is obtained if "closed" is replaced by "open".

In particular, when G is a discrete group, a subset of G is capped if it is contained in a finitely generated subgroup.

Proposition 4.E.2. *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group. There exists on G a left-invariant continuous ultrametric pseudo-metric d such that the bounded subsets of (G, d) are exactly the capped subsets of G.*

[2] In French: "plafonné".

Proof. Let $(S_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of compact neighbourhoods of 1 in G such that $S_n \subset S_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $G = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} S_n$. For each $n \geq 0$, let H_n be the subgroup of G generated by S_n; note that H_n is a compactly generated open subgroup of G.

Define a function $\ell : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by $\ell(g) = \min\{n \in \mathbf{N} \mid g \in H_n\}$ for $g \in G$; observe that $\ell(g^{-1}g') \leq n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $g, g' \in S_n$. Then $d : (g, g') \longmapsto \ell(g^{-1}g')$ is a left-invariant ultrametric pseudo-metric on G. Moreover, by the argument of Remark 3.B.11(2), d is continuous, indeed locally constant, on G. Observe that

$$H_n = \{g \in G \mid d(1, g) \leq n\} \quad \text{for every integer } n \geq 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Let K be a subset of G. If the d-diameter of K is finite, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\sup_{k\in K} d(1, k) \leq n$, and therefore $K \subset H_n$, hence K is capped. Conversely, if K is capped, there exists a compact subset S of G generating a closed subgroup H of G containing K; then there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $S \subset S_n$, hence $K \subset H \subset H_n$, and the diameter $\sup_{k,k'\in K} d(k, k')$ of K is at most n. □

Proposition 4.E.3. *Consider an LC-group G, a subset K of G, and the following conditions:*

(i) K is capped;
(ii) K is contained in a compactly generated open *subgroup;*
(iii) for every left-invariant locally bounded ultrametric pseudo-metric d on G, the d-diameter of K is finite;
(iv) as in (iii), with "continuous" instead of "locally bounded".

Then we have the implications (ii) ⇔ (i) ⇒ (iii) ⇔ (iv) .

If G is moreover σ-compact, all four conditions are equivalent.

Proof. Let T be a compact neighbourhood of 1 in G. Every closed subgroup H of G generated by a compact set S is contained in the open subgroup of G generated by the compact set $S \cup T$. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows.

Consider a pseudo-metric d on an arbitrary topological group L. If d is locally bounded, every compact subset of L has a finite d-diameter (Remark 2.A.8(5)). If d is moreover left-invariant and ultrametric, every compactly generated subgroup of L has a finite d-diameter. Hence (i) implies (iii).

Since continuous pseudo-metrics are locally bounded (Remark 2.A.8(4)), (iii) implies (iv).

Let d be a left-invariant *locally bounded* ultrametric pseudo-metric on G, as in (iii). Let S be a neighbourhood of 1 in G such that the diameter $\sup_{s\in S} d(1, g)$ is bounded, say is strictly smaller than some constant D. Then $d' := \lfloor D^{-1}d \rfloor$ is a left-invariant *continuous* ultrametric pseudo-metric on G (Remark 3.B.11(2)). Suppose that (iv) holds; then the d'-diameter of K is finite, and it follows that the d-diameter of K is finite. Hence (iii) holds.

Suppose now that G is σ-compact. It suffices to show that (iv) implies (i); we show the contraposition. Let K be a subset of G which is uncapped; we have to show that there exists on G a left-invariant continuous ultrametric pseudo-metric with respect to which the diameter of K is infinite. Let $(S_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(H_n)_{n\geq 1}$, ℓ, and d be as in the proof of Proposition 4.E.2. Since K is uncapped, $K \not\subset H_n$ for all $n \geq 1$; it follows that the ultrametric length ℓ is not bounded on K. □

The property for a group to have every countable subset capped is related to the following property; the relation is the object of Proposition 4.E.8.

Definition 4.E.4. A topological group has **uncountable cofinality** if it cannot be written as the union of an infinite countable strictly increasing sequence of open subgroups, and of **countable cofinality** otherwise.

Proposition 4.E.5. *For a topological group G, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) G has uncountable cofinality;
(ii) every continuous isometric action of G on an ultrametric space has bounded orbits.

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) We show the contraposition, and we assume that G has countable cofinality, i.e. that there exists an infinite countable strictly increasing sequence of open subgroups $H_0 \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq H_n \subsetneqq H_{n+1} \subsetneqq \cdots$ such that $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} H_n = G$. Define a function $\ell : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by $\ell(g) = \min\{n \in \mathbf{N} \mid g \in H_n\}$ for $g \in G$. Then $d : (g, g') \longmapsto \ell(g^{-1}g')$ is a left-invariant ultrametric pseudo-metric on G. (Compare with the proof of Proposition 4.E.2.) Observe that $d(g, g') = d(gh, g'h')$ for all $g, g' \in G$ and $h, h' \in H_0$.

On the quotient space G/H_0, we define an ultrametric $\underline{d}$ by

$$\underline{d}(gH_0, g'H_0) = d(g, g').$$

By the observation above, this does not depend on the choices of g and g' in their classes modulo H_0. Because of the hypothesis on the sequence $(H_n)_{n\geq 0}$, the diameter of the ultrametric space $(G/H_0, \underline{d})$ is infinite. The natural action of G on G/H_0 is continuous, isometric, and transitive; in particular, it has unbounded orbits with respect to $\underline{d}$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Consider a continuous action of an LC-group G on an ultrametric space (X, d), and a point $x_0 \in X$. For every integer $n \geq 0$, define $H_n = \{g \in G \mid d(gx_0, x_0) < n + 1\}$; it is an open subgroup of G. Moreover $G = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} H_n$. If G has uncountable cofinality, then $G = H_n$ for some $n \geq 0$, and it follows that every G-orbit in X is bounded. □

Example 4.E.6. (1) Uncountable discrete groups with uncountable cofinality can be found in [KoTi–74]: if F is a non-trivial finite perfect group and I an infinite set, the uncountable product F^I, endowed with the discrete toplogy, has uncountable cofinality.

(2) If a topological group has uncountable cofinality, the same holds for all its quotient groups.

(3) A σ-compact LC-group has uncountable cofinality if and only if it is compactly generated.

(4) A locally compact abelian group A has uncountable cofinality if and only if it is compactly generated.

Indeed, suppose that A is not compactly generated. Let B be a compactly generated open subgroup of A. The discrete abelian group A/B is not finitely

generated; as such, it has a quotient Q which is countable and not finitely generated (see the following lemma). Then Q does not have uncountable cofinality by (3) above, and the same holds for A by (2).

If A is compactly generated, then A has uncountable cofinality by (3).

(5) Let G be a group and $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ two group topologies on G such that the identity $(G, \mathcal{T}') \longrightarrow (G, \mathcal{T})$ is continuous. If $(G, \mathcal{T}')$ has uncountable cofinality, so has $(G, \mathcal{T})$.

In particular, if G has uncountable cofinality as a discrete group, then G has uncountable cofinality for every group topology.

In (4) above, we have used:

Lemma 4.E.7. *Let E be a discrete abelian group; assume that E is uncountable. Then:*

(1) E has a countable subgroup that is not finitely generated;
(2) E has a countable quotient group that is not finitely generated.

Proof. Let first E be any discrete abelian group. Denote by T_E its torsion subgroup. Recall that E/T_E is torsion-free and that (by a theorem of Kulikov) T_E has a subgroup B that is a direct sum of non-trivial cyclic groups such that T_E/B is divisible. Moreover a divisible abelian group, say D, is a direct sum $\bigoplus_{\iota\in I} D_\iota$ of copies of $\mathbf{Q}$ and of copies of $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]/\mathbf{Z}$ for various primes p. See for example [Rotm–95, Theorems 10.1, 10.36, and 10.28].

Let us show (1), assuming that E is uncountable. If E/T_E is uncountable, then E/T_E contains a subgroup isomorphic to the direct sum of an infinite countable number of copies of $\mathbf{Z}$, hence so does E, and the claim follows. Otherwise, T_E is uncountable, so that, the notation being as above, at least one of B, I is uncountable, and again the claim follows.

For every abelian group E, there exist a divisible abelian group D and an injective morphism $\varphi : E \hookrightarrow D$ [Rotm–95, Theorem 10.30]. As recalled above, we can identify D to a direct sum $\bigoplus_{\iota\in I} D_\iota$.

Assuming again that E is uncountable, let us show (2). Observe that I is uncountable. By (1), there exists a countable subgroup C of E that is not finitely generated. There exists a countable subset J of I such that $\varphi(C) \subset \bigoplus_{\iota\in J} D_\iota$. Denote by K the complement of J in I, by π the projection from $\bigoplus_{\iota\in I} D_\iota$ onto $\bigoplus_{\iota\in J} D_\iota$ with kernel $\bigoplus_{\iota\in K} D_\iota$, and by ψ the composition of φ and π. Then ψ maps E to the countable group $\bigoplus_{\iota\in J} D_\iota$ and its restriction to C is injective. Then $\psi(E)$ is countable, and it is not finitely generated, because it contains a subgroup isomorphic to C. □

Proposition 4.E.8. *Let G be an LC-group in which every countable subset is capped. Then G has uncountable cofinality.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that G is the union of a strictly increasing infinite countable sequence of open subgroups $H_0 \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq H_n \subsetneqq H_{n+1} \subsetneqq \cdots$. Choose for each $n \geq 1$ an element $k_n \in H_n$, $k_n \notin H_{n-1}$. Then the set $K = \{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is contained in a subgroup of G generated by some finite set S; since $S \subset H_m$ for some $m \geq 1$, we have $K \subset H_m$, and this is preposterous. □

Definition 4.E.9. A topological group G is **topologically strongly bounded** if every continuous isometric action of G on any metric space has bounded orbits.

Remark 4.E.10. (1) Topologically strongly bounded groups appear in [Berg–06], but for the terminology.

(2) A topologically strongly bounded group has uncountable cofinality, by Proposition 4.E.5.

(3) A σ-compact LC-group G is topologically strongly bounded if and only if it is compact.

Indeed, choose a compact normal subgroup K of G (Theorem 2.B.6, Kakutani-Kodaira) and a left-invariant propert compatible metric d on G/K (Theorem 2.B.4, Struble). The natural action of G on $(G/K, d)$ is continuous, isometric, and transitive. If G is topologically strongly bounded, then $(G/K, d)$ has finite diameter, hence is compact; it follows that G itself is compact. The converse implication is straightforward.

(4) Let G be a group and $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ two group topologies on G such that the identity $(G, \mathcal{T}') \longrightarrow (G, \mathcal{T})$ is continuous. If $(G, \mathcal{T}')$ is topologically strongly bounded, so is $(G, \mathcal{T})$.

In particular, if G is topologically strongly bounded as a discrete group, then G is topologically strongly bounded for every group topology.

Definition 4.E.11. Let $u = (u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. An LC-group G is **uniformly u-capped** if, for every sequence $(g_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of elements of G, there exists a compact subset S of G such that $g_n \in \langle S\rangle$ and $\ell_S(g_n) \leq u_n$ for all $n \geq 0$; here $\langle S\rangle$ denotes the subgroup of G generated by S, and ℓ_S the word length with respect to S, see Definition 4.B.2.

Proposition 4.E.12. *Let G be an LC-group. Suppose that G is uniformly u-capped for some sequence u as in the previous definition.*

Then G is topologically strongly bounded.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that G acts continuously and isometrically on a metric space X with an unbounded orbit. Fix a sequence $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of positive real numbers with $v_n \gg u_n$ (e.g. $v_n = 2^{n+u_n}$). Then we can find $x \in X$ and a sequence $(g_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in G such that $d(x, g_n x) \geq v_n$. If S is as in Definition 4.E.11 and $r = \sup_{g\in S} d(x, gx)$, then $d(x, g_n x) \leq r u_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. Thus $v_n \leq r u_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, a contradiction. □

Proposition 4.E.13. *For a topological group G, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) G is topologically strongly bounded;
(ii) every left-invariant continuous pseudo-metric on G is bounded;
(iii) every left-invariant locally bounded pseudo-metric on G is bounded.

If moreover G is metrizable, then these condition are also equivalent to:

(iv) every left-invariant locally bounded metric on G is bounded.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let d be a left-invariant continuous pseudo-metric on G. Denote by K the closed subgroup $\{g \in G \mid d(1,g) = 0\}$, see Remark 4.A.3(4), and by $\underline{d}$ the G-invariant continuous metric on G/K induced by d. The action of G on $(G/K, \underline{d})$ is continuous, isometric, and transitive. If (i) holds, then $(G/K, \underline{d})$ is bounded. It follows that the pseudo-metric d is bounded on G.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let α be a continuous action of G on some metric space (X, d_X). Choose a point $x_0 \in X$ and define a continous pseudo-metric d_G on G by $d_G(g,h) = d_X(gx_0, hx_0)$, for all $g, h \in G$. If (ii) holds, then d_G is bounded, and the G-orbit Gx_0 is bounded in X with respect to d_G.

Since (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is trivial, it remains to prove the converse. We proceed by contraposition.

Assume that (iii) does not hold, and let d' be an unbounded, locally bounded, left-invariant pseudo-metric on G. Multiplying by a suitable positive scalar, we can suppose that the 1-ball for d' is a neighbourhood of 1 in G. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, define K_n the be the 3^n-ball of (G, d') around 1; for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $n \leq -1$, define inductively K_n to be a symmetric neighbourhood of 1 such that $K_n^3 \subset K_{n+1}$. Let d be the resulting pseudo-metric defined in Lemma 2.B.5, whose assumptions are fulfilled. Since all K_n are neighbourhoods of 1, Conclusion (2) of this lemma ensures that d is continuous. Furthermore, (3) of this lemma yields that d is unbounded: more precisely $d(1,g) > 2^n$ for all $g \notin K_n$ and all $n \geq 0$, and (ii) does not hold.

Suppose now that G is metrizable. There exists a left-invariant bounded compatible metric δ on G; see Theorem 2.B.2 and Remark 2.A.8(1). Assume that (iv) holds. Let d be a left-invariant locally bounded pseudo-metric on G. The left-invariant locally bounded metric $d + \delta$ is bounded by hypothesis, hence d itself is bounded, and (iii) holds. The converse implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is trivial $\square$

Example 4.E.14. In the full symmetric group of an infinite set, for example in $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$, every countable subset is capped, indeed every countable subset is contained in a 2-generated subgroup [Galv–95, Theorem 3.3]. It follows that $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$ has uncountable cofinality as a discrete group, as originally shown by Macpherson and Neumann [MaNe–90].

Indeed, arguments from the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [Galv–95], show that $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$ is uniformly u-capped, with $u_n = 12n + 16$. Hence, as a discrete group, $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$ is topologically strongly bounded.

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space, its unitary group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ has uncountable cofinality and is Cayley bounded [RiRo–07].

For topologically strongly bounded groups, see also [Berg–06], [Corn–06], and [Rose–09].

The property of uncountable cofinality appears in a characterization of the following property, due to Serre. The acronym FA stands for "Fixed point property for actions on Trees" (in French, tree is Arbre).

Definition 4.E.15. A topological group G has **Property (FA)** if every continuous action without inversion of G on a tree has a fixed vertex.

This property can be characterized as follows, as in [Serr–77, Section 6.1]. The setting of Serre is that of groups, but his arguments carry over to topological groups. For amalgamated products, see Section 8.B below.

Proposition 4.E.16. *A topological group G has Property (FA) if and only if it satisfies the following three conditions:*

(1) G does not have any continuous epimorphism onto $\mathbf{Z}$;
(2) G is not a non-trivial amalgamated product on an open subgroup;
(3) G has uncountable cofinality.

Example 4.E.17. Groups with Property (FA) include locally finite groups, triangle groups $\langle s, t \mid s^a = t^b = (st)^c = 1\rangle$ where a, b, c are integers, at least 2, and $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{Z})$ and its subgroups of finite index. See [Serr–77, Sections 6.3 and 6.6].

4.F Amenable and right amenable LC-groups

Definition 4.F.1. An LC-group G with left-invariant Haar measure μ is **amenable** if, for every compact subset Q of G and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset F of G with $\mu(F) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mu(QF)}{\mu(F)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon. \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.F.2. (1) An equivalent definition of amenability is obtained by replacing in Definition 4.F.1 compact subsets Q by subsets $\{1, q\}$, for $q \in Q$; see e.g. [EmGr–67]. Part of the theory of amenable LC-groups consists in showing the equivalence of a large number of definitions; for some of these, see e.g. [BeHV–08, Appendix G].

For the definition above, amenability can be viewed as an isoperimetric condition. Indeed, when $Q \ni 1$, the complement of F in QF is a "Q-boundary" of F, and Condition (4.2) means that the measure $\mu(QF \smallsetminus F)$ of this boundary is smaller than ε times the measure $\mu(F)$ of F. This kind of definition, going back for discrete groups to Følner [Foln–55], is quite different (though equivalent) from earlier definitions due to von Neumann and Tarski, in the late 20's.

(2) For σ-compact LC-groups, amenability *is not* invariant by metric coarse equivalence. For example, let B denote the upper triangular subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. Then B is solvable, and therefore amenable, but $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ is non-amenable; yet the inclusion of B in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ is a quasi-isometry (with respect to geodesically adapted metrics on the groups) because B is cocompact is $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$.

Nevertheless, amenability is a coarse invariant among *unimodular* locally compact groups, see Proposition 4.F.5 and Corollary 4.F.9.

Definition 4.F.3. An LC-group G with left-invariant Haar measure μ is **right amenable** if, for every compact subset Q of G and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset F of G with $\mu(F) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mu(FQ)}{\mu(F)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 4.F.4. *Let G be an LC-group.*

(1) If G is right amenable, then G is unimodular.
(2) Assume that G is unimodular. Then G is amenable if and only if G is right amenable.
In particular, a discrete group is amenable if and only if it is right amenable.

Proof. Let μ denote a left-invariant Haar measure on G, and Δ the modular function of G.

(1) We prove the contraposition. Suppose that G is not unimodular. There exists $q \in Q$ such that $\Delta(q) > 1$; set $Q = \{q\}$. For every compact subset F of G with $\mu(F) > 0$, we have $\mu(FQ)/\mu(F) = \mu(Fq)/\mu(F) = \Delta(q) > 1$. It follows that G is not right amenable.

(2) Since G is unimodular, $\mu(P^{-1}) = \mu(P)$ for every compact subset P of G. Suppose that G is amenable. Consider $\varepsilon > 0$ and a compact subset Q of G. Since Q^{-1} is compact, there exists by hypothesis a compact subset of G, of the form F^{-1} for some $F \subset G$, such that $\mu(Q^{-1}F^{-1})/\mu(F^{-1}) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, i.e. $\mu(FQ)/\mu(F) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Hence G is right amenable. The proof of the converse implication is similar. □

Proposition 4.F.5. *For an LC-group G to be right amenable, it is necessary and sufficient that G is both amenable and unimodular.*

Proof. The proof of the sufficiency follows from (2) in Lemma 4.F.4. Then the proof of necessity follows from (1) in the same lemma. □

Remark 4.F.6. In Definitions 4.F.1 and 4.F.3, "F" stands for "Følner" [Foln–55]. In the proof of the next proposition, finite sets are denoted by E, rather than by F as in several other places of this book.

Lemma 4.F.7. *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group and d a measurable adapted pseudo-metric on G. Let $s > 0$ be such that the ball $B_G^1(s)$ of centre 1 and radius s is a neighbourhood of 1 in G. Let L be a c-metric lattice in (G, d), for some $c > 2s$.*

For any compact subset K of G, the intersection $K \cap L$ is finite.

Note. The condition $c > 2s$ cannot be deleted; see Example 3.C.4(4). For measurable adapted pseudo-metrics, see just before Example 3.D.27.

Proof. Let μ be a left-invariant Haar measure on G. Recall that, with respect to d, all balls are relatively compact, and therefore of finite μ-measure.

Let $R > 0$ be such that $\left(xB_G^1(R)\right)_{x \in L}$ is a covering of G. Let $k_1, \ldots, k_n \in K$ be such that $\left(k_j B_G^1(R)\right)_{j=1,\ldots,n}$ is a covering of K. For $x \in K \cap L$, the balls $xB_G^1(s)$ are disjoint and contained in $\bigcup_{j=1}^n k_j B_G^1(R+s)$. Hence

$$|K \cap L| \mu(B_G^1(s)) \leq n\mu(B_G^1(R+s)).$$

Since $\mu(B_G^1(s)) > 0$ by the choice of s, the conclusion follows. □

Proposition 4.F.8. *Let G be a σ-compact LC-group and d a measurable adapted pseudo-metric on G.*

Then G is right amenable in the sense of Definition 4.F.3 if and only if (G, d) is amenable in the sense of Definition 3.D.33.

Proof. Step one: on paking and covering. Consider for now an LC-group G, with a left-invariant Haar measure μ. For subsets X, Y of G, let

- $m_X^-(Y) \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the maximum number of pairwise disjoint left-translates of X contained in Y,
- $m_X^+(Y) \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the minimum number of left-translates of X covering Y.

We agree that $m_X^-(Y) = \infty$ if the number of pairwise disjoint left-translates of X contained in Y is not bounded, and $m_X^+(Y) = \infty$ if Y cannot be covered by a finite number of left-translates of X. If X and Y are measurable, observe that

$$m_X^-(Y)\mu(X) \leq \mu(Y) \leq m_X^+(Y)\mu(X) \tag{4.3}$$

Step two: choice of C, L, and B. Assume now (as in the proposition) that G is σ-compact, with a measurable adapted pseudo-metric d. Choose

(i) a ball C in G of centre 1 and radius s large enough for C to be a neighbourhood of 1,
(ii) a c-metric lattice L in G for some $c > 2s$,
(iii) a ball B of centre 1 in G such that $(xB)_{x\in L}$ is a covering of G.

(Observe that C, L, and B are as in the proof of Proposition 3.D.28.)

Let E be a subset of L. Then

$$m_B^+(EB) \leq |E| \leq m_C^-(EB). \tag{4.4}$$

Set $D = (E(B^3) \smallsetminus E) \cap L$. We claim that

$$E(B^2) \smallsetminus EB \subset DB.$$

Indeed, let $g \in G$, written as $g = xb$ with $x \in L$ and $b \in B$. Assume that $g \in E(B^2)$, i.e. that $g = eb_1b_2$ with $e \in E$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$; then $x = eb_1b_2b^{-1} \in E(B^3)$. Assume moreover that $g \notin EB$; then $x \notin E$. It follows that $x \in D$, and therefore that $g \in DB$. This proves the claim. We have therefore

$$m_B^+\left(E(B^2) \smallsetminus EB\right) \leq m_B^+(DB) \leq |D| \tag{4.5}$$

by (4.4).

Step three: if (G, d) is amenable, then G is right amenable. Recall that (G, d) is uniformly coarsely proper, by Proposition 3.D.28, so that amenability in the sense of Definition 3.D.33 makes sense for (G, d).

Let Q be a compact subset of G and $\varepsilon > 0$; there is no loss of generality if we assume that $1 \in Q$. Upon increasing the radius of B, we can assume that $Q \subset B$. To prove the implication, we will show that there exists a compact subset F of G such that $\mu(FQ \smallsetminus F) \leq \varepsilon\mu(F)$.

Since L is amenable by hypothesis on (G, d), there exists a finite subset E of L such that

$$|\{x \in L \mid d(x, e) \leq 3 \text{ and } x \notin E\}| \leq \varepsilon\mu(C)\mu(B)^{-1}|E| \tag{4.6}$$

(see Definition 3.D.30). Observe that, for every $k \geq 1$, we have $E(B^k) \subset \{g \in G \mid d(g, E) \leq k\}$; in particular, with D as in Step two, we have

$$D := \big(E(B^3) \smallsetminus E\big) \cap L \subset \{x \in L \mid d(x, E) \leq 3 \text{ and } x \notin E\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \mu(EBQ \smallsetminus EB) & \leq & \mu\left(E(B^2) \smallsetminus EB\right) & \text{because } Q \subset B \\ & \leq & m_B^+\left(E(B^2) \smallsetminus EB\right)\mu(B) & \text{see Equation (4.3)} \\ & \leq & |D|\mu(B) & \text{see Equation (4.5)} \\ & \leq & \varepsilon\mu(C)|E| & \text{see Equations (4.6) and (4.7)} \\ & = & \varepsilon\mu(EC) & \text{by (ii) of Step two} \\ & \leq & \varepsilon\mu(EB) & \text{because } C \subset B. \end{array}$$

To finish the Step three, it suffices to set $F = EB$.

Step four: more on packing and covering. Let A be a ball of centre 1 in G such that $(xA)_{x\in L}$ is a covering of G (its radius need not be the same as that of the ball B introduced in Step two). Observe that $C \subset A$. Let P be any subset of G.

Set $E = PA \cap L$. Note that $P \subset EA$; indeed, let $p \in P$ be written as $p = xa$, with $x \in L$ and $a \in A$; then $x = pa^{-1} \in PA \cap L$, i.e. $x \in E$. We have therefore

$$|E| \geq m_A^+(EA) \geq m_A^+(P) \geq \mu(P)\mu(A)^{-1} \tag{4.8}$$

by the left-hand side inequality of (4.4) and by (4.3). Since $EA \cap L \subset P(A^2) \cap L$ and $E \cap L = E = PA \cap L$, we have

$$(EA \smallsetminus E) \cap L \subset \big(P(A^2) \smallsetminus PA\big) \cap L.$$

Since $(P(A^2) \smallsetminus PA)\, B \subset P(A^3) \smallsetminus P$, we have also

$$((EA \smallsetminus E) \cap L)B \subset \big(P(A^2) \smallsetminus PA\big)B \subset P(A^3) \smallsetminus P,$$

hence

$$|(EA \smallsetminus E) \cap L| \leq m_C^-\left(\big((EA \smallsetminus E) \cap L\big)B\right) \leq m_C^-\left(P(A^3) \smallsetminus P\right). \tag{4.9}$$

by the right-hand side inequality of (4.4).

Step five: if G is right amenable, then (G, d) is amenable. To prove the implication, we have to show that L is amenable. Denote by r_0 the radius of the ball B. By Remark 3.D.31, it suffices to show that for $r \geq r_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a non-empty finite subset E in L such that $|\{x \in L \mid d(x, E) \leq r\}| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)|E|$.

Let A denote the closed ball of centre 1 and radius r in G. For any finite subset E of L, we have $(EA \smallsetminus E) \cap L = \{x \in L \mid d(x, E) \leq r \text{ and } x \notin E\}$.

Since G is right amenable, there exists a compact subset P of G of non-zero measure such that

$$\mu\left(P(A^3) \smallsetminus P\right) \leq \varepsilon\mu(P)\mu(C)\mu(A)^{-1}. \tag{4.10}$$

Define $E = PA \cap L$, as in Step four; it is a finite subset of L by Lemma 4.F.7. We have

$$(4.11)\qquad \begin{array}{rcll} |(EA \smallsetminus E) \cap L| & \leq & m_C^-\,(P(A^3) \smallsetminus P) & \text{see Equation 4.9)} \\ & \leq & \mu\,(P(A^3) \smallsetminus P)\,\mu(C)^{-1} & \text{see Equation (4.3)} \\ & \leq & \varepsilon\mu(P)\mu(A)^{-1} & \text{see Equation (4.10)} \\ & \leq & \varepsilon|E| & \text{see Equation (4.8).} \end{array}$$

It follows that L is amenable. □

Corollary 4.F.9. *For σ-compact LC-groups, right amenability (i.e. amenabiliy and unimodularity) is invariant by metric coarse equivalence.*

In particular, for countable groups, amenability is invariant by metric coarse equivalence.

Remark 4.F.10. There is in [Tess–08] a discussion of right amenability, under the name of "geometric amenability". In this article, Tessera relates right amenability to other properties of metric measure spaces (i.e. metric spaces endowed with measures), involving Sobolev inequalities and spectral gaps for appropriate linear operators.

Corollary 4.F.9 appears as Proposition 11 in [Tess–08].

Chapter 5

Examples of compactly generated LC-groups

5.A Connected groups, abelian groups, nilpotent groups, Lie groups, and algebraic groups

As observed in Proposition 2.C.3, a connected LC-group is compactly generated. More generally:

Proposition 5.A.1. *Let G be an LC-group and G_0 its unit component.*

(1) The group G is compactly generated if and only if the quotient group G/G_0 is compactly generated. In particular:

- *an LC-group that is connected-by-compact (for example connected) is compactly generated;*
- *a real or complex Lie group with finitely many connected components is compactly generated;*
- *a fortiori, the group of real points of an algebraic $\mathbf{R}$-group is compactly generated (see Remark 2.E.13).*

(2) The group G is σ-compact if and only if G/G_0 is σ-compact.

(3) The group G is second-countable if and only if both G_0 and G/G_0 are second-countable.

Concerning (3), note that a connected LC-group need not be second-countable. For example, an uncountable product of a non-trivial connected compact group is not second-countable.

Proof. (1) Let G be a locally compact group. Since G_0 is locally compact and connected, it is generated by any compact neighbourhood of the identity; let S be one of these. If G/G_0 is compactly generated, there exists by Lemma 2.C.9 a compact subset T of G of which the image in G/G_0 is generating. It follows that the compact set $S \cup T$ generates G. Conversely, if G is compactly generated, so is G/G_0.

The proof of (2) is similar. Claim (3) is a particular case of part of Proposition 2.C.8. □

Example 5.A.2 (non-discrete locally compact fields)**.** Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a non-discrete locally compact field.

As already observed (Example 2.E.3), the additive groups $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{C}$, and the multiplicative group $\mathbf{C}^\times$ are connected, and $\mathbf{R}^\times$ has two connected components. These four groups are compactly generated. We suppose from now on that $\mathbf{K}$ is a local field, and we use the notation of 2.E.3.

The additive group $\mathbf{K}$ is the union $\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi^{-n}\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}$ of a strictly increasing sequence of compact open subgroups. Hence $\mathbf{K}$ is locally elliptic (see § 4.D) and is not compactly generated.

On the contrary, $\mathbf{K}^\times$ is always compactly generated. Indeed, the group $\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}^\times$ of invertible elements in $\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}$, which is the complement of $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbf{K} = \pi\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}$ in $\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}$, is compact, and $\mathbf{K}^\times$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\mathfrak{o}_\mathbf{K}^\times \times \pi^\mathbf{Z}$ (where $\pi^\mathbf{Z}$ stands for the infinite cyclic group generated by π).

Example 5.A.3 (locally compact abelian groups)**.** Let A be a locally compact abelian group, or for short an **LCA-group**. Then A is isomorphic to a product $\mathbf{R}^\ell \times H$, where H is a locally compact abelian group containing a compact open subgroup [Kamp–35], [BTS1-2, chap. II, § 2, no 2].

Denote by $\widehat{A}$ the Pontrjagin dual of A, which is the group of homomorphisms $A \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, with the compact-open topology. Then $\widehat{A}$ is again a locally compact abelian group [BTS1-2, chap. II, § 2, no 1]. Moreover:

(1) A is compact if and only if $\widehat{A}$ is discrete.
(2) A is compactly generated if and only if it is of the form $\mathbf{R}^\ell \times \mathbf{Z}^m \times K$, where ℓ, m are non-negative integers and K a compact subgroup (indeed *the* maximal compact subgroup), if and only if $\widehat{A}$ is of the form $\mathbf{R}^\ell \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m \times D$, where D is a finitely generated abelian group, if and only if $\widehat{A}$ is a Lie group.
In particular, A and $\widehat{A}$ are both compactly generated if and only if A is of the form $\mathbf{R}^\ell \times \mathbf{Z}^m \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^n \times F$, where F is a finite abelian group.
(3) A is σ-compact if and only if $\widehat{A}$ is metrizable, if and only if $\widehat{A}$ has a second-countable open subgroup.
(4) A is second-countable if and only if $\widehat{A}$ is second-countable. In particular, a compact LCA-group is second-countable if and only if the discrete group $\widehat{A}$ is countable.
(5) A is connected if and only if $\widehat{A}$ is a torsion-free group.
(6) A is totally disconnected if and only if $\widehat{A}$ is a torsion group.
(7) A is locally connected if and only if it is of the form $\mathbf{R}^\ell \times D \times \widehat{E}$ where D is discrete and E discrete locally free (i.e. such that all its finitely generated subgroups are free).

See [BTS1-2], Chap. II, § 1, no 9, and § 2 (including Exercises 1 and 8, or alternatively [Dixm–57]).

Before Proposition 5.A.7, we recall the following definition, a lemma, and a remark.

Definition 5.A.4. Let G be a group.

The **commutator** of two elements $x, y \in G$ is $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, often denoted by $[x, y]$. The **group of commutators** of G is the subgroup generated by all commutators, denoted by $[G, G]$, or C^2G. The **lower central series** of a group G is the sequence $(C^iG)_{i\geq 1}$ of subgroups inductively defined by $C^1G = G$ and $C^{i+1}G = [C^iG, G]$.

The group G is **nilpotent** if $C^iG = \{1\}$ for i large enough. More precisely, for $k \geq 0$, the group G is **nilpotent of class** k if k is the smallest integer such that $C^{k+1}G = \{1\}$.

Note that a group is nilpotent of class 0 if and only if $G = \{1\}$, and nilpotent of class 1 if and only if it is abelian.

Lemma 5.A.5. *Let G be a nilpotent group of class k.*

If G is compactly generated, so is $\overline{C^kG}$.

Proof. Let G be a group and S a subset of G. Define inductively a sequence S_i of subsets of G by $S_1 = S$ and $S_{i+1} = \{z \in G \mid z = [x, y] \text{ for some } x \in S \text{ and } y \in S_i\}$. It is an easy fact to check that, if S generates G, then the image of S_i generates $C^iG/C^{i+1}G$.

For all $i \geq 1$, the mapping

$$\begin{cases} \big(C^iG/C^{i+1}G\big) \times \big(G/C^2G\big) & \longrightarrow & C^{i+1}G/C^{i+2}G \\ (xC^{i+1}G, yC^2G) & \longmapsto & [x, y]C^{i+2}G \end{cases}$$

is well-defined, $\mathbf{Z}$-bilinear, and its image generates $C^{i+1}G/C^{i+2}G$. This is left as an exercise to the reader (alternatively, see [Robi–96, Section 5.2]). If G is nilpotent of class k, it follows that the map

$$\begin{cases} \big(G/C^2G\big) \times \cdots \times \big(G/C^2G\big) & \longrightarrow & C^kG \\ (x_1C^2G, \ldots, x_kC^2G) & \longmapsto & [x_1, [x_2, \ldots [x_{k-1}, x_k] \cdots]] \end{cases}$$

is well-defined, $\mathbf{Z}$-multilinear, and its image generates C^kG. Moreover, if S is a generating subset of G, and S_{ab} denotes its image in G/C^2G, then the image of $S_{\mathrm{ab}} \times \cdots \times S_{\mathrm{ab}}$ (with k terms) generates C^kG.

It follows that, if G is compactly generated, then C^kG is compactly generated. Hence $\overline{C^kG}$ is compactly generated, by Remark 2.C.2(5). □

Remark 5.A.6. If G is a nilpotent LC-group, the groups C^iG need not be closed in G. (Hence, in the proof of Proposition 5.A.7, $\overline{C^kG}$ cannot be replaced by C^kG.) For example, let $\widetilde{G} = H \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})$, where $H = H(\mathbf{R})$ denotes the real Heisenberg group, see Example 3.B.9. Let $c \in Z(H)$ be a non-trivial element of the centre of H, and C the discrete subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ spanned by an element (c, θ), where $\theta \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ is the class of an irrational number $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbf{R}$. Set $G = \widetilde{G}/C$. We leave it to the reader to check that G is a connected nilpotent real Lie group, $C^3G = \{1\}$, and the commutator subgroup C^2G is not closed in G.

Proposition 5.A.7. *(1) In a compactly generated LCA-group, every closed subgroup is compactly generated.*

(2) More generally, in a compactly generated nilpotent LC-group, every closed subgroup is compactly generated.

Note. In a solvable *connected Lie group*, every closed subgroup is compactly generated. See [Ragh–72, Proposition 3.8].

Proof. We first check (1) for two particular cases.

Case a. Suppose that A is either $\mathbf{R}^\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 0$ or a finitely generated abelian group. Then it is standard that every closed subgroup of A is compactly generated.

Case b. Suppose now that A has an open subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $A = \mathbf{R}^\ell \times D$ for some finitely generated abelian group D. Denote by $\pi_D : A \longrightarrow D$ the canonical projection. If B is a closed subgroup of A, we have an extension

$$B \cap \mathbf{R}^\ell \hookrightarrow B \overset{\pi_D}{\twoheadrightarrow} \pi_D(B).$$

By Case a, both $B \cap \mathbf{R}^\ell$ and $\pi_D(B)$ are compactly generated; hence so is B.

General case. By Example 5.A.3(2), we can assume that $A = \mathbf{R}^\ell \times H$ for some $\ell \geq 0$ and some compactly generated LCA group H having a compact open subgroup, say K. We can identify A/K to $\mathbf{R}^\ell \times D$, with D as in Case b. The subgroup $B + K$ of A is closed, because K is compact, so that $(B + K)/K$ is compactly generated by Case b. Hence $B + K$ is compactly generated. Since B is cocompact in $B + K$, the group B is compactly generated by Proposition 4.C.11.

(2) For a compactly generated nilpotent LC-group G, we prove (2) by induction on the smallest integer k such that $C^k G$ is central in G; note that $\overline{C^k G}$ is also central in G, and compactly generated by Lemma 5.A.5. If $k = 1$, the group G is abelian, and (2) holds by (1). Assume now that $k \geq 2$, and that (2) holds up to $k-1$. Let H be a closed subgroup of G. Then $H \cap \overline{C^k G}$ is abelian, hence compactly generated by (1), and $H/(H \cap \overline{C^k G})$ is compactly generated, by the induction hypothesis. Hence H is (compactly generated)-by-(compactly generated), so that H is compactly generated, by Proposition 2.C.8(4). □

Remark 5.A.8. Let us build up on Claim (2) of the previous proposition, in the context of discrete groups. A group G is **Noetherian** if all its subgroups are finitely generated, equivalently if, for every increasing sequence $H_1 \subset \cdots H_n \subset H_{n+1} \subset \cdots \subset G$, we have $H_{n+1} = H_n$ for all n large enough. Here are two standard results: polycyclic-by-finite groups (in particular finitely generated nilpotent groups) are Noetherian, and a soluble group is Noetherian if and only if it is polycyclic.

Noetherian Groups have been studied by Baer ([Baer–56], and further articles). The problem to decide whether there exist Noetherian groups that are not polycyclic-by-finite has been open for a long time, before being solved by Ol'shanskii in the late 70's:

There are infinite 2-generated groups in which every proper subgroup is finite. There are infinite torsion-free simple 2-generated groups in which every proper subgroup is infinite cyclic. See [Ol'sh–91, § 27].

Example 5.A.9 (countable discrete abelian groups)**.** For $j = 1, 2$, let A_j be a countable discrete abelian group, with an adapted pseudo-metrics d_j. Then (A_1, d_1) and (A_2, d_2) are coarsely equivalent if and only if A_1 and A_2 share the following two conditions [BaHZ–10]:

(1) their torsion-free ranks coincide;
(2) they are either both finitely generated or both infinitely generated.

For example:

(a) two infinite countable locally finite abelian groups are coarsely equivalent;
(b) the groups $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{Z}[1/n]$ are coarsely equivalent, for every $n \geq 2$.

Recall that the **torsion-free rank** of an abelian group A is the dimension of the $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space $A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$.

Example 5.A.10 (affine groups)**.** If $\mathbf{K}$ is a non-discrete locally compact field, the affine group $G = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K}^\times & \mathbf{K} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is compactly generated.

Proof. We freely use the notation introduced in Example 5.A.2. Set

$$S_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G \;\middle|\; a \in \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}}^\times \cup \{\pi^{-1}\} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G \;\middle|\; b \in \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}} \right\}.$$

We claim that the compact subset $S := S_1 S_2$ generates G. Any $g \in G$ is a product $g_1 g_2$ with $g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $x \in \mathbf{K}^\times$ and $y \in \mathbf{K}$. On the one hand, g_1 is in the subgroup of G generated by S_1. On the other hand, for n large enough,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pi^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^n g_2 \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi^{-n} y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in S_2.$$

This proves the claim. □

Example 5.A.11. The locally compact group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ is compactly generated, by the compact subset $S := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p) \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

More generally, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ is compactly generated for every $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Here is a first proof for the case $n = 2$. Denote by $|x|_p$ the p-adic absolute value of $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$. We claim that any $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ is in the subgroup generated by S. Indeed, upon multiplying g on the left or/and on the right by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we can assume that $|a|_p = \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p, |c|_p, |d|_p\}$, so that $x := -ba^{-1}$ and $y := -ca^{-1}$ are in $\mathbf{Z}_p$. The computation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

shows that we can assume that g is diagonal. Since $a = zp^n$ for some $z \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, this shows the claim.

Let us allude to a second proof. There exists a standard action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ on the regular tree of valency $p + 1$ [Serr–77]. This action is geometric, and Theorem

4.C.5 implies that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ is compactly generated. (This tree is the particular case of the Bruhat-Tits building attached to $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$.)

Consider now the case $n \geq 3$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ denote the canonical basis of $\mathbf{Q}_p^n$. For $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i < j$, let $\varepsilon_{i,j} : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ denote the canonical embedding: $\varepsilon_{i,j}(g)$ acts on the linear span of $\{e_i, e_j\}$ as $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ acts on that of $\{e_1, e_2\}$, and $\varepsilon_{i,j}(g)e_k = e_k$ if $k \notin \{i, j\}$. Since elementary matrices generate $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, the union $\bigcup_{i,j \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq n} \varepsilon_{i,j}(S)$ is a compact generating set of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$.

The result and the proof carry over with minor changes to other local fields. □

Theorem 5.A.12. *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a reductive group defined over a local field* $\mathbf{K}$*. The group of* $\mathbf{K}$*-points of* $\mathbf{G}$ *is compactly generated.*

References for the terminology and the proof. An algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ is **reductive** if its unipotent radical is trivial, in other words if $\mathbf{G}$ does not contain any non-trivial connected unipotent normal algebraic subgroup (for further explanations, we refer to [Bore–91, Section 11]). This notion does not depend on the field of definition.

For local fields of characteristic 0, Theorem 5.A.12 is a particular case of [BoTi–65, Théorème 13.4]. For arbitrary characteristic, see [Behr–69]. See also [Marg–91, Corollary I.2.3.5] for the compact generation of the group of $\mathbf{K}$-points of a *semisimple* group defined over $\mathbf{K}$.

More is true: see Theorem 8.D.13. □

Theorem 5.A.12 could be stated for $\mathbf{K}$ any non-discrete locally compact field of characteristic 0. Indeed, in the remaining case, when $\mathbf{K}$ is $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, for *any* algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ defined over $\mathbf{K}$, the group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{K})$ is a Lie group with finitely many connected components, and it is compactly generated regardless of the assumption that $\mathbf{G}$ is reductive.

Theorem 5.A.13. *Let* $\mathbf{K}$ *be a local field of characteristic* 0*. Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be an algebraic group, with connected component* $\mathbf{G}_0$*; we assume that* $\mathbf{G}$ *is defined over* $\mathbf{K}$*. Let* G *denote the locally compact group* $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{K})$ *of the* $\mathbf{K}$*-points of* $\mathbf{G}$*. The following properties are equivalent:*

(i) G *is not compactly generated;*
(ii) G *has a non-compact locally elliptic quotient;*
(iii) $\mathbf{G}$ *has a connected normal* $\mathbf{K}$*-subgroup* $\mathbf{N}$ *such that* $\mathbf{G}_0/\mathbf{N}$ *is a reductive group anisotropic over* $\mathbf{K}$*, and* $\mathbf{N}$ *admits a surjective* $\mathbf{K}$*-homomorphism onto the additive* $\mathbf{K}$*-group of dimension* 1*.*

On the proof. Implication (ii) ⇒ (i) is straightforward (see Proposition 4.D.3). The deepest implication is (i) ⇒ (iii); it follows from [BoTi–65, Theorem 13.4]. Implication (iii) ⇒ (ii) is also essentially in [BoTi–65]; more precisely, suppose that (iii) holds; let $\mathbf{V}$ be the intersection of the kernels of the $\mathbf{K}$-morphisms from $\mathbf{N}$ to the additive $\mathbf{K}$-group of dimension 1; if $N = \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{K})$ and $V = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{K})$, then G/N is compact and N/V is a non-compact locally elliptic group, so that (ii) holds. □

It is unknown to us whether the equivalence between (i) and (ii) holds when $\mathbf{K}$ is a local field of positive characteristic.

5.B Isometry groups

We want to show that the isometry group of a proper metric space has a natural topology that makes it a second-countable LC-group, and that the action of the isometry group on this space is continuous and proper.

Consider a metric space $X = (X, d)$. Its **isometry group**, consisting of all invertible isometries of X onto itself, is denoted by $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$, or $\mathrm{Isom}(X, d)$ whenever necessary. There are three standard and equivalent ways to define the appropriate topology on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. The **compact-open topology** on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is that generated by the subbasis

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_{K,V} =& \{f \in \mathrm{Isom}(X) \mid f(K) \subset V\} \\ & \text{for } K \subset X \text{ compact and } V \subset X \text{ open.}\end{aligned}$$

The **pointwise topology** on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is that generated by the subbasis

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_{x,V} =& \{f \in \mathrm{Isom}(X) \mid f(x) \in V\} \\ & \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } V \subset X \text{ open.}\end{aligned}$$

The **topology of uniform convergence on compact sets**, or **ucc-topology**, on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is that generated by the subbasis

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_{f_0,K,\varepsilon} =& \left\{f \in \mathrm{Isom}(X) \mid \sup_{x\in K} d_Y(f_0(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon\right\} \\ & \text{for } f_0 \in \mathrm{Isom}(X),\ K \subset X \text{ compact, and } \varepsilon > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Elements of the three subbasis just defined will abusively be called "basic" open sets or "basic" neighbourhoods.

Lemma 5.B.1. *Let X be a metric space. The compact-open topology and the ucc-topology coincide on* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

Proof. Let $f_0 \in \mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

Let $K \subset X$ be a compact subset and $V \subset X$ an open subset of X such that $\mathcal{O}_{K,V}$ is a basic compact-open neighbourhood of f_0. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\{x \in X \mid d(f_0(K), x) < \varepsilon\} \subset V$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{f_0,K,\varepsilon}$ is an ucc-neighbourhood of f_0 contained in $\mathcal{O}_{K,V}$.

Conversely, let $K \subset V$ a compact subset of X and $\varepsilon > 0$, so that $\mathcal{O}_{f_0,K,\varepsilon}$ is a basic ucc-neighbourhood of f_0. There exists a finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of K such that the interiors of the balls $B_X^{x_i}(\varepsilon/3)$ cover K. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, set

$$K_i = K \cap \left(\overline{B_X^{x_i}(\varepsilon/3)}\right) \text{ and } V_i = \mathrm{int}\left(B_X^{f_0(x_i)}(\varepsilon/3)\right).$$

Observe that

$$\mathcal{O}' = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{\{x_i\},V_i}$$

is a compact-open neighbourhood of f_0. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}'$. For $x \in K$, if i is such that $x \in K_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}d(f_0(x), f(x)) &\le d(f_0(x), f_0(x_i)) + d(f_0(x_i), f(x_i)) + d(f(x_i), f(x)) \\ &= d(f_0(x_i), f(x_i)) + 2d(x_i, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

and therefore $f \in \mathcal{O}_{f_0,K,\varepsilon}$. Hence $\mathcal{O}'$ is a compact-open neighbourhood of f_0 contained in $\mathcal{O}_{f_0,K,\varepsilon}$. □

Lemma 5.B.2. *Let X be a metric space. The ucc-topology and the pointwise topology coincide on* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

Proof. Consider $f_0 \in \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ and a basic ucc-neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}_{f_0,K,\varepsilon}$ of f_0 in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. There exists a finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of K such that the interiors of the balls $B_X^{x_i}(\varepsilon/3)$ cover K. The set

$$U = \{f \in \mathrm{Isom}(X) \mid d(f_0(x_i), f(x_i)) < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

is a neighbourhood of f_0 in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ for the pointwise topology. Let $f \in U$; for $x \in K$, if i is such that $x \in B_X^{x_i}(\varepsilon/3)$, we have

$$d(f_0(x), f(x)) \leq d(f_0(x), f_0(x_i)) + d(f_0(x_i), f(x_i)) + d(f(x_i), f(x)) < \varepsilon,$$

as in the previous proof, and therefore $f \in \mathcal{O}_{f_0,K,\varepsilon}$. Hence $f_0 \in U \subset \mathcal{O}_{f_0,K,\varepsilon}$.

Conversely, any basic neighbourhood of f_0 for the pointwise topology contains obviously an ucc-neighbourhood of f_0. □

From now on, we consider the group $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ furnished with the compact-open topology, equivalently the pointwise topology, equivalently the ucc-topology.

Lemma 5.B.3. *Let X be a metric space. With the topology defined above,* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *is a topological group.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathrm{Isom}(X)$. Let K be a compact subset and W an open subset of X such that $gf(K) \subset W$, so that $\mathcal{O}_{K,W}$ is a compact-open neighbourhood of gf in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. There exists a relatively compact-open neighbourhood V of $f(K)$ such that $f(K) \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset g^{-1}(W)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{K,V} \times \mathcal{O}_{\overline{V},W}$ is an open neighbourhood of (f, g) in $\mathrm{Isom}(X) \times \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ with image by the multiplication map in $\mathcal{O}_{K,W}$. Hence the multiplication is continuous.

Let $f_0 \in \mathrm{Isom}(X)$. Consider a compact subset L of X, a number $\varepsilon > 0$, and the resulting ucc-neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}_{f_0^{-1},L,\varepsilon}$ of f_0^{-1}. Set $K = f_0^{-1}(L)$. For $f \in \mathcal{O}_{f_0,K,\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in L} d(f_0^{-1}(y), f^{-1}(y)) &= \sup_{x \in K} d(x, f^{-1}f_0(x)) \\ &= \sup_{x \in K} d(f(x), f_0(x)) < \varepsilon\,, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $f^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{f_0^{-1},L,\varepsilon}$. Hence the map $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ is continuous on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

In case we know the topology of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is locally compact (see Lemma 5.B.4), another argument rests on the following general fact: in a group G with a locally compact topology $\mathcal{T}$, if the multiplication is continuous (even separately continuous), then the inverse is also continuous, and $(G, \mathcal{T})$ is a topological group [Elli–57]. □

Lemma 5.B.4. *Let X be a* proper *metric space.*

(1) The topology defined above on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *is second-countable and locally compact.*

(2) The natural action of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *on* X *is continuous and proper.*

Proof. (1) Let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ be a countable basis of open sets in X, all of them being relatively compact. Then $(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{U_i},U_j})_{i\in I,j\in J}$ is a countable subbasis of open sets for the compact-open topology on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. If necessary, details of the proof can be read in [Helg–62, Chapter IV, Lemma 2.1].

Consider an isometry $f \in \mathrm{Isom}(X)$, a non-empty compact subset K of X, and a relatively compact open subset V of X containing $f(K)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{K,V}$ is an open neighbourhood of f. It follows from the Arezelà-Ascoli theorem that $\mathcal{O}_{K,V}$ is relatively compact [BTG5-10, Page X.17]. Hence $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is locally compact.

(2) Let $F : \mathrm{Isom}(X)\times X \longrightarrow X,\ (f,x) \longmapsto f(x)$ denote the evaluation map. Let $f \in \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ and $x \in X$. Let V be an open neighbourhood of $f(x)$ in X. Since X is proper, there exists a relatively compact and open neighbourhood U of x such that $f(\overline{U}) \subset V$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{U},V} \times U$ is an open neighbourhood of (f,x) in $\mathrm{Isom}(X) \times X$, and its image by F is inside V. Hence the natural action of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ on X is continuous.

The natural map

$$\Phi\ :\ \mathrm{Isom}(X) \times X \longrightarrow X \times X,\ \ (f,x) \longmapsto (f(x),x) = (F(f,x),x)$$

is closed, as one can check thinking of the ucc-topology on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. To show that the action F is proper, i.e. that the map Φ is proper, it remains to see that, for compact subsets K, L of X, the inverse image $\Phi^{-1}(K \times L)$ is compact in $\mathrm{Isom}(X) \times X$; for this, we think of the compact-open topology on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

Let D denote the diameter of L. Set $V = \{v \in X \mid d(v,K) < D+1\}$. For $(f,x) \in \Phi^{-1}(K \times L)$, we have $f(x) \in K$, so that

$$f(K) \subset \{y \in X \mid d(y,K) \leq D\} \subset V.$$

Hence $\Phi^{-1}(K \times L)$ is contained in the set $\mathcal{O}_{K,V} \times L$, which is relatively compact by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. □

For the following proposition, recall that a proper metric-space is second-countable and separable (Theorem 2.A.10).

Proposition 5.B.5. *Let* X *be a proper metric space.*

(1) On the full group $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *of isometries of* X*, the three following topologies coincide: the compact-open topology, the pointwise topology, and the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.*

(2) For this topology, $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *is a second-countable LC-group; moreover, the natural action of* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *on* X *is continuous and proper.*

(3) Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *be a dense sequence in* X*. The function* d *defined on* $\mathrm{Isom}(X) \times \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *by*

$$d(f,g) \ = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2\,(d(x_1,x_n)+1)}\, d(f(x_n),g(x_n))$$

is a left-invariant proper compatible metric on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$*.*

Proof. Claims (1) and (2) sum up the conclusions of Lemmas 5.B.1 to 5.B.4.

Since $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is locally compact and second-countable, it is also σ-compact and metrizable (Theorem 2.A.10). It follows from Theorem 2.B.4 that there exists on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ a metric which is left-invariant, proper, and compatible.

We leave it to the reader to check that the formula of (3) defines such a metric. In specific cases, other metrics are also of interest: see for example 2.B.10. □

Proposition 5.B.6. *Let G be a topological group, X a proper metric space, $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ its isometry group as in Proposition 5.B.5, $\alpha : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ a continuous isometric action, and $\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ the corresponding homomorphism.*

(1) α is continuous if and only if ρ is continuous.

Assume moreover that the group G is locally compact and the action α is proper.

(2) The image $\rho(G)$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. In particular, when the action α is faithful, the homomorphism ρ identifies G with a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

Assume moreover that the group G is σ-compact.

(3) The homomorphism $G \longrightarrow \rho(G)$ is open.

Proof. (1) If α is continuous, the continuity of ρ follows from the definition of the pointwise topology on $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. If ρ is continuous, it is obvious that α is separately continuous; since isometries are equicontinuous, separate continuity implies continuity.

(2) Consider the maps

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \rho \times \mathrm{id}_X & : & G \times X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Isom}(X) \times X, & (g,x) \longmapsto (\rho(g), x) \\ \Phi & : & \mathrm{Isom}(X) \times X \longrightarrow X \times X, & (h,x) \longmapsto (h(x), x) \\ \alpha \times \mathrm{id}_X & : & G \times X \longrightarrow X \times X, & (g,x) \longmapsto (\rho(g)(x), x) \end{array}$$

and observe that

$$\alpha \times \mathrm{id}_X \;=\; \Phi \circ (\rho \times \mathrm{id}_X).$$

We know that Φ is proper (Lemma 5.B.4). By [BTG1-4, Page I.73], it follows that $\rho \times \mathrm{id}_X$ is proper if and only if $\alpha \times \mathrm{id}_X$ is proper. In particular, if the action α is proper, then $\rho \times \mathrm{id}_X$ is proprer, hence ρ is a closed map, and $\rho(G)$ is closed in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

(Note that the condition of properness of the action cannot be deleted, as shown by actions $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ by irrational rotations.)

(3) This follows by Corollary 2.D.6. □

Remark 5.B.7. Let X be a metric space.

(1) An isometry f from X into X need not be surjective. This is the case of the one-sided shift f on the set $\mathbf{N}$ of non-negative integers, defined by $f(n) = n + 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $\mathbf{N}$ has the discrete metric, defined by $d(m,n) = 1$ whenever $m \neq n$. The shift is a non-surjective isometry. (The same fact holds for the usual metric, defined by $(m,n) \longmapsto |m - n|$.)

(2) The group $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ need not be closed in the space $\mathrm{Isom}(X, X)$ of isometric maps from X into X, say for the pointwise topology. Indeed, consider again the same discrete metric space $\mathbf{N}$ and the same one-sided shift f. For every integer $k \geq 0$, define $f_k \in \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N})$ by

$$f_k(n) = \begin{cases} n+1 & \text{if } 0 \leq n \leq k, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = k+1, \\ n & \text{if } n \geq k+2. \end{cases}$$

The sequence $(f_k)_{k\geq 0}$ converges to f for the pointwise topology, each f_k is invertible, and f is not.

Example 5.B.8 (the symmetric group of an infinite countable set)**.** Consider the set $\mathbf{N}$ of natural integers, with the discrete topology. Let X be the infinite product $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$, with the product topology, i.e. with the pointwise topology. This is a metrizable space, and a compatible metric d_X can be defined by $d_X(f, g) = 2^{-n}$, where n is the smallest non-negative integer such that $f(n) \neq g(n)$, for $f \neq g$. The metric space (X, d_X) is clearly separable and complete; thus X is a Polish space.

For the discrete metric d on $\mathbf{N}$, as in the previous remark, the group $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{N}) = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$ of all permutations of $\mathbf{N}$ is a subspace of X. For the subspace topology, it is also a Polish space. Indeed, the metric $(f, g) \longmapsto d_X(f, g) + d_X(f^{-1}, g^{-1})$ is compatible and complete (note that it *is not* a left-invariant metric).

The pointwise topology makes $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$ a topological group (Lemma 5.B.3); thus it is a Polish group. (Incidentally, it is the unique topology making $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$ a Polish group [Gaug–67, Kall–79], indeed the unique topology making it a non-discrete Hausdorff topological group [KeRo-07].)

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the family of finite subsets of $\mathbf{N}$. For $F \in \mathcal{F}$, set

$$\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N} \smallsetminus F) = \{g \in \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N}) \mid gx = x \text{ for all } x \in F\};$$

this is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$. Observe that the group $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N} \smallsetminus F)$ is not compact because, if $(y_j)_{1\leq j<\infty}$ is an enumeration of $\mathbf{N} \smallsetminus F$, it is the infinite disjoint union of the open subsets $\{g \in \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N} \smallsetminus F) \mid gy_1 = y_j\}$, for $1 \leq j < \infty$. The family $(\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N} \smallsetminus F))_{F\in\mathcal{F}}$ is a basis of neighbourhoods of 1 in $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$. As none of them is compact, the group $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$ is not locally compact. Note also that the evaluation map $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N}) \times \mathbf{N} \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is not proper, because isotropy groups of points are not compact in $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$.

Consider again the metric d_X, now restricted to the group $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$; it is a left-invariant compatible metric. The sequence of Remark 5.B.7 is a left Cauchy sequence (in the sense of Remark 2.B.1(3)) which does not converge in $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$. It follows that there is no complete left-invariant compatible metric on the Polish group $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$; thus, $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{N})$ is not a cli-group.

The example is classical: it appears essentially in [Dieu–44].

Remark 5.B.9. (1) Here is one more example showing that the hypothesis of properness on X cannot be omitted in Proposition 5.B.5.

Let X be the subset of the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^2$ consisting of the first axis and the point $(0, 1)$. Let d_E denote the Euclidean metric on X. Define another metric d on

X by $d(x,y) = \inf\{1, d_E(x,y)\}$. Then $\mathrm{Isom}(X,d)$ is locally compact, but its action on X is not proper, because the isotropy subgroup of $(0,1)$ contains the translations of the first axis and is therefore non-compact (example from [MaSt–03]).

(2) The following result of van Dantzig and van der Waerden is of historical interest: if (X,d) is a locally compact metric space that is *connected* (but not necessarily proper), the group of isometries $\mathrm{Isom}(X,d)$ is locally compact and acts properly on X. See [DaWa–28] and [KoNo–63, Chapter I, Theorem 4.7]. This carries over to the so-called *pseudo-connected* separable spaces [GaKe–03, Proposition 5.3].

Proposition 5.B.10. *Let X be a non-empty proper metric space. Let* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *be its group of isometries, as in Proposition 5.B.5. Assume moreover that the action of* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *on X is cocompact.*

Then this action is geometric. In particular, $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *is compactly generated if and only if X is coarsely connected.*

Proof. For every proper metric space, the action of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ on X is continuous, metrically proper (Proposition 5.B.5 and Remark 4.C.3(5)), and locally bounded (Remark 4.C.3(3)). Hence, when the action of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ on X is cocompact as assumed here, it is geometric. Therefore, the proposition follows from Theorem 4.C.5 and Proposition 4.B.8. □

Example 5.B.11 (automorphism groups of graphs)**.** Let X be a connected graph, X^0 its vertex set, and d_1 the combinatorial metric on X (Example 2.A.13). Assume for simplicity that X has neither loops nor multiple edges; an automorphism of X is then a permutation α of X^0 such that, for $x, y \in X^0$, there is an edge connecting $\alpha(x)$ and $\alpha(y)$ if and only if there is one connecting x and y.

The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of the connected graph X coincides with the isometry group $\mathrm{Isom}(X, d_1)$, and also with $\mathrm{Isom}(X^0, d_1)$.

For a subset Y of X^0, set $V_Y = \{g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X) \mid gy = y \ \forall y \in Y\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of finite subsets of X, and set $\mathcal{N} = (V_Y)_{Y \in \mathcal{F}}$; let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of bounded subsets of X, and set $\mathcal{N}' = (V_Y)_{Y \in \mathcal{B}}$. Then $\mathcal{N}$ [respectively $\mathcal{N}'$] is a basis of neighbourhoods of 1 for a group topology $\mathcal{T}$ [respectively $\mathcal{T}'$] on $\mathrm{Isom}(X^0, d_1)$, i.e. on $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$.

Suppose now that the graph X is locally finite. Then the topologies $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ coincide with each other, and also with the topology of Proposition 5.B.5, for which $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is a second-countable LC-group (this is in [Tits–70, 2.5], for X a tree).

Suppose moreover that the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ on X is cobounded. Then this action is geometric (see Example 4.C.4(3)), and it follows that the group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is compactly generated (Corollary 4.C.6). In particular, if T_k denotes a regular tree of some valency $k \geq 0$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(T_k)$ is compactly generated.

Example 5.B.12 (isometry groups of countable groups)**.** Let G be a countable discrete group, given together with a proper left-invariant metric d. Then $\mathrm{Isom}(G,d)$ is compactly generated if and only if G is finitely generated; this follows from Theorem 4.C.5, because it is easy to check that the natural action of $\mathrm{Isom}(G,d)$ on G is geometric.

The group $\mathrm{Isom}(G,d)$ can be discrete or not. Consider for example the free abelian group $\mathbf{Z}^n$ on a $\mathbf{Z}$-basis $B = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ and the word metric d_B. Then

$\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{Z}^n, d_B)$ is finitely generated; indeed, it is the semi-direct product $\mathbf{Z}^n \rtimes F$, where F is the finite symmetry group of the polytope in $\mathbf{R}^n$ of vertices $\{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n\}$. On the contrary, let F be a free group on a finite basis S of at least two elements, with the word metric d_S. Then $\mathrm{Isom}(F, d_S)$ is a non-discrete compactly generated group; indeed, $\mathrm{Isom}(F, d_S)$ is the group written $\mathrm{Aut}(T_k)$ in Example 2.E.4, for k twice the rank of F.

Let us finally quote from [MaSo–09] the following:

Theorem 5.B.13 (Malicki and Solecki)**.** *Let G be a second-countable LC-group. There exists a second-countable proper metric space (X, d) such that G is topologically isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Isom}(X, d)$.

5.C Lattices in LC-groups

Definition 5.C.1. In an LC-group G, a **lattice** is a discrete subgroup Γ such that G/Γ has a G-invariant probability measure, and a **uniform lattice** is a cocompact discrete subgroup.

Remark 5.C.2. Metric lattices in pseudo-metric spaces (Definition 3.C.1) and lattices in LC-groups are two notions that should not be confused.

Proposition 5.C.3. *Let G be a topological group and Γ a cocompact discrete subgroup.*

(1) G is locally compact and Γ is a lattice.
(2) G is compactly generated if and only if Γ is finitely generated.
(3) Suppose that the conditions of (2) hold. Let d_G be a geodesically adapted metric on G and d_Γ a word metric on Γ, with respect to some finite generating set. Then the he inclusion $(\Gamma, d_\Gamma) \longhookrightarrow (G, d_G)$ is a quasi-isometry.

Proof. (1) That G is a locally ocmpact group is a particular case of Claim (2) for (c) in Proposition 2.C.8. That Γ is a lattice is a standard fact in the theory of measures on LC-groups; see e.g. [Ragh–72, Chapter 1]. Recall that, for every discrete subgroup Γ of G, there exists a *semi-invariant* Borel measure μ on G/Γ, i.e. a measure for which there exists a continuous homomorphism $\chi : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^\times$ such that $\mu(gB) = \chi(g)\mu(B)$ for all $g \in G$ and Borel subset B in G/Γ. Moreover, if $\mu(G/\Gamma) < \infty$, then $\chi(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

(2) & (3) This is contained in Proposition 4.C.11. □

Remark 5.C.4. In complement to (2) of the previous proposition, let us mention that, in connected Lie groups, *all lattices* are finitely generated, indeed finitely presented. For uniform lattices, it is rather straightforward: proposition above for finite generation and Corollary 8.A.5 for finite presentation. For non-uniform lattices, there is no short proof available; we refer to the discussion in [Harp–00, Item V.20].

This does not carry over to LC-groups. Consider for example an integer $n \geq 2$ and the group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q[t])$, isomorphic to the non-uniform lattice $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q[t^{-1}])$ in the

compactly generated (indeed compactly presented) LC-group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q(\!(t)\!))$. If $n = 2$, then $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q[t])$ is not finitely generated; see Exercice 3 of § II.1.6 in [Serr–77, Page 212].

If $n = 3$, then $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q[t])$ is finitely generated and not finitely presented [Behr–79]. If $n \geq 4$, then $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q[t])$ is finitely presented [ReSo–76, Page 184].

Proposition 5.C.5. *Let G be an LC-group which has lattices.*

(1) G is unimodular
(2) Assume moreover that G is a connected Lie group. Then the group of inner automorphismes of G is closed in the group of all automorphisms of G (see 2.C.10).

References for a proof. For (1), see for example [Ragh–72, Remark 1.9]. For (2), see [GaGo–66, Theorem 2]. □

Remark 5.C.6. The conditions of the previous proposition are not sufficient for G to have a lattice.

Concerning (1), there are connected Lie groups that are nilpotent (and therefore unimodular) and without lattices; see Example 5.C.7(3) below.

Concerning (2), there is in the Appendix of [GaGo–66] an example of a four-dimensional solvable connected Lie group of type $H = \mathbf{R}^3 \rtimes \mathbf{R}$ that has the following three properties: H is unimodular, the group of inner automorphisms of H is closed in $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$, and H does not have any lattice.

Example 5.C.7. (1) Here are some examples among the easiest to check: $\mathbf{Z}^n$ is a uniform lattice in $\mathbf{R}^n$ for every $n \geq 1$; in particular, the additive group of the Gaussian integers $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ is a uniform lattice in $\mathbf{C}$. The infinite cyclic group $2^{\mathbf{Z}}$ a uniform lattice in $\mathbf{C}^\times$.

Let p be a prime. The additive group $\mathbf{Q}_p$ has no lattice; indeed[1] $\mathbf{Q}_p$ has no discrete subgroup other than $\{0\}$. The multiplicative group $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ has cocompact lattices, for example $p^{\mathbf{Z}}$. Let q be a prime power. The ring of polynomials $\mathbf{F}_q[t^{-1}]$ is a lattice in the local field $\mathbf{F}_q(\!(t)\!)$ of Laurent series with coefficients in $\mathbf{F}_q$.

(2) A compactly generated LCA-group A has a cocompact lattice that is a finitely generated free abelian group. More precisely, if $A = \mathbf{R}^\ell \times \mathbf{Z}^m \times K$ as in Example 5.A.3(2), then $\mathbf{Z}^{\ell+m}$ is a cocompact lattice in A.

An LCA-group that has a lattice need not be compactly generated. See Example 5.C.10(2).

(3) In a nilpotent LC-group, finite covolume closed subgroups are necessarily cocompact. In particular, lattices are necessarily uniform [BeQu–14, Lemma 3.3]. The standard particular case of lattices in nilpotent *Lie* groups is covered by:

Lattices in solvable Lie groups with countably many connected components are necessarily uniform. This is a result of Mostow, from 1957 [Ragh–72, Theorem 3.1].

[1] The meaning of "lattice" here should not be confused with different notions, used in different contexts. For example, in a finite-dimensional vector space V over $\mathbf{Q}_p$, the $\mathbf{Z}_p$-span of a basis of V is also called a lattice.

(4) A simply connected nilpotent real Lie group G has uniform lattices if and only if its Lie algebra has a basis with respect to which the constants of structure are in $\mathbf{Q}$. This is a result of Malcev, from 1951 [Ragh–72, Theorem 2.12].

For example, the discrete Heisenberg group $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a uniform lattice in the real Heisenberg group $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{R} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbf{R} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

(5) For every integer $n \geq 2$, the group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ is a non-uniform lattice in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$. The result is due to Minkowski [Mink–91]; see [Bore–69, théorème 1.4 and lemme 1.11].

(6) There are groups with non-uniform lattices and without uniform lattices. For example, consider an integer $n \geq 2$ and the natural semi-direct product $G = \mathbf{R}^n \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$. On the one hand, $\mathbf{Z}^n \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ is a non-uniform lattice in G. On the other hand, G does not have any uniform lattice, as we check now.

Let Γ be a lattice in G. Let $\pi : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ denotes the canonical projection on the quotient of G by its solvable radical $\mathbf{R}^n$. Then $\pi(\Gamma)$ is a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, by a theorem of Wang, see [Ragh–72, Corollary 8.27]. If Γ was uniform, then $\Gamma \cap \mathbf{R}^n$ would be a lattice in $\mathbf{R}^n$ by Theorem 1 of [Ausl–63]; upon conjugating it in G, one could therefore assume that $\Gamma \cap \mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{Z}^n$; since $\pi(\Gamma)$ would have to normalize $\mathbf{Z}^n$, it would be a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}^n)$, and this is impossible if Γ is uniform.

Other LC-groups with non-uniform lattices and without uniform lattices are alluded to in Example 5.C.10(1).

(7) In every dimension $n \geq 3$, there are uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic simply connected real Lie groups, but only countably many of them have lattices [Wink–97].

(8) There exist solvable LC-groups with non-uniform lattices. The following example is due to Bader, Caprace, Gelander, and Mozes; see [BeQu–14, Example 3.5] and [Gela, Example 4.3].

For a prime p, denote by $\mathbf{F}_p$ the additive group of the field of order p and by $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$ the multiplicative group of this field, which is cyclic of order $p-1$. Let S be an infinite set of primes such that $\sum_{p \in S} p^{-1} < \infty$. Consider the countable abelian group $A = \bigoplus_{p \in S} \mathbf{F}_p$, the compact group $K = \prod_{p \in S} \mathbf{F}_p^\times$, which is naturally a group of automorphisms of A, and the metabelian LC-group $G = A \rtimes K$, in which A is discrete and K compact open.

For $p \in S$, choose a generator s_p of the multiplicative group $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$. Let H_p denote the cyclic subgroup $\{(1 - s_p^j, s_p^j)\}_{j=0,1,\dots,p-2}$ of $\mathbf{F}_p \rtimes \mathbf{F}_p^\times$, generated by $(1 - s_p, s_p)$. Let $a_p \in A$ be the element with p^{th} coordinate $1 - s_p$ and other coordinates 0, and let $k_p \in K$ be the element with p^{th} coordinate s_p and other coordinates 1. Set $h_p = (a_p, k_p) \in A \rtimes K = G$; let H be the subgroup of G generated by $\{h_p\}_{p \in S}$. Then H is a non-uniform lattice in G, as we are going to show.

Note first that H is a discrete subgroup of G, because the intersection of H with the compact open subgroup K is trivial.

To show that H is not cocompact in G, consider the set $\mathcal{I}$ of all finite subsets of S. For $I \in \mathcal{I}$, consider the subgroup $A_I = \bigoplus_{p\in I} \mathbf{F}_p$ of A and the subgroup $G_I = A_I \rtimes K$ of G. Then A_I is finite, of order $\prod_{p\in I} p$, and G_I is compact open in G, because it is a finite union of K-cosets in G. We have $G_I \subset G_J$ whenever $I \subset J$, and the family $(G_I)_{I\in\mathcal{I}}$ is a covering of G. It follows that any compact subset of G is contained in some G_I (in particular, with the terminology of Definition 4.D.1, G is locally elliptic). Let π denote the canonical projection of G onto the homogeneous space G/H. If G/H were compact, there would exist a compact subset L of G such that $\pi(L) = G/H$ (Lemma 2.C.9), hence some $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\pi(G_I) = G/H$; since this is not true, G/H is not compact.

Denote by μ the Haar measure on G normalized by $\mu(K) = 1$. We claim that μ induces a measure $\mu_{G/H}$ on G/H which is G-invariant and finite. For $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the restriction μ_I of μ to G_I is the Haar measure on G_I for which K is of measure 1, and $\mu_I(G_i) = |A_I| = \prod_{p\in I} p$. Consider the subgrooup $H_I = H \cap G_I = \langle h_p \mid p \in I\rangle$ of G_I. Since H_I is finite of order $\prod_{p\in I}(p-1)$, the measure μ_I induces on G_I/H_I a finite G_I-invariant measure of total mass $\prod_{p\in I} \frac{p}{p-1} = \prod_{p\in I}(1+\frac{1}{p-1})$. Since G_I is open in G, the space G_I/H_I can be identifed with an open subspace of G/H. For $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ with $I \subset J$, we have $G_I/H_I \subset G_J/H_J$, and $G/H = \bigcup_{I\in\mathcal{I}} G_I/H_I$. We use only now the condition $\sum_{p\in S} p^{-1} < \infty$ to conclude that $\mu_{G/H}(G/H) = \prod_{p\in S}(1+\frac{1}{p-1})$ is finite.

Remark 5.C.8 (lattices in discrete groups)**.** (1) Inside a discrete group, a subgroup is a lattice if and only if it is of finite index. These lattices are uniform.

(2) There are finitely generated groups without non-trivial finite index subgroups, for example finitely generated infinite simple groups [Higm–51].

(3) Let Δ be a finitely generated group, say generated by n elements, and Γ a finite index subgroup, say of index j. Then Γ is finitely generated; this is a particular case of the non-trivial implication of Proposition 4.C.11(2). This can also be established by the so-called Reidemeister-Schreier method, which provides for Γ a set of $n(j-1)+1$ generators. See [MaKS–66, Corollary 2.7.1 and Theorem 2.10], and also [MaSw–59].

Example 5.C.9 (lattices in semisimple and reductive Lie groups)**.** (1) Let G be a semisimple real Lie group with a finite number of connected components. Then G contains lattices [BoHa–62]. More precisely, G contains cocompact lattices ([Bore–63], annouced in [BoHa–61]), and Borel's arguments show also that G contains non-cocompact lattices [Ragh–72, Theorem 14.1].

The archetypical example of a lattice in a simple Lie group is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, already cited in 5.C.7(5).

(2) The simple real Lie group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ contains as uniform lattices fundamental groups of closed surfaces of genus at least 2 (and this in many ways, by the uniformization theory for Riemann surfaces). Let Γ be such a lattice, and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ its inverse image in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. The reductive real Lie group $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbf{R})$, where the "+" indicates matrices of positive determinants, or equivalently the unit component of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$,

has uniform lattices of the form $\widetilde{\Gamma} \times 2^{\mathbf{Z}}$, because the map

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R}_+^\times \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \\ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, t \right) \longmapsto & \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{t} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{t} \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism of Lie groups.

(3) The group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ can be seen as a simple complex Lie group, and also as a simple real Lie group by "restriction of scalars". It contains as uniform lattices fundamental groups of compact hyperbolic 3-manifolds. The map

$$\iota : \begin{cases} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{C}^\times \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{C}) \\ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, t \right) \longmapsto & \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

is an epimorphism of Lie groups with kernel of order 2. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ be a uniform lattice, say the inverse image of a uniform lattice in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$. Then $\iota\left(\Gamma \times 2^{\mathbf{Z}}\right)$ is a uniform lattice in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{C})$.

Example 5.C.10 (lattices in algebraic groups over other fields)**.** (1) Let G be an algebraic group defined over a local field of characteristic 0; if G has a lattice, then G is reductive and the lattice is uniform [Tama–65]. The following converse is a result of Borel and Harder: every reductive group over a local field of characteristic zero contains a uniform lattice [BoHa–78].

In finite characteristic, some reductive groups have uniform lattices and others do not. We refer to the discussion in [Marg–91, Remark IX.1.6(viii) Page 295, and Pages 316–317].

(2) For the diagonal embedding, $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]$ is a cocompact lattice in $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_p$, as we check now.

On the one hand, we have $\mathbf{Z}[1/p] \cap \mathbf{Z}_p = \mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{Q}_p$ and $\mathbf{Z} \cap]-1, 1[= \{0\} \subset \mathbf{R}$. Hence $]-1, 1[\times \mathbf{Z}_p$, which is an open neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$ in $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_p$, intersects $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]$ in the singleton $\{(0, 0)\}$. This shows that $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]$ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_p$.

On the other hand, consider $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_p$. Write $y = [y] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i p^{-i}$, with $[y] \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $n \geq 0$, and $y_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Set $z = k + \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i p^{-i} \in \mathbf{Z}[1/p]$, with $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $|x - z| \leq 1/2$; observe that $y - z \in \mathbf{Z}_p$. Hence $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_p = \bigcup_{z \in \mathbf{Z}[1/p]} z + ([-1/2, 1/2] \times \mathbf{Z}_p)$. This shows that $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]$ is cocompact in $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_p$.

The quotient $S_p := (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_p)/\mathbf{Z}[1/p]$ is an abelian compact group known as the **p-adic solenoid**. It can also be seen as a projective limit $\varprojlim \mathbf{R}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$. There is a short exact sequence $\mathbf{Z}_p \hookrightarrow S_p \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, and S_p is a covering of a circle with $\mathbf{Z}_p$ fibers. The compact group S_p contains both a dense subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$ (it follows that S_p is connected) and a dense subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Note that S_p is an LCA-group that is connected and not locally connected. On S_p, see for example [Robe–00, Appendix to Chapter 1].

Similarly, $\mathbf{Q}$ is a cocompact lattice in $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, where $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ denotes the adeles of $\mathbf{Q}$; see [Weil–67, Chapter IV, § 2].

(3) We describe other examples of lattices in products of algebraic groups defined over different fields; compare with the simple one given in § 1.B. Consider an integer $\lambda \geq 2$, and the prime divisors $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ of λ. The direct product $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{R} \times \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{Q}_{p_j}$ is an abelian locally compact group, indeed a locally compact ring. Let α_λ be the automorphisms of $\mathbf{A}$ that is multiplication by λ in each factor of the product. Let $\mathbf{A} \rtimes_\lambda \mathbf{Z}$ be the semidirect product, with respect to the action for which the generator 1 of $\mathbf{Z}$ acts on $\mathbf{A}$ by α_λ. It is an easy exercise to check that the Haar measure on $\mathbf{A}$ is invariant by α_λ (that on $\mathbf{R}$ is multiplied by λ, and that on each $\mathbf{Q}_{p_j}$ is divided by the appropriate power of p_j), so that the LC-group $\mathbf{A} \rtimes_\lambda \mathbf{Z}$ is unimodular.

The solvable Baumslag-Solitar group $\mathrm{BS}(1, \lambda) = \mathbf{Z}[1/a] \rtimes_\lambda \mathbf{Z}$ is naturally a cocompact lattice in $\mathbf{A} \rtimes_\lambda \mathbf{Z}$.

(4) The group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z}[1/p])$ is naturally a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, which is not cocompact.

Example 5.C.11 (tree lattices)**.** Let X be a locally finite tree; let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ denote its automorphism group, with its natural separable locally compact topology, as in Example 2.E.4 above. Then G contains uniform lattices if and only if G is unimodular and the graph $G\backslash X_{\mathrm{bary}}$ is finite. (Here, X_{bary} denotes the barycentric subdivision of X; the point is that G naturally acts on X_{bary} with quotient a graph, whereas $G\backslash X$ need not be a graph in the strict sense.) Suppose that G contains uniform lattices; then any such lattice is virtually free (i.e. has finitely generated free subgroups of finite index), and two such lattices Γ_1, Γ_2 are commensurable (i.e. there exists $g \in G$ such that $\Gamma_1 \cap g\Gamma_2 g^{-1}$ is of finite index in both Γ_1 and Γ_2). See [BaKu–90].

Among trees for which G has uniform lattices, there exist trees for which G has non-uniform lattices and trees for which G has none [BaLu–01].

Remark 5.C.12. There are simple LC-group without any lattice [BCGM–12].

Chapter 6

Simple connectedness in the metric coarse category

6.A Coarsely simply connected pseudo-metric spaces

Our first definition provides a coarse notion of simple connectedness, as Definition 3.B.1 provides coarse notions of connectedness. For a definition with less numerical constants, see [HiPR–97, Section 11].

Definition 6.A.1. Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space. Let $c > 0$ be a constant. Recall that c-paths in X have been defined (3.B.1), and that a c-path is a c'-path for every $c' \geq c$.

Two c-paths $\xi = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $\eta = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ in X are **c-elementarily homotopic** if they have the same origin, $x_0 = y_0$, the same end, $x_m = y_n$, and if one path can be obtained from the other by inserting one new point; the last condition means more precisely that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{either } n = m+1 \text{ and } (y_0, \dots, y_n) = (x_0, \dots, x_i, y_{i+1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m), \\ &\text{or } n+1 = m \text{ and } (x_0, \dots, x_m) = (y_0, \dots, y_i, x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n), \end{aligned}$$

for some i. Two c-paths ξ, η are **c-homotopic** if there exists a sequence of c-paths $(\xi_0 = \xi, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell = \eta)$ such that ξ_{j-1} and ξ_j are c-elementarily homotopic for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Let x_0 be a point in X. A **c-loop in X at** x_0 is a c-path that starts and ends at x_0.

Examples of c'-homotopic paths are provided by

Proposition 6.A.2 (c-near c-paths are $2c$-homotopic)**.** *Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space, $c > 0$ a constant, and $\xi = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$, $\eta = (y_0, \dots, y_n)$ two c-paths with the same number of steps from $x_0 = y_0$ to $x_n = y_n$ in X.*

If $d(x_i, y_i) \leq c$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, then ξ and η are $2c$-homotopic.

Proof. Inspection shows that each of the following is a $2c$-path of n or $n+1$ steps

in X

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \xi & = & \xi_0 & = & (y_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \\ & & \xi_1 & = & (y_0, y_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \\ & & \xi_2 & = & (y_0, y_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \\ & & & \vdots & \\ & & \xi_{2n-4} & = & (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ & & \xi_{2n-3} & = & (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ \eta & = & \xi_{2n-2} & = & (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, x_n) \end{array}$$

and that ξ_{j-1} is $2c$-elementarily homotopic to ξ_j for $j = 1, \dots, 2n-2$. □

Definition 6.A.3. Consider a pseudo-metric space X with a point $x_0 \in X$. For constants $c'' \geq c' > 0$, define the property

(SC(c', c'')) any c'-loop in X at x_0 is c''-homotopic to the trivial loop (x_0).

Remark 6.A.4. (1) For constants c, c', c'' with $c'' \geq c' \geq c > 0$ and a c-coarsely connected space X, observe that Property (SC(c', c'')) holds for one choice of x_0 if and only if it holds for any other choice of a base point in X. In the following definitions, the base point will not be mentioned at all.

(2) Consider moreover constants C', C'' with $c'' \geq C'' \geq C' \geq c'$. Then Property (SC$(C', C'')$) implies Property (SC$(c', c'')$).

Definition 6.A.5. For $c > 0$, a non-empty pseudo-metric space X is **c-coarsely simply connected** if X is c-coarsely connected and, for all $c' \geq c$, there exists $c'' \geq c'$ such that X has Property (SC(c', c'')).

The space X is **coarsely simply connected** if it is c-coarsely simply connected for some $c > 0$ (equivalently for all c large enough).

Remark 6.A.6. (1) Let c, C be two constants with $C \geq c > 0$ and X a c-coarsely connected non-empty pseudo-metric space. Then X is c-coarsely simply connected if and only if X is C-coarsely simply connected.

(2) Coarse connectedness is defined for pseudo-metric spaces, and coarse simple connectedness for pseudo-metric spaces with base-points. Thus, an empty pseudo-metric space is coarsely connected, but simple coarse connectedness does not make sense for it. Similarly, an empty topological space is connected, but simple connectedness does not make sense for it.

The following straightforward proposition is the analogue here of Proposition 3.B.6(1) for coarse connectedness.

Proposition 6.A.7. *For pseudo-metric spaces, the property of being coarsely simply connected is invariant by metric coarse equivalence.*

Example 6.A.8. Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space, with base-point x_0. Let $\xi = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be a c-loop, with $x_n = x_0$, for some $c > 0$. Set

$$c' = \max\{c,\ d(x_i, x_j), 0 \leq i, j \leq n\}.$$

Then ξ and the trivial loop (x_0) are c'-homotopic c-loops.

It follows that a non-empty pseudo-metric space of finite diameter is coarsely simply connected.

Example 6.A.9. (1) Let $C_R = (Re^{2i\pi t})_{0\leq t\leq 1}$ be the circle of radius $R > 0$ in the complex plane, with the metric induced by the Euclidean metric of the plane; thus the diameter of C_R is $2R$.

Claim. Consider a constant $c > 0$ and the c-loop

$$\xi \;=\; (R, Re^{2i\pi(1/m)}, Re^{2i\pi(2/m)}, \dots, Re^{2i\pi((m-1)/m)}, R)$$

in C_R at the point R, with m large enough so that $|Re^{2i\pi(1/m)} - R| < c$. If ξ is c-homotopic to the constant loop (R), then $c \geq \sqrt{3}R$.

To prove the claim, we define as follows a rudimentary kind of rotation number $\rho(\cdot)$ for "discrete loops" in the circle C_R. Define three disjoint half-open circular arcs

$$A_\alpha \;=\; \left[Re^{2i\pi\frac{\alpha}{3}}, Re^{2i\pi\frac{\alpha+1}{3}}\right[\subset C_R, \;\; \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z},$$

each of length $\frac{2}{3}\pi R$. For a "discrete loop" $\eta = (\eta_j)_{j\in\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}}$, with $\eta_j \in C_R$ for $j \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$, let

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}(\eta) \;=\; \#\{j \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \mid \eta_j \in A_\alpha, \eta_{j+1} \in A_\beta\}$$

denote the number of steps of η from A_α to A_β, and define

$$\rho(\eta) \;=\; \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}} \left(T_{\alpha,\alpha+1}(\eta) - T_{\alpha+1,\alpha}(\eta)\right).$$

For example, if ξ is as above with $m \geq 3$, then $\rho(\xi) = 3$; if $\xi_0 = (R, R, \dots, R)$ is a constant loop with m steps, then $\rho(\xi_0) = 0$.

Let c be a constant, $0 < c < \sqrt{3}R$. Let η, η' be two c-loops in C_R at R. Suppose that η and η' are c-elementarily homotopic, say

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \;&=\; (y_0, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_0), \\ \eta' \;&=\; (y_0, \dots, y_i, y', y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$ be defined by $y_i \in A_\alpha$, $y' \in A_\beta$, $y_{i+1} \in A_\gamma$. Since $|y_{i+1} - y'|$, $|y' - y_i|$, $|y_{i+1} - y_i|$ are all strictly smaller than $\sqrt{3}R$, elementary geometry shows that the indices α, β, γ are not all distinct; it follows that $\rho(\eta) = \rho(\eta')$. Hence, more generally, if η and η' are c-homotopic, then $\rho(\eta) = \rho(\eta')$.

Since $\rho(\xi) = 3 \neq 0 = \rho(\xi_0)$, the loop ξ cannot be c-homotopic to ξ_0, and the claim is proved.

(2) Consider now C_R as a metric space for itself (*not* as a subspace of the plane). Denote by x_R the base-point R. Let $X := \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty C_n$ be the space obtained from the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty C_n$ by identifying the base-points; thus X is an infinite wedge of larger and larger circles. For $m \neq n$, the distance between two points $x \in C_m \subset X$ and $x' \in C_n \subset X$ is the sum $d(x, x_R) + d(x_R, x')$. It follows from (1) that X is not coarsely simply connected. Of course, any finite wedge, for example $X_N := \bigvee_{i=1}^N C_n$, is coarsely simply connected (Example 6.A.8).

(3) The notation being as in (2), let C'_R be the complement in C_R of the point $-R$. The wedge $Y = \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty C'_n$ is contractible; note that it is not coarsely simply connected, for example because the inclusion $Y \subset X$ is a quasi-isometry. This shows that

- **a simply connected metric space need not be coarsely simply connected.**

Conversely, a connected coarsely simply connected metric space need not be simply connected (examples X_N of (2)).

The next proposition shows that, for geodesic spaces, simple connectedness does imply coarse simple connectedness. For c-geodesic spaces, see Proposition 6.C.4 below.

Proposition 6.A.10. *Let X be a geodesic non-empty metric space. If X is simply connected, then X is coarsely simply connected.*

Proof. Choose a constant $c' > 0$. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\xi = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = x_0)$ be a c'-loop in X at x_0. Set $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_{i-1}, x_i)$; since X is geodesic, there exists a speed one continuous loop $\varphi : [0, L] \longrightarrow X$ and a sequence of real numbers $(s_i)_{0 \leq i \leq N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &0 = s_0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_N = L, \\ &\varphi(s_i) \;=\; x_i \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n, \text{ in particular } \varphi(0) = \varphi(L) = x_0, \\ &|s_i - s_{i-1}| \;=\; d(x_i, x_{i-1}) \;\leq\; c' \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, . \end{aligned}$$

Since X is simply connected, there exists a continuous homotopy $H : [0, L] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} H(s,0) = \varphi(s) \text{ and } H(s,1) = x_0 \quad &\text{for all} \quad s \in [0, L], \\ H(0,t) = H(L,t) = x_0 \qquad\quad &\text{for all} \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

By uniform continuity, there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ such that

$$|H(s,t) - H(s',t')| \;\leq\; c' \text{ whenever } |s - s'| \leq \frac{L}{N} \text{ and } |t - t'| \leq \frac{1}{N}.$$

There exist a sequence of real numbers $(r_h)_{0 \leq h \leq M}$, with $0 = r_0 \leq r_1 \leq \cdots \leq r_M = L$, such that $|r_h - r_{h-1}| \leq L/N$ for all $h = 1, \dots, M$, and such that $(s_i)_{0 \leq i \leq N}$ is a subsequence of $(r_h)_{0 \leq h \leq M}$.

For $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, define ξ_j to be the sequence $(H(r_h, j/N))_{h=0,1,\dots,M}$. In particular, ξ_0 is obtained by adding new points in between those of ξ along the image of φ ; it follows that ξ and ξ_0 are c'-homotopic. By Proposition 6.A.2, the c'-loops ξ_0 and ξ_N are $2c'$-homotopic. Since ξ_N is c'-homotopic to the trivial loop (x_0), this ends the proof. □

Definition 6.A.11. Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space. A subspace Y of X is a **coarse retract** of X if there exists a **coarse retraction from X to Y**, meaning a coarsely Lipschitz map $r : X \longrightarrow Y$ such that $r(y) = y$ for all $y \in Y$.

Example 6.A.12. Let H, N be two σ-compact LC-groups, α a continuous action of H on N by topological automorphisms, and $G = N \rtimes_\alpha H$ the corresponding semidirect product. Consider G as a metric space, for some adapted metric d; observe that the restriction of d to H (identified with $\{1\} \times H$) is an adapted metric.

Then the canonical projection is a coarse retraction from G to H.

Proposition 6.A.13. *Let (X, d) be a pseudo-metric space and $Y \subset X$ a coarse retract of X.*

(1) If X is coarsely connected, so is Y.
(2) Assume that X and Y are non-empty. If X is coarsely simply connected, so is Y.

Proof. Let $r : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a coarse retraction. Let Φ be an upper control (Definition 3.A.1) such that $d_Y(r(x), r(x')) \leq \Phi(d_X(x, x'))$ for all $x, x' \in X$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\Phi(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

(1) If $c > 0$ is a constant such that X is c-coarsely connected, then Y is $\Phi(c)$-coarsely connected.

(2) Choose a base-point $y_0 \in Y$, a constant c such that X is c-coarsely connected, and a constant $c' \geq c$. Consider a $\Phi(c')$-loop η in Y at y_0. By hypothesis on X, there exist $k'' \geq \Phi(c')$ and a sequence $\xi_0 = \eta, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\ell = (y_0)$ of k''-loops in X at y_0 such that ξ_{j-1} and ξ_j are k''-elementarily homotopic for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Then $r(\xi_0) = \eta, r(\xi_1), \ldots, r(\xi_\ell) = (y_0)$ is a sequence of $\Phi(k'')$-loops in Y at y_0 such that $r(\xi_{j-1})$ and $r(\xi_j)$ are $\Phi(k'')$-elementarily homotopic for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. This shows that Y has Property $(\mathrm{SC}(\Phi(c'), \Phi(k'')))$. Hence Y is coarsely simply connected. □

6.B On simplicial complexes

We begin with some generalities on simplicial complexes and loops in them.

For a **simplicial complex** X, we denote by $X^0 \subset X^1 \subset X^2 \subset \cdots$ the nested sequence of its skeleta and by X_{top} its **geometric realization**, which is a Hausdorff topological space obtained inductively by attaching cells of dimension $1, 2, \ldots$ on X^0. A **graph** is a simplicial complex of dimension 1; it has simplices of dimensions 0 and 1 only (as in Example 2.A.13). We use "vertex" for "0-cell" and "edge" for "1-cell".

Definition 6.B.1. Let X be a simplicial complex. A **combinatorial path** in X from a vertex x to a vertex y is a sequence of oriented edges

$$\xi = \big((x_0, x_1), (x_1, x_2), \ldots, (x_{n-1}, x_m)\big)$$

with $x_0 = x$ and $x_m = y$; such a path is denoted by the sequence of vertices

$$\xi = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m).$$

The **inverse path** is the path $\xi^{-1} = (x_m, x_{m-1}, \ldots, x_0)$. The **product** of two combinatorial paths $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ and $(y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ is defined when $x_m = y_0$, and is then $(x_0, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$. A **combinatorial loop** in X based at some vertex $x_0 \in X$ is a combinatorial path from x_0 to x_0.

On sets of combinatorial paths with fixed extremities, we define now two equivalence relations.

Two combinatorial paths in X from x to y are **elementarily graph homotopic** if they are of the form

$$(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \text{ and } (x_0, \ldots, x_i, u, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n), \text{ with } x_0 = x,\ x_n = y,$$

where (x_i, u) is an edge of X (so that (u, x_i) is the opposite edge). Two combinatorial paths ξ, ξ' in X from x to y are **graph homotopic** if there exists a sequence $\xi_0 = \xi, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\ell = \xi'$ of combinatorial paths from x to y such that ξ_{j-1} and ξ_j are elementarily graph homotopic for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Observe that, for this relation, combinatorial paths can be viewed indifferently in X or in X^1.

Two combinatorial paths in X from x to y are **triangle homotopic** if they are of the form

$$(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \text{ and } (x_0, \ldots, x_i, u, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n), \text{ with } x_0 = x,\ x_n = y,$$

where $\{x_i, u, x_{i+1}\}$ is a 2-simplex in X. Two combinatorial paths ξ, ξ' in X from x to y are **combinatorially homotopic** if there exists a sequence $\xi_0 = \xi, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\ell = \xi'$ of combinatorial paths from x to y such that ξ_{j-1} and ξ_j are either elementarily graph homotopic or triangle homotopic, for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Observe that, for this relation, combinatorial paths can be viewed indifferently in X or in X^2.

Combinatorial homotopy between combinatorial paths is an equivalence relation that is compatible with inverses and products; in other words, combinatorial homotopy classes of combinatorial paths are the elements of a groupoid. More precisely, let ξ, ξ', η, η' be combinatorial paths such that the product $\xi\eta$ is defined, ξ is combinatorially homotopic to ξ', and η is combinatorially homotopic to η'; then ξ^{-1} is combinatorially homotopic to ξ'^{-1}, and $\xi'\eta'$ is defined and combinatorially homotopic to $\xi\eta$.

Definition 6.B.2. Let X be a simplicial complex. Let $\xi = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a combinatorial path in X. The **topological realization** of ξ is a continuous path $\xi_{\text{top}} : I \longrightarrow X_{\text{top}}$ with origin x_0 and end x_n, well-defined up to reparameterization, where $I = [t_0, t_n] = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} [t_{j-1}, t_j]$ is an interval of the real line made up of n subintervals with disjoint interiors, and where ξ_{top} maps successively $[t_0, t_1]$ onto the edge of ξ from x_0 to x_1, ..., and $[t_{n-1}, t_n]$ onto the edge of ξ from x_{n-1} to x_n.

Lemma 6.B.3. *Let X be a connected simplicial complex, given with a base-point $x_0 \in X^0$.*

(1) A loop in X_{top} based at x_0 is homotopic to the topological realization of a combinatorial loop based at x_0.

(2) Let ξ, ξ' be combinatorial paths, and $\xi_{\text{top}}, \xi'_{\text{top}}$ their topological realizations. Then ξ_{top} and ξ'_{top} are homotopic in the topological sense if and only if ξ and ξ' are combinatorially homotopic.

Proof. The reader can check it as an exercise, or refer to [Span–66, Chap.3, Sec.6, Lemma 12 and Theorem 16]. □

Lemma 6.B.4. *Let X be a connected simplicial complex, and $x_0 \in X^0$. Let $\xi = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a combinatorial loop, with $x_n = x_0$. Suppose that ξ_{top} is homotopically trivial as a loop in X_{top} based at x_0.*

Then ξ is combinatorially homotopic to a combinatorial loop $\prod_{j=1}^{N} u_j r_j u_j^{-1}$, where u_j is a combinatorial path from x_0 to some vertex $z_j \in X^0$, and r_j is a combinatorial loop based at z_j, such that all vertices of r_j belong to a common 2-simplex in X, and such that the length of r_j is 3, i.e. r_j is of the form (z_j, z'_j, z''_j, z_j) for some 2-simplex $\{z_j, z'_j, z''_j\}$.

Proof. Consider two triangle homotopic combinatorial loops of the form

$$\eta = (x_0, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{k-1}, x_0)$$
$$\eta' = (x_0, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{k-1}, x_0),$$

where $\{y_{i-1}, y_i, y_{i+1}\}$ is a 2-simplex of X. Set

$$u = (x_0, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1})$$
$$r = (y_{i+1}, y_{i-1}, y_i, y_{i+1}).$$

Then η is elementarily graph homotopic to $uru^{-1}\eta'$; similarly, η' is elementarily graph homotopic to $ur^{-1}u^{-1}\eta$.

By hypothesis and Lemma 6.B.3, there exists a sequence $\xi_0 = \xi, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell = (x_0)$ of combinatorial loops such that, for $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, the loops ξ_{j-1} and ξ_j are either elementarily graph homotopic (say for M of the j 's), or triangle homotopic (say for N of the j 's, with $M + N = \ell$). Applying N times the argument written above for η and η', we obtain the conclusion. □

Proposition 6.B.5. *Let X be a connected simplicial complex.*

On the geometric realization Z of the 2-skeleton X^2 of X, there exists a unique ***combinatorial metric*** *d_2 that makes each edge of Z an interval of length 1, each 2-cell of Z a Euclidean equilateral triangle of side-length 1, and such that (Z, d_2) is a complete geodesic space.*

If d_1 denotes the combinatorial metric on the geometric realization Y of X^1 (Example 2.A.13), the inclusion $(Y, d_1) \subset (Z, d_2)$ is a quasi-isometry.

Note. If X is a non-connected simplicial complex, the same construction yields a **combinatorial écart** on the geometric realization of X^2 (see Definition 2.A.5).

Proof. We refer to [BrHa–99, Theorem 7.19 and Proposition 7.31]. □

6.C The Rips 2-complex of a pseudo-metric space

Definition 6.C.1. Let (X, d) be a non-empty pseudo-metric space; let $c > 0$ be a constant. The *Rips simplicial 2-complex* $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X, d)$ is the simplicial complex of dimension 2 with X as set of vertices, pairs (x, y) of distinct points of X with $d(x, y) \leq c$ as set of oriented edges, and triples (x, y, z) of distinct points of X with mutual distances bounded by c, up to cyclic permutations, as set of oriented 2-simplices.

The **Rips 2-complex** is the geometric realization of this complex, denoted again by $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X, d)$, endowed with the combinatorial écart d_2 of Proposition 6.B.5. Note that any connected component of $\big(\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X, d), d_2\big)$ is a complete geodesic space.

Whenever convenient, we write $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ for $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X, d)$.

Rips complexes are usually defined as complexes of unbounded dimension; but our restriction to dimension 2 will suffice below, because we are not interested here in coarse analogues of connectedness conditions $\pi_j(-) = \{0\}$ for $j \geq 2$. Complexes $\mathrm{Rips}_c(-)$ have been used by Rips and Gromov in the context of hyperbolic groups [Grom–87, Section 1.7], but the idea goes back to Vietoris [Viet–27, Haus–95].

Proposition 6.C.2 (on the inclusion of X in its Rips complex)**.** *Let X be a non-empty pseudo-metric space, with a base-point x_0, and $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ its Rips 2-complex. For a constant $c > 0$:*

(1) X is c-coarsely connected if and only if $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ is connected;
(2) X is c-coarsely geodesic if and only if the natural inclusion $X \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ is a metric coarse equivalence;
(3) X is c-large-scale geodesic if and only if the natural inclusion $X \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ is a quasi-isometry.

For two constants $c'' \geq c' > 0$, there is a canonical inclusion $j : \mathrm{Rips}_{c'}^2(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Rips}_{c''}^2(X)$, which is the identity on the 0-skeleta. We have:

(4) if $\mathrm{Rips}_{c'}^2(X)$ is connected, so is $\mathrm{Rips}_{c''}^2(X)$;
(5) the space X has Property $(\mathrm{SC}(c', c''))$ of Definition 6.A.3 if and only if the homomorphism of fundamental groups $j_* : \pi_1(\mathrm{Rips}_{c'}^2(X)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{Rips}_{c''}^2(X))$ is trivial.

Proof. Claims (1) to (4) are straightforward consequences of the definitions. Let us check Claim (5).

Assume first that X has Property $(\mathrm{SC}(c', c''))$. Consider $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Rips}_{c'}^2(X))$. By Lemma 6.B.3(1), γ can be represented by a combinatorial loop based at x_0, and the latter defines a c'-loop in X at x_0, say ξ. Since X has Property $(\mathrm{SC}(c', c''))$, there is a c''-homotopy from this c'-loop ξ to the trivial loop (x_0). This c''-homotopy provides a combinatorial homotopy from ξ viewed as a combinatorial loop in $\mathrm{Rips}_{c''}^2(X)$ to the trivial loop. Hence $j_*(\gamma) = 1 \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Rips}_{c''}^2(X))$.

Assume, conversely, that j_* has trivial image. Let ξ be a c'-loop in X at x_0. This can be viewed as a combinatorial loop in $\mathrm{Rips}_{c'}^2(X)$. Since the image of j_* is trivial, there is a homotopy from ξ_{top} viewed as a loop in $\mathrm{Rips}_{c''}^2(X)$ to the constant loop. By Lemma 6.B.3(2), there exists a combinatorial homotopy from ξ viewed as a combinatorial loop in $\mathrm{Rips}_{c''}^2(X)$ to the constant loop. The latter combinatorial homotopy provides a c''-homotopy from the c'-loop ξ to the constant loop. Hence X has Property $(\mathrm{SC}(c', c''))$. □

Lemma 6.C.3. *Let c, c', c'' be constants with $c'' \geq c' \geq c > 0$, and X a c-geodesic metric space, with base-point x_0.*

If X has Property $(\mathrm{SC}(c', c''))$, then X has Property $(\mathrm{SC}(c'', c''))$.

Proof. Let $\xi = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m = x_0)$ be a c''-loop in X at x_0. Since X is c'-geodesic, there is a way of inserting new points in between those of ξ to obtain a c'-loop $\eta = (x_0, y_1 \ldots, y_n = x_0)$ that is c''-homotopic to ξ. If X has Property $(\mathrm{SC}(c', c''))$, this loop η is c''-homotopic to the constant loop (x_0). Hence ξ is c''-homotopic to (x_0). The conclusion follows. □

Proposition 6.C.4. *Let X be a non-empty pseudo-metric space, with $x_0 \in X$. Assume that X is c-geodesic, for some $c > 0$. The following three properties are equivalent:*

(i) the space X is c-coarsely simply connected;

(ii) there exists $k \geq c$ such that $\mathrm{Rips}_k^2(X)$ is simply connected;
(iii) there exists $k \geq c$ such that $\mathrm{Rips}_K^2(X)$ is simply connected for all $K \geq k$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Suppose that (i) holds. There exists $k \geq c$ such that X has Property (SC(c, k)). By Lemma 6.C.3, X has also Property (SC(K, K)) for all $K \geq k$. Property (iii) follows from Proposition 6.C.2(5).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that (ii) holds. Since $\mathrm{Rips}_k^2(X)$ is a complete geodesic space, it is coarsely simply connected, by Proposition 6.A.10. Since X is c-geodesic, the inclusion of X in $\mathrm{Rips}_k^2(X)$ is a quasi-isometry, by Proposition 6.C.2(3). Hence X has Property (i), by Proposition 6.A.7. □

Example 6.C.5. In Proposition 6.C.4, the condition on X to be c-geodesic cannot be omitted, as we show here with an example of a metric space which is bilipschitz to $\mathbf{N}$, and such that, for every $c > 0$, the Rips 2-complex $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ is not simply connected.

Let Y be the graph with vertex set

$$\mathrm{Vert}(X) \;=\; \{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \sqcup \Big(\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \{v_{n,1}, v_{n,2}, \ldots, v_{n,n-1}\} \Big)$$

and edge set consisting of

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{one edge } \{u_n, u_{n+1}\} \text{ for all } n \geq 0, \\ &n \text{ edges } \{u_{10^n}, v_{n,1}\}, \{v_{n,1}, v_{n,2}\}, \ldots, \{v_{n,n-1}, u_{10^n+3n}\} \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let d denote the combinatorial metric on Y (Example 2.A.13). The map

$$u \,:\, \mathbf{N} \longrightarrow Y,\;\; n \longmapsto u_n$$

is bilipschitz, with $\frac{1}{3}|m-n| \leq d(u_m, u_n) \leq |m-n|$ for all $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Set $X = u(\mathbf{N})$, with the restriction d of the metric of (Y, d). The metric space (X, d) is large-scale geodesic, because it is quasi-isometric to $\mathbf{N}$. Observe that, for all $n \geq 1$,

- $d(u_{10^n}, u_{10^n+3n}) \;=\; n$,
- the length of the path $(u_{10^n}, u_{10^n+1}, u_{10^n+2}, \ldots, u_{10^n+3n-1}, u_{10^n+3n})$ is $3n$;

hence (X, d) is not geodesic.

Let $c > 0$ be a constant. If $c < 1$, the Rips 2-complex $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ is not connected. Assume $c \geq 1$, so that $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ is connected. Let n be the integer such that $n \leq c < n+1$. In $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$, there is an edge, $\{u_{10^n}, u_{10^n+3n}\}$, that is not contained in any 2-simplex. it follows that a loop in $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ going around

$$u_{10^n},\; u_{10^n+1},\; u_{10^n+2},\; \ldots, u_{10^n+3n},\; u_{10^n}$$

is not homotopic to a constant loop, so that $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X)$ is not simply connected.

Proposition 6.C.6. *Let (X, d) be a non-empty pseudo-metric space, with $x_0 \in X$. Assume that (X, d) is coarsely geodesic. The following properties are equivalent:*

(i) X is coarsely simply connected;
(ii) X is coarsely equivalent to a simply connected geodesic metric space.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that X has Property (i). Since X is coarsely geodesic, there exists a geodesic metric space Z coarsely equivalent to X (Proposition 3.B.6(4)). Since X is coarsely simply connected, so is Z (Proposition 6.A.7). For c large enough, $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(Z)$ is simply connected (Proposition 6.C.4) and the inclusion $Z \subset \mathrm{Rips}_c^2(Z)$ is a metric coarse equivalence (Proposition 6.C.2). It follows that X and the simply connected geodesic metric space $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(Z)$ are coarsely equivalent.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume conversely that X is coarsely equivalent to a simply connected geodesic metric space Y. Then Y is coarsely simply connected (Proposition 6.A.10), and so is X (Proposition 6.A.7). $\square$

Chapter 7

Bounded presentations

7.A Presentations with relators of bounded length

We revisit Definition 2.C.1 as follows:

Definition 7.A.1 (generation)**.** A **generation** of a group G is a pair made up of a set S and an epimorphism $\pi : F_S \twoheadrightarrow G$ of the free group on S onto G. The **relations** of such a generation are the elements of $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi)$.

Definition 7.A.2 (presentation)**.** A **presentation** of a group G is a triple made up of a generation (S, π) as above and a subset R of F_S generating $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi)$ *as a normal subgroup*. The notation

$$G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$$

summarizes such data. The set S is often called an **alphabet**.

The elements of R are the **relators** of the presentation. Observe that the relations are the elements of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{k} w_i r_i w_i^{-1}$, with $k \geq 0$, $r_1, \ldots, r_k \in R \cup R^{-1}$, and $w_1, \ldots, w_k \in F_S$.

A group is **finitely presented** if it is given as $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ with both S and R finite, and **finitely presentable** if it can be given in such a way. It is improper, but nevertheless standard, to use "finitely presented group" for "finitely presentable group".

Consider two alphabets S_1, S_2, the free group F_1 on S_1 and the free group F on the disjoint union $S_1 \sqcup S_2$, and identify naturally F_1 to a subgroup of F. Consider also subsets $R_1 \subset F_1$ and $R_2 \subset F$. We can define two groups G_1, G by the presentations $G_1 = \langle S_1 \mid R_1 \rangle$, $G = \langle S_1, S_2 \mid R_1, R_2 \rangle$. We write shortly (and abusively)

$$G = \langle G_1, S_2 \mid R_2 \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad G = \langle S_1, S_2 \mid R_1, R_2 \rangle.$$

In important cases, such as amalgamated products and HNN extensions as in Section 8.B, it can be established that the natural homomorphism $G_1 \longrightarrow G$ is injective.

Remark 7.A.3. (1) In Definitions 7.A.1 and 7.A.2, as in the footnote to 2.C.17(3), we emphasize that, even if G is a topological group and S a subset of G, the free group F_S is *not* considered with any topology.

(2) If the restriction of π to S is injective, it is standard to identify S with its image in G.

(3) Let $\pi : F_S \longrightarrow G = \langle S \mid R\rangle$ be a presentation of a group G. Let $\underline{R}$ be the natural image of R in $F_{\pi(S)}$; in other words, the elements of $\underline{R}$ are the words in the letters of $\pi(S)$ and their inverses obtained from the relators in R first by substitution of $\pi(s)$ for s, for all $s \in S \cup S^{-1}$, and then by deletion of those $\pi(s)$ equal to 1 in G. Let $\pi(S)'$ stand for $\pi(S) \smallsetminus \{1\}$ if $1 \in \pi(S)$, and for $\pi(S)$ otherwise; we have a generation $F_{\pi(S)'} \longrightarrow G$ and a presentation $\langle \pi(S)' \mid \underline{R}\rangle$ of G.

Example 7.A.4. Let G be a group acting by homeomorphisms on a non-empty topological space X; let U be an open subset of X such that $GU = X$. Set

$$S = \{s \in G \mid U \cap sU \neq \emptyset\},$$
$$R = \{stu^{-1} \in F_S \mid s,t,u \in S \text{ with } st = u \text{ in } G \text{ and } U \cap sU \cap uU \neq \emptyset\}.$$

(1) If X is connected, S generates G.
(2) If X is simply connected and X, U are path-connected, $\langle S \mid R\rangle$ is a presentation of G.

Note: if the action is proper and U is relatively compact, then S and R are finite.

Proof. (1) Let H be the subgroup of G generated by S. Set $Y = HU$ and $Z = (G \smallsetminus H)U$; they are open subsets of X. Then $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$; indeed, otherwise there would exist $h \in H$ and $g \in G \smallsetminus H$ such that $h^{-1}gU \cap U \neq \emptyset$, hence such that $g \in hS \subset H$, in contradiction with $g \in G \smallsetminus H$. Since Y is non-empty (because $U \subset Y$) and X connected, $Y = X$.

Consider now $g \in G$. There exists $h \in H$ with $gU \cap hU \neq \emptyset$. Thus $h^{-1}g \in S$, and $g \in hS \subset H$. Hence $H = G$.

(2) The proof, that we do not reproduce here, has three steps. First one introduces an S-labelled "Cayley complex" K, with vertex set G, labelled edges of the form (g_1, g_2, s) where $g_2 = g_1 s$, $s \in S$, and 2-cells attached to edge-loops labelled by words in R. Then one shows that K is simply connected. From this one concludes that $G = \langle S \mid R\rangle$.

The result is from [Macb–64]. Actual proofs can also be found in [Serr–77, Appendix of Section I.3, Pages 45–46] and [BrHa–99, Theorem I.8.10, Page 135]. Serre indicates related references. □

Definition 7.A.5 (bounded presentation)**.** A **bounded presentation** for a group G is a presentation $G = \langle S \mid R\rangle$ with R a set of relators of bounded length. A group is **boundedly presented over** S if it is given by such a presentation.

Example 7.A.6. (1) Let G be any group. Let S be a set given with a bijection $G \longrightarrow S, g \longmapsto s_g$. In the free group F_S on S, define the subset

$$R = \{s_g s_h s_k^{-1} \in F_S \mid g,h \in G \text{ and } k = gh\}.$$

Then $G = \langle S \mid R\rangle$ is a bounded presentation, with all relators of length 3.

(2) If G has a finite generating set S, then G is boundedly presented over S if and only if G is finitely presentable.

(3) Any "boundedly generated" group is boundedly presented. More precisely, let G be a group, S a symmetric generating set, and d_S the corresponding word

metric on G. Assume that the metric space (G, d_S) has finite diameter, i.e. that $N := \sup_{g\in G} d_S(1, g) < \infty$. Then G is boundedly presented over S.

Indeed, let on the one hand R' be the set of words stu^{-1}, with $s, t, u \in S$ and $st = u$. On the other hand, denote by W the set of words in the letters of S of length $N + 1$, choose for every $w \in W$ generators $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ with $n \leq N$ and $w = s_1 \cdots s_n$, set $r_w = w(s_1 \cdots s_n)^{-1}$, and let R'' denote the set of these words r_w for $w \in W$. Then $\langle S \mid R' \cup R'' \rangle$ is a bounded presentation of G over S.

Note that there are infinite groups, for example the full symmetric group $G = \mathrm{Sym}(X)$ of an infinite set X, such that the diameter of (G, d_S) is finite for *every* generating set S. See [Berg–06], as well as our Definition 4.E.9 and Example 4.E.14.

(4 – Coxeter groups and Artin-Tits groups). Let S be an abstract set (possibly infinite) with Coxeter data, i.e. with a symmetric matrix $M = (m_{s,t})_{s,t\in S}$ having coefficients in $\mathbf{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$, with $m_{s,s} = 1$ for all $s \in S$ and $m_{s,t} \geq 2$ whenever $s \neq t$. The corresponding **Coxeter group** is defined by the presentation

$$W_M \;=\; \langle S \mid (st)^{m_{s,t}} = 1 \;\; \forall\, s, t \in S \;\text{ with }\; m_{s,t} < \infty \rangle,$$

and the **Artin-Tits group** by

$$A_M \;=\; \langle S \mid sts \cdots = tst \cdots \;\; \forall\, s, t \in S \;\text{ with }\; s \neq t \;\text{ and }\; m_{s,t} < \infty \rangle$$

(with a product of $m_{s,t}$ factors on each side of the last equality sign). These presentations are bounded if and only if $\sup\{m_{s,t} \mid s, t \in S \;\text{ with }\; m_{s,t} < \infty\}$ is finite.

For general M as above, these groups are rather mysterious. For example, it is conjectured but unknown that A_M is always torsion-free. This and other open problems on Artin-Tits groups are discussed in [GoPa–12].

(5 – Steinberg groups). Let R be an associative ring (not necessarily commutative or unital) and n an integer, $n \geq 3$. The **Steinberg group** $\mathrm{St}_n(R)$ is the group defined by the following bounded presentation: there are generators $e_{i,j}(r)$ indexed by pairs $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$ with $i \neq j$ and $r \in R$, and relators

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i,j}(r)e_{i,j}(s) &= e_{i,j}(r + s), \quad i, j \text{ distinct}, \\ [e_{i,j}(r), e_{j,k}(s)] &= e_{i,k}(rs), \quad i, j, k \text{ distinct}, \\ [e_{i,j}(r), e_{k,\ell}(s)] &= 1, \quad i \neq j, \ell \;\text{ and }\; k \neq j, \ell, \end{aligned}$$

all of length ≤ 5 (where $[a, b]$ stands for $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$).

When $n = 2$, the group $\mathrm{St}_2(R)$ is usually defined with additional generators and relators; we refer to [Miln–71, Pages 40 and 82].

(6) For R and n as in (4), there is a natural homomorphism $\mathrm{St}_n(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(R)$. In some cases, we know a presentation of $\mathrm{SL}_n(R)$ closely related to this homomorphism and the presentation of (4). For example, when $R = \mathbf{Z}$ and $n \geq 3$, we have the *Steinberg presentation*

$$\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z}) \;=\; \left\langle e_{i,j} \;\; \begin{matrix} \text{with } i, j = 1, \dots, n \\ \text{and } i \neq j \end{matrix} \;\middle|\; \begin{matrix} [e_{i,j}, e_{j,k}] = e_{i,k} \;\text{ if }\; i, j, k \;\text{ are distinct} \\ [e_{i,j}, e_{k,\ell}] = 1 \;\text{ if }\; i \neq j, \ell \;\text{ and }\; k \neq j, \ell \\ (e_{1,2}e_{2,1}^{-1}e_{1,2})^4 = 1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle$$

with relators of length ≤ 12 [Miln–71, § 10]. Here, $e_{i,j}$ is the **elementary matrix** in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$, with 1 's on the diagonal and at the intersection of the ith row and the jth column, and 0 's elsewhere. For $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, we refer to [Miln–71, Theorem 10.5].

Definition 7.A.7 (defining subset)**.** Let G be a group and S a generating subset. We say that S is a defining generating subset, or shortly a **defining subset**, if G is presented with S as generating set, and $\{stu^{-1} \in F_S \mid s,t,u \in S \text{ and } st = u\}$ as set of relators.

Clearly, if S is a defining generating subset of G, then G is boundedly presented over S. The following lemma, which is [Behr–67, Hilfssatz 1], is a weak converse. Recall that $\widehat{S}^n := (S \cup S^{-1} \cup \{1\})^n$ has been defined in 2.C.1.

Lemma 7.A.8. *Let G be a group given with a bounded presentation*

$$G = \left\langle S \mid R = (r_\iota)_{\iota \in I} \right\rangle$$

with relators r_ι of length bounded by some integer n. Let m be the integer part of $\frac{n+2}{3}$. Then $\widehat{S}^m$ is a defining generating subset of G.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that the generating set is symmetric and contains 1, i.e. that $\widehat{S} = S$; thus

$$\{1\} = S^0 \subset S^1 = S \subset S^2 \subset S^3 \subset \cdots .$$

Since $1 \in S$, we can assume that each relator $r \in R$ has length exactly n.

For every $m \geq 1$, let S_m be a set given with a bijection with the subset S^m of G. For a group element $w \in S^m$, we write $\underline{w}$ the corresponding "letter" in S_m. Moreover, we identify S_m with the appropriate subset of S_{m+1}.

For $m \geq 2$, define Q_m to be the set of words in the letters of S_m consisting of the relators $\underline{w}\,\underline{s} = \underline{ws}$, with $\underline{w} \in S_{m-1}$, $\underline{s} \in S_1$, and therefore $\underline{ws} \in S_m$; such an equation stands improperly for a word $\underline{w}\,\underline{s}\,(\underline{ws})^{-1}$ of length at most 3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} G = \langle S \mid R \rangle &= \langle S_2 \mid R \cup Q_2 \rangle = \langle S_3 \mid R \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3 \rangle \\ &= \cdots = \langle S_m \mid R \cup Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_m \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $m \geq \frac{n+2}{3}$. Each $r_\iota \in R$ can be first split in at most three subwords of lengths at most m, and then rewritten as a word of length at most three in the letters of S_m. Hence $G = \langle S_m \mid Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_m \rangle$. If R' is the set of relators of the form $\underline{u}\,\underline{v}\,\underline{w}^{-1}$, with $\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{w} \in S_m$ and $uv = w \in S^m \subset G$, then $Q_2 \cup \cdots \cup Q_m \subset R'$ and $G = \langle S^m \mid R' \rangle$. Hence S^m is a defining subset of G. □

Lemma 7.A.9. *Let G be a group, S_1, S_2 generating subsets of G, and $m \geq 1$ an integer.*

(1) G is boundedly presented over $\widehat{S}_1^m$ if and only if it is boundedly presented over S_1.
(2) If $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \widehat{S}_1^m$ and if G is boundedly presented over S_1, then it is boundedly presented over S_2.

(3) If $\widehat{S}_1^m \subset \widehat{S}_2^n \subset \widehat{S}_1^{m'}$ for some $n, m' \geq 1$, then G is boundedly presented over S_1 if and only if it is boundedly presented over S_2.

(4) Assume that G is an LC-group; let S_1, S_2 be two compact generating sets. If G is boundedly presented over S_1, then G is boundedly presented over S_2.

Proof. (1) This claim is straightforward; compare with the proof of Lemma 7.A.8.

(2) Let $G = \langle S_1 \mid R_1 \rangle$ be a bounded presentation, with relators in R_1 of S_1-lengths at most b, say. Since $S_2 \subset \widehat{S}_1^m$, there exists for each $s \in S_2 \smallsetminus S_1$ a S_1-word w_s of length at most m such that $s = w_s$; let R_2 denote the set of S_2-words of the form $s^{-1}w_s$. Then $\langle S_2 \mid R_1 \sqcup R_2 \rangle$ is a presentation of G in which each relator is of length at most $\max\{b, m+1\}$.

(3) Suppose that G is boundedly presented over S_1. Then G is boundedly presented

over $\widehat{S}_1^m$, by (1),
and over $\widehat{S}_2^n$, by (2) applied to $\widehat{S}_1^m \subset \widehat{S}_2^n \subset \widehat{S}_1^{mm'}$,
and also over S_2, by (1) again.

Since $\widehat{S}_2^{nm} \subset \widehat{S}_1^{m'm} \subset \widehat{S}_2^{m'n}$, the converse holds by the same argument.

(4) We may assume that m is such that $\widehat{S}_1^m$ is a compact neighbourhood of 1 (Proposition 2.C.3). The group G is boundedly presented over $\widehat{S}_1^m$, by (1). Moreover, for appropriate values of n and m, we have $\widehat{S}_1^m \subset \widehat{S}_2^n \subset \widehat{S}_1^{m'}$. Thus (4) follows from (3). □

Example 7.A.10. It is not true that, if a group G is boundedly presented over S_1 and $S_2 \supset S_1$, then G is necessarily boundedly presented over S_2.

Indeed, consider the case $G = \mathbf{Z}$ of the infinite cyclic group. Consider a strictly increasing sequence $(m_k)_{k\geq 1}$ of positive integers such that

$$m_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{m_k}{m_{k-1}} = \infty.$$

On the one hand, we have a presentation $\langle u \mid \emptyset \rangle$, with one generator u representing $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ and no relations. On the other hand, consider a presentation $\langle S \mid R' \rangle$ with an infinite generating set $S = \{s_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ such that $s_k \in S$ represents $m_k \in \mathbf{Z}$ for each $k \geq 1$.

We claim that the presentation $\langle S \mid R' \rangle$ is not bounded, in particular that $\mathbf{Z}$ is *not* boundedly presented over S.

Proof of the claim. Suppose *ab absurdo* that there exists an integer $n \geq 4$ such that the S-length of any $r' \in R'$ is at most n. We will arrive at a contradiction.

Each relator $r' \in R'$ determines a relator $r'_{\mathrm{ab}} = s_1^{\nu_1} s_2^{\nu_2} s_3^{\nu_3} \cdots$ such that r' and r'_{ab} define the same element in the free *abelian* group on the set of generators S. In other terms, $r'_{\mathrm{ab}} = \prod_{k\geq 1} s_k^{\nu_k}$, with $\nu_k \in \mathbf{Z}$ for each $k \geq 1$ and $\nu_k = 0$ for all but at most n values of k. We denote by R'_{ab} the set of these r'_{ab}, for $r' \in R'$. Set $R'' = \{s_k s_\ell s_k^{-1} s_\ell^{-1} \mid k, \ell \geq 1\}$, and $R = R'_{\mathrm{ab}} \sqcup R''$. We have a new bounded presentation $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ where any $r \in R$ is a word of length $\leq n$.

Let k_0 be such that $\frac{m_k}{m_{k-1}} > n$ for all $k \geq k_0$. There exists in R'_{ab} a relator r'_{ab} containing a letter s_j with $j \geq k_0$; let s_ℓ denote the letter of maximal index that occurs in r'_{ab}; we can write

$$r'_{\mathrm{ab}} = \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{\ell-1} s_k^{\nu_k}\Big) s_\ell^{\nu_\ell}, \quad \text{with } \nu_\ell \neq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} |\nu_k| \leq n.$$

On the one hand, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \nu_k m_k = -\nu_\ell m_\ell$, hence

$$\Big|\sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \nu_k \frac{m_k}{m_\ell}\Big| = |\nu_\ell| \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, since $\frac{m_k}{m_\ell} < \frac{1}{n}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, \ell-1$, we have also

$$\Big|\sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \nu_k \frac{m_k}{m_\ell}\Big| < \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} |\nu_k| \, \frac{1}{n} \leq 1,$$

and this is the announced contradiction. □

Bounded presentations of groups and their quotients are related as follows:

Lemma 7.A.11. *Let $N \hookrightarrow G \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$ be a short exact sequence of groups.*

(1) Assume that G is boundedly presented over a set S and that N is generated, as a normal subgroup, by $N \cap \widehat{S}^n$ for some n. Then Q is boundedly presented over $\pi(S)$.

(2) Let $\rho : F_S \twoheadrightarrow G$ be a generation of G such that the kernel of $\pi\rho : F_S \twoheadrightarrow Q$ is generated as a normal subgroup by a set R of relators of length at most k, for some positive integer k (in particular, we have a bounded presentation $Q = \langle \pi\rho(S) \mid R \rangle$). Then N is generated as a normal subgroup of G by $\rho(\widehat{S}^k) \cap N$.

Proof. (1) For each $r \in R$, let $\underline{r}$ denote the word in the letters of $\pi(\widehat{S})$ obtained by replacing each letter $s \in \widehat{S}$ of r by the corresponding letter $\pi(s) \in \pi(\widehat{S})$; let $\underline{R}_1$ denote the set of these $\underline{r}$. For each $g \in N \cap \widehat{S}^n$, choose $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in \widehat{S}$ such that $g = s_1 \cdots s_n$; let $\underline{R}_2$ denote the set of words of the form $\pi(s_1) \cdots \pi(s_n)$. Then $\langle \pi(\widehat{S}) \mid \underline{R}_1 \cup \underline{R}_2 \rangle$ is a bounded presentation of G/N.

(2) Let M be the normal subgroup of G generated by $\rho(\widehat{S}^k) \cap N$. It is clear that $M \subset N$, and we have to show that $M = N$. Upon replacing G by G/M, we an assume that $M = \{1\}$, and we have to show that $N = \{1\}$.

Clearly $\ker(\rho) \subset \ker(\pi\rho)$. Let $r \in R$, viewed as a word in the letters of $S \cup S^{-1}$. We have $\rho(r) \in \widehat{S}^k \cap N$, and therefore $r \in \ker(\rho)$. Since R generates $\ker(\pi\rho)$ as a normal subgroup of F_S, it follows that $\ker(\pi\rho) \subset \ker(\rho)$. Hence $\ker(\rho) = \ker(\pi\rho)$, and this shows that $N = \{1\}$. □

The case of groups given as extensions is more delicate to formulate:

Lemma 7.A.12. *Let $N \hookrightarrow G \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$ be a short exact sequence of groups. Assume that N and Q are boundedly presented, more precisely that:*

(B_N) the group N has a bounded presentation $\langle S_N \mid R_N\rangle$ with $1 \in S_N = S_N^{-1}$ and $m_N := \sup\{|r|_{S_N} \mid r \in R_N\} < \infty$;
(B_Q) the group Q has a bounded presentation $\langle S_Q \mid R_Q\rangle$ with $1 \in S_Q = S_Q^{-1}$ and $m_Q := \sup\{|r|_{S_Q} \mid r \in R_Q\} < \infty$.

Let S'_G be a subset of G such that $1 \in S'_G = (S'_G)^{-1}$ and $\pi(S'_G) = S_Q$. Let $\sigma : S_Q \longrightarrow S'_G$ be a mapping such that $\pi\sigma(s) = s$ for all $s \in S_Q$. Assume furthermore that

(C_N) there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $(S'_G S_N S'_G) \cap N \subset (S_N)^k$;
(C_Q) there exists an integer $\ell \geq 1$ such that $(S'_G)^{m_Q} \cap N \subset (S_N)^\ell$.

Then G is boundedly presented. More precisely, there exists a set R_G of words of bounded length in the letters of $S_N \cup S'_G$ such that

$$G = \langle S_N \cup S'_G \mid R_G\rangle$$

is a bounded presentation of G.

Observation. Note that we cannot assume that the restriction of π is a bijection $S'_G \longrightarrow S_Q$: if $s \in S_Q$ is such that $s \neq 1 = s^2$, there need not exist $g \in G$ with $\pi(g) = s$ and $g^2 = 1$.

Proof. For all pairs $(s_1, s_2) \in S_N \times S'_G$, choose using (C_N) a word v_{s_1,s_2} of length k in the letters of S_N such that $s_2 s_1 s_2^{-1} = v_{s_1,s_2} \in N$. We denote by

$$R_{\text{conj}} \quad \text{the set of relators of the form } s_2 s_1 s_2^{-1} v_{s_1,s_2}^{-1} \text{ obtained in this way.}$$

Note that their lengths are bounded by $k + 3$.

For all pairs $(s_1, s_2) \in S'_G \times S'_G$ with $\pi(s_1) = \pi(s_2)$, choose similarly a word w_{s_1,s_2} of length k in the letters of S_N such that $s_1 = s_2 w_{s_1,s_2} \in G$. We denote by

$$R_\pi \quad \text{the set of relators of the form } s_1^{-1} s_2 w_{s_1,s_2} \text{ obtained in this way.}$$

Note that their lengths are bounded by $k + 2$.

For all relators $r \in R_Q$, let $s_{r,1} \cdots s_{r,m_Q}$ be a word in the letters of S_Q representing it. By (C_Q), we can choose a word u_r of length ℓ in the letters of S_N such that

$$\sigma(r) := \sigma(s_{r,1}) \cdots \sigma(s_{r,m_Q}) u_r$$

is a word in the letters of $S_N \cup S'_G$ representing $1 \in G$. We denote by

$$R_\sigma \quad \text{the set of these relators.}$$

Note that their lengths are bounded by $m_Q + \ell$.

Denote finally by T the set of relators ss', for pairs (s, s') in S'_G with $ss' = 1$. Define a group $\widetilde{G}$ by the presentation

$$\widetilde{G} = \langle S_N \cup S'_G \mid R_N \cup R_{\text{conj}} \cup R_\pi \cup R_\sigma \cup T\rangle.$$

It follows from the definitions that the tautological map from $S_N \cup S'_G$ viewed as a generating set of $\widetilde{G}$ to $S_N \cup S'_G$ viewed as a generating set of G extends to an epimorphism $p : \widetilde{G} \twoheadrightarrow G$.

Denote by $\widetilde{N}$ the subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ generated by S_N. Clearly $p(\widetilde{N}) = N$, and the restriction $p_N : \widetilde{N} \longrightarrow N$ of p to $\widetilde{N}$ is an isomorphism. Moreover $\widetilde{N}$ is normal in $\widetilde{G}$, because $sts^{-1} \in \widetilde{N}$ for all $s \in S_N \cup S'_G$ and $t \in S_N$, and $\widetilde{G}$ is generated by $S_N \cup S'_G$ as a semi-group.

Thus p factors as an epimorphism $p_Q : \widetilde{G}/\widetilde{N} \twoheadrightarrow Q$. As we have the presentation $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{N} = \langle S_Q \mid R_Q \rangle$, the quotient morphism p_Q is indeed an isomorphism. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{N} & \hookrightarrow & \widetilde{G} & \twoheadrightarrow & \widetilde{G}/\widetilde{N} \\ \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow\simeq \\ N & \hookrightarrow & G & \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} & Q \end{array}$$

commutes, it follows that p is an isomorphism. This proves the lemma, for $S_G = S_N \cup S'_G$ and $R_G = R_N \cup R_{\mathrm{conj}} \cup R_\pi \cup R_\sigma$. □

Proposition 7.A.13. *Let $N \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} G \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$ be a short exact sequence of LC-groups, where the topology of N coincides with the topology induced by j, and the homomorphism π is continuous and open. Assume that*

(CP$_N$) the group N has a presentation $\langle S_N \mid R_N \rangle$ with $S_N \subset N$ compact and $\sup\{|r|_{S_N} \mid r \in R_N\} < \infty$;

(CP$_Q$) the group Q has a presentation $\langle S_Q \mid R_Q \rangle$ with $S_Q \subset Q$ compact and $\sup\{|r|_{S_Q} \mid r \in R_Q\} < \infty$.

Then

(CP$_G$) the group G has a presentation $\langle S_G \mid R_G \rangle$ with $S_G \subset G$ compact and $\sup\{|r|_{S_G} \mid r \in R_G\} < \infty$.

Proof. We keep the notation of the previous lemma and of its proof. We can choose S'_G compact, by Lemma 2.C.9. Then $S_G := S_N \cup S'_G$ is a compact generating set of G, and the lengths of the relators in $R_G := R_N \cup R_{\mathrm{conj}} \cup R_\pi \cup R_\sigma$ are bounded by $\max\{m_N, k+3, m_Q + \ell\}$. □

7.B The Rips complex of a bounded presentation

For a group G with a pseudo-metric d, we have a Rips 2-complex $\mathrm{Rips}^2_c(G, d)$ as in Definition 6.C.1. When d is the word metric defined by a generating set S, we also write $\mathrm{Rips}^2_c(G, S)$ for $\mathrm{Rips}^2_c(G, d_S)$; this space can often be used instead of *Cayley graphs* or *Cayley 2-complexes* defined in other references (such as [Cann–02]).

Proposition 7.B.1. *Let G be a group endowed with a generating subset S. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) the group G is boundedly presented over S;

(ii) $\mathrm{Rips}^2_c(G, S)$ is simply connected for some c;

(iii) $\mathrm{Rips}^2_c(G, S)$ is simply connected for all c large enough;

(iv) the metric space (G, d_S) is coarsely simply connected.

Proof. The equivalence of Conditions (ii), (iii), and (iv) is a particular case of Proposition 6.C.4.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $G = \langle S \mid R\rangle$ be a bounded presentation; set $m = \max_{r\in R} \ell_S(r)$. We claim that, for $n \geq \max\{m/2, 1\}$, the complex $\mathrm{Rips}^2_n(G, S)$ is simply connected.

Consider a loop ξ based at 1 in the topological realization of $\mathrm{Rips}^2_n(G, S)$. We have to show that ξ is homotopic to the constant loop (1). By Lemma 6.B.3(1), we can assume that ξ is the topological realization of a combinatorial loop

$$\eta = (1,\ s_1,\ s_1s_2,\ \ldots,\ s_1\cdots s_{k-1},\ s_1\cdots s_{k-1}s_k = 1)$$

with $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in \widehat{S}$. There are relators $r_1, \ldots, r_\ell \in R \cup R^{-1}$ and words $w_1, \ldots, w_\ell \in F_S$ such that

$$s_1s_2\cdots s_k = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} w_j r_j w_j^{-1}.$$

Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$; since $\ell_S(r_j) \leq m$, any triple of vertices of r_j is in a common 2-simplex; hence the prefixes of the word $w_j r_j w_j^{-1}$ constitute a combinatorial loop that is combinatorially homotopic to the constant loop. It follows that η is combinatorially homotopic to the constant loop, hence that ξ is homotopic to the trivial loop. This establishes the claim.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer such that $\mathrm{Rips}^2_m(G, S)$ is simply connected. Let $\pi : F_S \twoheadrightarrow G$ be as in Definition 7.A.1; set $N = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi)$. Let $w \in N$; write $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, with $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in \widehat{S}$. Consider

$$\eta = (1,\ s_1,\ s_1s_2,\ \ldots,\ s_1\cdots s_{k-1},\ s_1\cdots s_{k-1}s_k = 1),$$

that is a combinatorial loop based at 1 in $\mathrm{Rips}^2_m(G, S)$. Then η is combinatorially homotopic to some combinatorial loop of the form $\prod_{j=1}^{N} u_j r_j u_j^{-1}$, the notation being as in Lemma 6.B.4, with each r_j being a combinatorial loop of length 3 in $\mathrm{Rips}^2_m(G, S)$. Changing our viewpoint, we consider r_j as a word in the letters of $S \cup S^{-1}$ of length at most $3m$. If R denotes the set of these words r_j, we have a bounded presentation $G = \langle S \mid R\rangle$. □

Chapter 8

Compactly presented groups

8.A Definition and first examples

Definition 8.A.1. Let G be an LC-group.

A **compact presentation** of G is a presentation $\langle S \mid R\rangle$ of G with S compact in G and R a set of relators of bounded length, in other words a bounded presentation $\langle S \mid R\rangle$ of G with S compact.

An LC-group is **compactly presented** if it admits a compact presentation.

The earliest article we know in which this notion appears is that of Martin Kneser [Knes–64].

Proposition 8.A.2. *Let G be a compactly generated LC-group; let S_1, S_2 be two compact generating subsets of G. Then G has a compact presentation with generating set S_1 if and only if it has one with S_2.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 7.A.9(4). □

Proposition 8.A.3. *Let G be an LC-group.*

(1) Assume that G is compactly generated. Let S be a compact generating set and d_S the corresponding word metric. Then the group G is compactly presented if and only if the metric space (G, d_S) is coarsely simply connected.

(2) More generally, assume that G is σ-compact. Let d be an adapted pseudo-metric on G (Proposition 4.A.2). Then G is compactly presented if and only if (G, d) is coarsely simply connected.

Proof. Claim (1) holds true by Proposition 7.B.1. In [Grom–93, Example $1.C_1$], Gromov has a short comment concerning the claim: "this is obvious".

For Claim (2), suppose first that G is compactly presented, say with a compact presentation $\langle S \mid R\rangle$. Then (G, d_S) is coarsely simply connected by (1), hence (G, d) is coarsely simply connected by Corollary 4.A.6(2) and Proposition 6.A.7. Suppose now that (G, d) is coarsely simply connected. Since (G, d) is coarsely connected, G is compactly generated by Proposition 4.B.4(1), say with compact generating set S. Then (G, d_S) is coarsely simply connected by Proposition 6.A.7, hence (G, d) is compactly presented by (1). □

Propositions 6.A.7 and 8.A.3 imply:

Corollary 8.A.4. *Among σ-compact LC-groups, being compactly presented is invariant by metric coarse equivalence.*

In particular, among compactly generated LC-groups, being compactly presented is invariant by quasi-isometry.

Corollary 8.A.5. *Let G be an LC-group.*

(1) Let H be a cocompact closed subgroup of G. Then G is compactly presented if and only if H is so. In particular:
  (a) compact groups are compactly presented;
  (b) a uniform lattice in an LC-group G is finitely presented if and only if G is compactly presented.

(2) Suppose that G contains a compact normal subgroup K. Then G is compactly presented if and only if G/K is so.

Proof. By the previous corollary, (1) follows from Proposition 4.C.11 and (2) from Proposition 4.C.12.

There is another proof of (a) in Example 7.A.6(1). □

Let G be a σ-compact LC-group with an adapted metric d, as in Proposition 8.A.3(2). Recall that d is coarsely geodesic if and only if G is compactly generated. Moreover, if the latter condition holds, d can be chosen to be a geodesically adapted metric. In particular, if S is a compact generating set, the word metric d_S is a geodesically adapted metric on G (Proposition 4.B.4).

Proposition 8.A.6. *Let G be a compactly generated LC-group, S a compact generating set, d an adapted pseudo-metric on G, and c a constant, $c \geq 1$.*

(1) The inclusion of (G, d) into $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(G, S)$ is a metric coarse equivalence.
(2) When d is geodesically adapted, the inclusion of (G, d) into $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(G, S)$ is a quasi-isometry.

Proof. (1) The identity map $(G, d) \longrightarrow (G, d_S)$ is a metric coarse equivalence by Corollary 4.A.6, and the inclusion $(G, d_S) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Rips}_c^2(G, S)$ is a quasi-isometry by Proposition 6.C.2.

(2) If d is geodesically adapted, the identity map $(G, d) \longrightarrow (G, d_S)$ is a quasi-isometry by Corollary 4.B.11. □

In view of Propositions 8.A.3, 8.A.6, and 7.B.1, we have the following third important step in our exposition (the first two being 4.A.7 and 4.B.13).

Milestone 8.A.7. *For a compactly generated LC-group G, with a geodesically adapted pseudo-metric d, a compact generating set S, the following properties are equivalent:*

(i) the group G is compactly presented;
(ii) the pseudo-metric space (G, d) is coarsely simply connected;
(iii) the inclusion of (G, d) into $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(G, S)$ is a metric coarse equivalence $\forall\ c \geq 1$;
(iv) the inclusion of (G, d) into $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(G, S)$ is a quasi-isometry $\forall\ c \geq 1$;
(v) $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(G, S)$ is simply connected for all c large enough.

Since coarse simple connectedness is invariant by metric coarse equivalence (Proposition 6.A.7), we have here for compact presentation the analogues of what are Theorem 4.C.5 and Corollary 4.C.6 for compact generation:

Theorem 8.A.8. *Let G be an LC-group and X a non-empty coarsely proper pseudo-metric space; suppose that there exists a geometric action of G on X.*

Then G is compactly presented if and only if X is coarsely simply connected.

Corollary 8.A.9. *On an LC-group G, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) G is compactly presented;
(ii) there exists a geometric action of G on a non-empty coarsely simply connected pseudo-metric space;
(iii) there exists a geometric action of G on a non-empty geodesic simply connected metric space;
(iv) there exists a geometric faithful action of G on a non-empty geodesic simply connected metric space.

The literature contains numerous statements of a similar kind in the context of discrete groups. As an example, here is Corollary I.8.11 on Page 137 of [BrHa–99] :

A group is finitely presented if and only if it acts properly and cocompactly by isometries on a simply connected geodesic space.

For proper cocompact isometric actions, see Remark 4.C.3(5).

The following proposition is Theorem 2.1 in [Abel–72].

Proposition 8.A.10. *Let $N \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ be a short exact sequence of LC-groups and continuous homomorphisms.*

(1) Assume that G is compactly presented and that N is compactly generated as a normal subgroup of G. Then Q is compactly presented.
(2) Assume that G is compactly generated and that Q is compactly presented. Then N is compactly generated as a normal subgroup of G.
(3) If N and Q are compactly presented, so is G.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 7.A.11 and Proposition 7.A.13. □

Proposition 8.A.11 (retracts)**.** *Let G be an LC-group and H a closed subgroup. Suppose that H is a **retract** of G, i.e. that there exists a continuous epimorphism $p : G \twoheadrightarrow H$ such that $p(h) = h$ for all $h \in H$.*

If G is compactly presented, so is H.

Proof. It follows from Propositions 4.A.5, 6.A.13 and 8.A.3. □

Note that the proposition applies to semidirect products, as in Example 6.A.12.

Lemma 8.A.12. *Let G be a group and $\mathcal{V}$ a set of subsets of G containing 1. Then $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of neighbourhoods of 1 of a group topology $\mathcal{T}$ on G if and only if the following five conditions are satisfied:*

(F) if $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and $A' \subset G$ with $A' \supset A$, then $A' \in \mathcal{V}$;

($\cap$) for all $A', A'' \in \mathcal{V}$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $A \subset A' \cap A''$;
(M_1) for all $A \in \mathcal{V}$, there exist $A', A'' \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $A'A'' \subset A$;
(I_1) if $A \in \mathcal{V}$, then $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}$;
(C) $\mathcal{V}$ is stable by conjugation: $g_0^{-1} A g_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and $g_0 \in G$.
If these condditions are satisfied, such a $\mathcal{T}$ is unique.

Moreover, if these conditions are satisfied, the topology $\mathcal{T}$ is Hausdorff if and only if $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A = \{1\}$.

Note. For a set of subsets of G containing 1, Conditions (F) and ($\cap$) define $\mathcal{V}$ as a *filter* of subsets of G. The subscripts in (M_1) and (I_1) indicate that these conditions express continuity of the multiplication at $(1, 1)$ and of inversion at 1. Compare with Lemma 8.B.5.

The lemma is well-known, see e.g. Proposition 1 in [BTG1-4, Page III.3].

Proof. Both the necessity of the conditions and the uniqueness of $\mathcal{T}$ are clear. Let us prove that the conditions are sufficient.

Given $\mathcal{V}$ satisfying (F), ($\cap$), (M_1), (I_1), and (C), define $\mathcal{T}$ to be the set of subsets U of G such that, for all $g \in U$, we have $g^{-1}U \in \mathcal{V}$. Note that, by (C), the latter condition is equivalent to $Ug^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}$.

Let us check that $\mathcal{T}$ is a topology on G. It is obvious that $\emptyset, G \in \mathcal{T}$. Let $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ be such that $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$, and let $g \in U_1 \cap U_2$; by ($\cap$), there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $V \subset g^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2)$; hence $g^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2) \in \mathcal{V}$ by (F); it follows that $\mathcal{T}$ is stable by finite intersections. Similarly, $\mathcal{T}$ is stable by unions.

Ler us check that $\mathcal{T}$ is a group topology. Consider two elements $g, h \in G$ and two nets $(g_i)_i, (h_j)_j$ in G such that $\lim_i g_i = g$ and $\lim_j h_j = h$. By definition of $\mathcal{T}$, the conditions are equivalent to $\lim_i g^{-1} g_i = 1$ and $\lim_j h^{-1} h_j = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{i,j} h^{-1} g^{-1} g_i h_j &= \lim_{i,j} \left(h^{-1} \left(g^{-1} g_i \right) h \left(h^{-1} h_j \right) \right) \\
&= h^{-1} \left(\lim_i g^{-1} g_i \right) h \left(\lim_j h^{-1} h_j \right) \quad \text{by (C) and } (M_1) \\
&= 1 \quad \text{by hypothesis on } (g_i)_i \text{ and } (h_j)_j,
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\lim_{i,j} g_i h_j = gh$; hence, for $\mathcal{T}$, the multiplication in G is continuous. Moreover, we have also $\lim_i g_i g^{-1} = 1$, by (C), and $\lim_i g g_i^{-1} = 1$, by (I_1), so that $\lim_i g_i^{-1} = g^{-1}$; hence the inversion is also continuous. □

Proposition 8.A.13. *Let G be a topological group. Let A be an open symmetric neighbourhood of 1 in G, and S a symmetric subset of G such that $A \subset S \subset \overline{A}$. Assume that S generates G.*

Let $\widetilde{S}$ be a set given with a bijection $\widetilde{S} \ni \widetilde{s} \leftrightarrow s \in S$. Define a group by a presentation $\widetilde{G}_S = \langle \widetilde{S} \mid R \rangle$ with set of generators $\widetilde{S}$ and set of relators

$$R := \{ \widetilde{s}\, \widetilde{t}\, \widetilde{u}^{-1} \mid s, t, u \in S,\ st = u \}.$$

Denote by $p : \widetilde{G}_S \longrightarrow G$ the homomorphism defined by $p(\widetilde{s}) = s$ for all $s \in S$.

(1) The group $\widetilde{G}_S$ has a unique group topology such that p is a covering map.

Suppose from now on that $\widetilde{G}_S$ is given the topology of (1).

(2) If G is locally compact, then so is $\widetilde{G}_S$.
(3) If G is locally compact and A is connected, then $\widetilde{G}_S$ is locally compact and connected.

Proof. For every subset B of S, set $\widetilde{B} = \{\widetilde{s} \in \widetilde{S} \mid s \in B\}$.

(Beginning of (1)) Recall that a group topology on a group is characterized by nets converging to 1. Let B be a compact neighbourhood of 1 contained in A; it is easily seen that, for a group topology $\mathcal{T}$ on $\widetilde{G}_S$ such that p is a covering map, a net $(g_i)_i$ in $\widetilde{G}_S$ converges to $g \in \widetilde{G}_S$ if and only if it satisfies the two following conditions:

$$\text{eventually } g^{-1}g_i \in \widetilde{B}, \text{ and } \lim_i p(g^{-1}g_i) = 1 \in G. \tag{8.1}$$

This proves the uniqueness of $\mathcal{T}$.

Let us now prove the existence of such a topology. Define $\mathcal{V}$ to be the set of subsets X in $\widetilde{G}_S$ such that $p(X \cap \widetilde{S})$ is a neighbourhood of 1 in S. Conditions (F), ($\cap$), (M_1), and (I_1) of Lemma 8.A.12 are clearly satisfied. To show that $\mathcal{T}$ is a group topology on G, it remains to show that Condition (C) is satisfied.

(C) Define H to be the set of elements in $\widetilde{G}_S$ which normalize $\mathcal{V}$; it is a subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$. We claim that the set $\widetilde{A}$ is inside the group H.

Consider $X \in \mathcal{V}$, $a \in A$, and let us show that $\widetilde{a}X\widetilde{a}^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}$. Upon replacing X by $X \cap \widetilde{S}$, we can assume without loss of generality that $X \subset \widetilde{S}$, i.e. that $X = \widetilde{B}$ for some neighbourhood B of 1 in S. Since A is a neighbourhood of both 1 and a, there exists a neighbourhood C of 1 in A such that $aC \subset A$ and $aCa^{-1} \subset A$. Let $c \in C$; set $d = ac$ and $e = aca^{-1} = da^{-1}$; observe that $d, e \in A$. Moreover, since $ac = d = ea \in A$, we have $\widetilde{a}\widetilde{c} = \widetilde{d} = \widetilde{e}\widetilde{a}$, and therefore $\widetilde{e} = \widetilde{a}\widetilde{c}\widetilde{a}^{-1} \in \widetilde{A}$. Hence $\widetilde{a}\widetilde{c}\widetilde{a}^{-1} = \widetilde{aca^{-1}}$ for all $c \in C$, and *a fortiori* for all $c \in B \cap C$, i.e. $p\big(\widetilde{a}(\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{C})\widetilde{a}^{-1}\big) = a(B \cap C)a^{-1}$. Since the latter is a neighbourhood of 1 in S contained in $p(\widetilde{a}\widetilde{B}\widetilde{a}^{-1} \cap \widetilde{S})$, it follows that $\widetilde{a}\widetilde{B}\widetilde{a}^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}$. Hence the claim is shown.

Since $S \subset \overline{A}$, we have $S \subset AA$. Thus every element of S is of the form $s = ab$ with $a, b \in A$. This implies $\widetilde{s} = \widetilde{a}\widetilde{b}$; consequently $\widetilde{A}$ generates $\widetilde{G}_S$. Hence, for $X \in \mathcal{V}$, the conclusion of the previous step, i.e. $hXh^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}$, holds not only for all $h \in \widetilde{A}$, but indeed for all $h \in \widetilde{G}_S$. Consequently $H = \widetilde{G}_S$, i.e. Condition (C) of the previous lemma is satisfied.

(End of (1)) Recall our convention (see (A1) Page 19) according to which the topological group G is Hausdorff. To check that the group topology $\mathcal{T}$ just defined on $\widetilde{G}_S$ is Hausdorff, it suffices to check that, in a constant net converging to 1, every element is 1. This is true: let $h \in \widetilde{G}_S$, and (g_i) a constant net, with $g_i = h$ for all i; if the net is converging to $g = 1$, the conditions of (8.1) above imply that $1^{-1}h \in \widetilde{S}$ and $p(h) = 1$, so that $h = 1$.

It is immediate that the homomorphism p is continuous, and that p induces a homeomorphism of $\widetilde{A}$ onto A. It follows from Proposition 2.D.2 that p is a covering.

(2) If G is locally compact, there exists a compact neighbourhood C of 1 in A. Then $\widetilde{C}$ is a compact neighbourhood of 1 in $\widetilde{G}_S$.

(3) Suppose that G is locally compact and A connected. As recalled in Remark 2.C.4, it suffices to show that every neighbourhood of 1 in $\widetilde{G}_S$, say W, generates $\widetilde{G}_S$.

Upon replacing W by a smaller one, we can assume that W is symmetric and contained in A. Then $W = \widetilde{V}$ for an appropriate symmetric neighbourhood V of 1 in G. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ in $\widetilde{S}$ as follows: for $\widetilde{s}, \widetilde{s'} \in \widetilde{S}$ (with $s, s' \in S$), set $\widetilde{s} \sim \widetilde{s'}$ if there exist $t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_k \in S$ with $t_0 = s$, $t_k = s'$, and $t_i t_{i-1}^{-1} \in V$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Since V is a neighbourhood of 1, the classes of this equivalence relation are open in $\widetilde{S}$. Since A is connected and $A \subset S \subset \overline{A}$, the set S is also connected, and it follows that this equivalence relation has exactly one class in $\widetilde{S}$; in other terms, $\widetilde{S}$ is in the subgroup generated by $\widetilde{V}$, and thus $\widetilde{G}_S$ itself is generated by $\widetilde{V}$. □

Remark 8.A.14. (a) In the previous proposition, the condition $S \subset \overline{A}$ cannot be deleted.

Indeed, consider the example for which $G = \mathbf{R}$, $A =]-1, 1[$, and $S = [-1, 1] \cup \{-3, 3\}$. Define R and $\widetilde{G}_S$ as in Proposition 8.A.13. Then $\widetilde{G}_S$ is isomorphic to a free product $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}} * \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}$, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}$ is a group isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$ and generated by $\widetilde{[-1, 1]}$, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}$ an infinite cyclic group generated by $\widetilde{3}$. The epimorphism $p : \widetilde{G}_S \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ applies $\widetilde{[-1, 1]}$ onto $[-1, 1]$ and $\widetilde{3}$ to 3.

The point is that, if $\mathcal{V}$ was defined as in the previous proof, then $\mathcal{V}$ would not be normalized by the generator $\widetilde{3}$. Indeed, there does not exist any group topology on $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}$ for which the natural epimorphism to $\mathbf{R}$ would be a covering map.

(b) Claim (1) of the previous proposition is essentially Lemma 1.7 in [Abel–72].

Corollary 8.A.15. *For every compactly generated LC-group G, there exists a compactly presented LC-group $\widetilde{G}$ and a discrete normal subgroup N of $\widetilde{G}$ such that the quotient $\widetilde{G}/N$ is topologically isomorphic to G.*

If moreover G is connected, so is $\widetilde{G}$.

Proof. There exists in G an open symmetric neighbourhood U of 1 with compact closure $\overline{U}$ which is generating. With the notation of Proposition 8.A.13, the corollary holds for $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{G}_{\overline{U}}$ and $N = \ker(p)$. □

Corollary 8.A.16. *Let G be a connected simply connected Lie group and U a relatively compact open symmetric neighbourhood of 1 in G. Set $S = \overline{U}$, let $\widetilde{S}$ be a set given with a bijection $\widetilde{S} \ni \widetilde{s} \leftrightarrow s \in S$, and define $R = \{\widetilde{s}\,\widetilde{t}\,\widetilde{u}^{-1} \mid s, t, u \in S,\ st = u\}$.*

Then $\langle \widetilde{S} \mid R \rangle$ is a compact presentation of G.

Proof. This corollary follows from the previous one and from the fact that any connected covering of a simply connected Lie group is an isomorphism. □

Example 8.A.17 (compact presentations of Lie groups)**.** (1) The additive group $\mathbf{R}$ is generated by the compact interval $[-1, 1]$. Let $\widetilde{S}$ be a set given with a bijection $\widetilde{S} \ni \widetilde{s} \leftrightarrow s \in [-1, 1]$; then

$$\left\langle \widetilde{S} \;\middle|\; \widetilde{s}\widetilde{t} = \widetilde{u} \text{ for all } s, t, u \in [-1, 1] \text{ such that } s + t = u \right\rangle$$

is a compact presentation of $\mathbf{R}$.

(2) Let $\mathrm{SL}_2^{\mathrm{univ}}(\mathbf{R})$ denote the universal cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, and π the corresponding covering map. Let c be a positive constant with $0 < c < 1$. Set

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \;\middle|\; |t-1| \leq c,\ |x| \leq c,\ |y| \leq c,\ |z-1| \leq c \right\}.$$

Let $\widetilde{S}$ be a set given with a bijection $\widetilde{S} \ni \widetilde{s} \leftrightarrow s \in S$, and set

$$R = \{\widetilde{g}\widetilde{h}\widetilde{k}^{-1} \mid g, h, k \in S \text{ and } k = gh \in S\}.$$

As an example for Corollary 8.A.15, $\langle \widetilde{S} \mid R \rangle$ is a compact presentation of $\mathrm{SL}_2^{\mathrm{univ}}(\mathbf{R})$.

Set moreover

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi/n) & \sin(\pi/n) \\ -\sin(\pi/n) & \cos(\pi/n) \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } n \in \mathbf{N} \text{ large enough so that } u \in S,$$
$$R' = R \cup \{(\widetilde{u})^{2n}\}.$$

Recall that the kernel of the universal covering $\langle \widetilde{S} \mid R \rangle \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ is an infinite cyclic group, and observe that $(\widetilde{u})^{2n}$ is a generator of this kernel. Hence, as an example of Corollary 8.A.16, $\langle \widetilde{S} \mid R' \rangle$ is a compact presentation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$.

This procedure of writing down compact presentations can be adapted at will to other groups of real or complex matrices.

(3) We refer to [Viro] for an other kind of presentations of groups such as $\mathbf{R}^n \rtimes O(n)$, with S the *non-compact* set of all reflections of $\mathbf{R}^n$. These presentations are bounded, but not compact.

Here is a strengthening of Proposition 8.A.13 for groups containing compact open subgroups, as in Proposition 2.E.9.

Proposition 8.A.18. *Let G be a compactly* generated *LC-group containing a compact open subgroup.*

There exists an LC-group $\widetilde{G}$, an open epimorphism with discrete kernel $\widetilde{G} \twoheadrightarrow G$, and a vertex transitive proper continuous action of $\widetilde{G}$ on a regular tree T of bounded valency. In particular, $\widetilde{G}$ is compactly presented.

If G is totally disconnected, then $\widetilde{G}$ is totally disconnected as well.

If G has no non-trivial compact normal subgroup, then the action of $\widetilde{G}$ on T can be chosen to be faithful.

Proof. Let X be a Cayley-Abels graph for G, as in 2.E.9; recall that X is a graph of bounded valency. Let N denote the kernel of the action of G on X; it is a compact normal subgroup of G, and $H := G/N$ acts faithfully on X. We denote by $\rho : G \twoheadrightarrow H$ the canonical projection. Recall that the automorphism group of X is naturally an isometry group, that we denote by $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$; see Example 5.B.11. In particular, $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ has a natural topology that makes it an LC-group, and we can identify H with a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$; see Proposition 5.B.6. For $x \in X$, let H_x denote the isotropy group $\{h \in H \mid h(x) = x\}$, and similarly for $\mathrm{Isom}(X)_x$. Since $\mathrm{Isom}(X)_x$ is compact (Lemma 5.B.6(2)), and contains H_x as a closed subgroup, H_x is compact.

Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the universal covering tree of X, and $\pi : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ the covering projection. Let $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{X})$ be the group of automorphisms of $\widetilde{X}$, with the LC-topology defined in Section 5.B. Define $\widetilde{H}$ as the subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{X})$ of isometries covering automorphisms in H. By covering theory, the natural homomorphism $p : \widetilde{H} \longrightarrow H$ is surjective. Moreover, for all $x \in X$ and $\tilde{x} \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, there is a continuous section $s_x : H_x \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_{\tilde{x}}$ of p over H_x, i.e. a continuous homomorphism such that ps_x is the identity of H_x. It follows that $\widetilde{H}_{\tilde{x}}$ is a compact subgroup for all $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}$, hence that $\widetilde{H}$ is closed in $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{X})$.

In particular, $\widetilde{H}$ is an LC-group, $\ker(p)$ has trivial intersection with $\widetilde{H}_{\tilde{x}}$ for one (equivalently all) $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}$, and therefore $\ker(p)$ is discrete in $\widetilde{H}$.

Consider the fibre product $\widetilde{G} = G \times_H \widetilde{H} := \{(g, \tilde{h}) \in G \times \widetilde{H} \mid \rho(g) = p(\tilde{h})\}$, see Definition 2.C.14. If G is totally disconnected, so is $\widetilde{G}$.

The projection $\pi_G : \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ is surjective with discrete kernel, because $\ker(p)$ is discrete in $\widetilde{H}$ (see Remark 2.C.15(1)). The projection $\pi_{\widetilde{H}} : \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}$ is surjective with compact kernel, indeed $\ker(\pi_{\widetilde{H}}) = N \times \{1\}$. The action of $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{X})$ on $\widetilde{X}$ continuous, isometric, and proper; hence so is the action of $\widetilde{H}$ on $\widetilde{X}$; since the latter is vertex-transitive, it is also geometric. Hence, similarly, the action of $\widetilde{G}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ is geometric.

Since $\widetilde{X}$ is a tree, it follows that $\widetilde{G}$ is coarsely simply connected. Hence $\widetilde{G}$ is compactly presented.

Denote by K the kernel of the action of $\widetilde{G}$ on $\widetilde{X}$. Since this actions factors through $\widetilde{H}$, we have $K \subset N \times \{1\}$. When G has no compact normal subgroup, we have $N = \{1\}$, and it follows that $K = 1$, i.e. that the action of $\widetilde{G}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ is faithful. □

The following proposition and corollary can be found in articles by Abels. See in particular 2.1 in [Abel–69], as well as Satz A and 3.2 in [Abel–72]. Recall that connected-by-compact LC-groups are compactly generated (Proposition 5.A.1).

Proposition 8.A.19. *Every connected-by-compact LC-group is compactly presented.*

Proof. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G. The space G/K has a G-invariant structure of analytic manifold, which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^n$ for some n (Theorem 2.E.16). There exists a G-invariant Riemannian structure on G/K (Proposition 4.C.8); denote by $\underline{d}$ the Riemannian metric on G/K and by d the pseudo-metric on G defined by $d(g_1, g_2) = \underline{d}(g_1K, g_2K)$.

Being geodesic and simply connected, the space $(G/K, \underline{d})$ is coarsely simply connected (Proposition 6.A.10). Since the canonical map $(G, d) \longrightarrow (G/K, \underline{d})$ is a quasi-isometry, the space (G, d) is coarsely simply connected (Proposition 6.A.7). Hence G is compactly presented (Proposition 8.A.3). □

Corollary 8.A.20. *An LC-group G is compactly presented if and only the quotient group G/G_0 is compactly presented.*

Proof. Since the connected component G_0 of G is compactly presented by Proposition 8.A.19, the corollary follows from Proposition 8.A.10. □

Corollary 8.A.21. *Let L be a connected Lie group.*
(1) The fundamental group $\pi_1(L)$ is finitely generated.
(2) Every discrete normal subgroup of L is finitely generated.

Proof. Denote by E the universal cover of L, by π its projection onto L, and consider the short exact sequence

$$\pi_1(L) \hookrightarrow E \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} L,$$

where $\pi_1(L)$ is viewed as a discrete central subgroup E (see Proposition 2.D.3). For a central subgroup of E, finite generation as a group coincides with finite generation as a normal subgroup. Since E and L are compactly presented by Proposition 8.A.19, Claim (1) follows from Proposition 8.A.10.

Let Γ be a discrete normal subgroup of L. Since $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is a discrete normal subgroup of E, it can be viewed as the fundamental group of the connected Lie group $E/\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Claim (1) follows from CLaim (2). □

The following proposition is Theorem 3.4 in [Abel–72].

Proposition 8.A.22 (abelian and nilpotent groups)**.** *Every abelian compactly generated LC-group is compactly presented.*

More generally, every nilpotent compactly generated LC-group is compactly presented.

Proof. A compactly generated LCA-group contains a cocompact lattice that is a finitely generated free abelian group (Example 5.C.7(2)), hence is compactly presented by Corollary 8.A.5.

Consider now a nilpotent compactly generated LC-group G. Let i be the smallest integer such that C^iG is central is G. We proceed by induction on i. Since the case $i = 1$ is that of abelian groups, we can assume that $i \geq 2$ and that the proposition holds up to $i - 1$. We have a short exact sequence

$$\overline{C^iG} \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow G/\overline{C^iG},$$

with G compactly generated. Since $[G, C^{i-1}(G)] \subset \overline{C^iG}$, the group $C^{i-1}(G/\overline{C^iG})$ is central; hence, by the induction hypothesis, $G/\overline{C^iG}$ is compactly presented. It follows from Proposition 8.A.10(2) that $\overline{C^iG}$ is compactly generated as a normal subgroup of G. Since $\overline{C^iG}$ is central, it is compactly generated as a group, and therefore (since it is abelian) it is compactly presented. Hence G is compactly presented, by Proposition 8.A.10(3). □

Proposition 8.A.23 (groups of polynomial growth)**.** *Let G be a locally compact, compactly generated group of polynomial growth. Then G is compactly presented.*

Proof. By a result of Losert [Lose–87], G has a compact normal subgroup K such that $L := G/K$ is a Lie group of polynomial growth. On the one hand, the connected component L_0 of L is compactly presented by Proposition 8.A.19. On the other hand, the discrete group L/L_0 is finitely presented, by Gromov's theorem [Grom–81] and Proposition 8.A.22. Hence Proposition 8.A.23 follows from Proposition 8.A.10. □

Remark 8.A.24. Groups of polynomial growth have been characterized by Gromov (case of discrete groups), Guivarc'h and Jenkins (LC-groups with conditions), and Losert (LC-groups in general). See [Grom–81b], [Guiv–73], [Jenk–73], and [Lose–01].

It can be shown that any compactly generated LC-group of polynomial growth is quasi-isometric to a simply connected solvable Lie group of polynomial growth (but, in general, "solvable" cannot be replaced by "nilpotent") [Breu–14]. Compare with Example 3.A.12(7).

Proposition 8.A.25 (Gromov-hyperbolic groups)**.** *Let G be a compactly generated LC-group. If G is Gromov-hyperbolic (see Remark 4.B.15), then G is compactly presented.*

Proof. Gromov has shown that, for a compact generating set S of G and a large enough constant c, the Rips complex $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(G,S)$ is simply connected. (The full Rips complex $\mathrm{Rips}_c(G,S)$ is contractible, see [Grom–87, 1.7.A]). Hence the metric space (G, d_S) is coarsely simply connected (Proposition 6.C.4), so that G is compactly presented. □

Proposition 8.A.26. *For every local field $\mathbf{K}$, the group $\mathbf{K}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ is compactly generated but not compactly presented.*

Proof. Define here the Heisenberg group $H_{\mathbf{K}}$ as the set $\mathbf{K}^3$ with the multiplication

$$(x,y,t)(x',y',t') \;=\; (x+x', y+y', t+t'+xy'-x'y).$$

The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ acts on $H(\mathbf{K})$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (x,y,t) = (ax+by, cx+dy, t).$$

It is easy to check that the semidirect product $G := H(\mathbf{K}) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ is compactly generated. The centre Z of G coincides with the centre of $H(\mathbf{K})$, isomorphic to $\mathbf{K}$; in particular, Z is not compactly generated as a normal subgroup of G. Thus by Proposition 8.A.10(2), $G/Z \simeq \mathbf{K}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ is not compactly presented. □

Note. If the characteristic of $\mathbf{K}$ is not 2, the map

$$H(\mathbf{K}) \ni (x,y,t) \longmapsto \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2x & 2t \\ 0 & 0 & 2y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2x & 2(t+xy) \\ 0 & 1 & 2y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbf{K})$$

provides a topological isomorphism of the group $H(\mathbf{K})$ defined here with the matrix group $H(\mathbf{K})$ defined in Example 3.B.9.

Remark 8.A.27. Proposition 8.A.26 carries over to $\mathbf{K}^{n+1} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ for $n = 2m-1$ odd, with a similar proof; the group $H_{\mathbf{K}}$ has to be replaced by a higher dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathbf{K}^m \times \mathbf{K}^m \times \mathbf{K}$, with product

$$(x,y,t)(x',y',t') \;=\; (x+x', y+y', t+t'+\omega(x,y)),$$

where ω is a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form on $\mathbf{K}^m$. The proof cannot be adapted to the case of n even, but there is another proof: see Proposition 8.D.4.

8.B Topological amalgamated products and HNN extensions

Definition 8.B.1. Let G be a group, H a subgroup, and $\mathcal{T}_H$ a topology on H making it a topological group.

For $g \in G$, the topology $\mathcal{T}_H$ is **g-compatible** if there exist open subgroups H_1, H_2 of H such that $gH_1g^{-1} = H_2$ and $H_1 \longrightarrow H_2$, $h \longmapsto ghg^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of topological groups.

The topology $\mathcal{T}_H$ is **G-compatible** if it is g-compatible for all $g \in G$.

Lemma 8.B.2. *Let $G, H, \mathcal{T}_H$ be as in Definition 8.B.1. Then*

$$\{g \in G \mid \mathcal{T}_H \text{ is } g\text{-compatible}\}$$

is a subgroup of G containing H.

Proof. Let $g, g' \in G$. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}_H$ is g-compatible and g'-compatible. Then there are open subgroups H_1, H_2, H_1', H_2' of H and topological isomorphisms

$$H_1 \longrightarrow H_2, \ h \longmapsto ghg^{-1}, \quad H_1' \longrightarrow H_2', \ h \longmapsto g'hg'^{-1}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{T}_H$ is gg'-compatible, because

$$H_1 \cap g^{-1}(H_2 \cap H_1')g \longrightarrow g'H_2g'^{-1} \cap H_2', \quad h \longmapsto g'gh(g'g)^{-1}$$

is a topological isomorphism. Obviously, $\mathcal{T}_H$ is g^{-1}-compatible. □

Proposition 8.B.3. *In the situation of Definition 8.B.1, suppose that there exists a topology $\mathcal{T}_G$ on G inducing $\mathcal{T}_H$ on H, making G a topological group with H an open subgroup. Then $\mathcal{T}_H$ is G-compatible.*

Proof. With the notation of Definition 8.B.1, for all $g \in G$, it suffices to choose $H_1 = g^{-1}Hg \cap H$ and $H_2 = H \cap gHg^{-1}$. □

The following proposition can be seen as a converse of Proposition 8.B.3.

Proposition 8.B.4. *Let $G, H, \mathcal{T}_H$ be as in Definition 8.B.1. If $\mathcal{T}_H$ is G-compatible, then there exists a unique topology $\mathcal{T}_G$ inducing $\mathcal{T}_H$ on H, and making G a topological group with H an open subgroup.*

If, moreover, H is an LC-group for $\mathcal{T}_H$, then G is an LC-group f or $\mathcal{T}_G$.

The proof is just after 8.B.6.

Lemma 8.B.5. *Let G be a group and $\mathcal{T}$ a topology (possibly non-Hausdorff) on G. Consider the following continuity properties, with respect to $\mathcal{T}$ on G and $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$ on $G \times G$:*

(M) the multiplication $G \times G \longrightarrow G$ is continuous at $(1, 1)$;

(I) the inversion $G \longrightarrow G$, $g \longmapsto g^{-1}$ is continuous at 1;

(C) for all $g_0 \in G$, the conjugation $G \longrightarrow G$, $g \longmapsto g_0^{-1}gg_0$ is continuous at 1;

(L) for all $g_0 \in G$, the left multiplication $G \longrightarrow G$, $g \longmapsto g_0g$ is continuous;

(R) for all $g_0 \in G$, the right multiplication $G \longrightarrow G$, $g \longmapsto gg_0$ is continuous.
The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\mathcal{T}$ is a group topology on G;
(ii) Properties (M), (I), (L), (R) hold;
(iii) Properties (M), (I), (C), (L) hold.

Note. Let S be a generating set of G. Condition (C) is equivalent to:
(C') for all $g_0 \in S$, the conjugation $G \longrightarrow G$, $g \longmapsto g_0^{-1}gg_0$ is continuous at 1.

Proof. Implications (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are obvious.

Assume that (ii) holds. Let $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(h_j)_{j\in J}$ be two nets in G converging respectively to g and h. We have $\lim_i g^{-1}g_i = 1$ and $\lim_j h_j h^{-1} = 1$ by (R) and (L), hence $\lim_{i,j} g^{-1}g_i h_j h^{-1} = 1$ by (M), and therefore $\lim_{i,j} g_i h_j = gh$ by (L) and (R) again. Hence the multiplication is continuous. Since we have $\lim_i g^{-1}g_i = 1$, we have also $\lim_i g_i^{-1}g = 1$ by (I), and therefore $\lim_i g_i^{-1} = g^{-1}$ by (R). Hence the inversion is continuous. We have shown that (i) holds.

Assume now that Properties (M), (I), and (L) hold. It is then obvious that (R) implies (C). Conversely, assume that, furthermore, (C) holds. Let $(g_i)_{i\in I}$ be a net in G converging to $g \in G$, and let $g_0 \in G$. We have $\lim_i g^{-1}g_i = 1$ by (L), hence $\lim_i g_0^{-1}g^{-1}g_i g_0 = 1$ by (C), hence $\lim_i g_i g_0 = gg_0$ by (L). Hence Condition (R) holds. This shows that Conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. □

Definition 8.B.6. Let G be a group and H a subgroup. A **right transversal** for H in G is a subset T of G containing 1 such that the multiplication $H \times T \longrightarrow G$, $(h,t) \longmapsto ht$ is a bijection.

Proof of Proposition 8.B.4. Let us first show the existence of $\mathcal{T}_G$. Let T be a right transversal of H in G. Consider on $H \times T$ the product of the topology $\mathcal{T}_H$ on H with the discrete topology on T. Let $\mathcal{T}_G$ be the topology such that the bijection $H \times T \longrightarrow G$, $(h,t) \longmapsto ht$ is a homeomorphism. Then H is open in G for $\mathcal{T}_G$.

Condition (I) of Lemma 8.B.5 holds, because H is a topological group and an open neighbourhood of 1 in G for $\mathcal{T}_G$. Condition (C) holds because $\mathcal{T}_H$ is G-compatible.

Let us check Condition (M) of Lemma 8.B.5. Let $(h_i)_{i\in I}$, $(k_j)_{j\in J}$ be nets in H and $(t_i)_{i\in I}$, $(u_j)_{j\in J}$ be nets in T such that $\lim_{i,j} h_i t_i = 1$ and $\lim_{i,j} k_j u_j = 1$ in G. This implies $\lim_i t_i = 1 \in T$; since T has the discrete topology, there is no loss of generality in assuming $t_i = 1$ for all $i \in I$; similarly, we assume $u_j = 1$ for all $j \in J$. Hence $\lim_{i,j} h_i t_i k_j u_j = \lim_{i,j} h_i k_j = 1$, where the last equality holds because H is a topological group.

There is a particular case of Condition (R) of Lemma 8.B.5 that follows from the definition of the topology $\mathcal{T}_G$: for every converging net $(h'_i)_{i\in I}$ in H and every element $g_0 \in G$, we have $\lim_i (h'_i g_0) = (\lim_i h'_i)g_0$.

Let us check Condition (L) of Lemma 8.B.5. Let $(h_i)_{i\in I}$ be a net in H and $(t_i)_{i\in I}$ a net in T such that $\lim_i h_i t_i = ht \in G$, for some $h \in H$ and $t \in T$. Let $h_0 \in H$ and $t_0 \in T$. We have to check that $\lim_i (h_0 t_0 h_i t_i) = h_0 t_0 ht$. As above, there is no loss of generality in assuming $t_i = t$ for all $i \in I$. We have $\lim_i h_i^{-1}h = 1$ in H, and

therefore $\lim_i h_0t_0h_ih^{-1}t_0^{-1}h_0^{-1} = 1$, because $\mathcal{T}_H$ is G-compatible. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_i h_0t_0h_it &= \lim_i \big((h_0t_0h_ih^{-1}t_0^{-1}h_0^{-1})h_0t_0ht\big)\\ &= \Big(\lim_i h_0t_0h_ih^{-1}t_0^{-1}h_0^{-1}\Big)\, h_0t_0ht \;=\; h_0t_0ht,\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds by the particular case already checked of Condition (R).

By Lemma 8.B.5, G with $\mathcal{T}_G$ is a topological group.

To check the uniqueness of $\mathcal{T}_G$, note that, given *any* right transversal T for H in G, the natural bijection from $H \times T$ to G has to be a homeomorphism, with $H \times T$ having the product of the topology $\mathcal{T}_H$ on H and the discrete topology on T. □

Example 8.B.7. (1) Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G. Any G-invariant group topology on N is G-compatible.

Consider in particular a group topology $\mathcal{T}_1$ on G. The restriction $\mathcal{T}_N$ of $\mathcal{T}_1$ to N is a G-compatible topology on N. Hence, by Proposition 8.B.4, there exists a unique group topology $\mathcal{T}_2$ on G with respect to which N is an open subgroup.

Note that, if $(G, \mathcal{T}_1)$ is locally compact and N is $\mathcal{T}_1$-closed, then $(G, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is locally compact as well. Also, if G/N is uncountable, then $(G, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is not σ-compact.

(2) Other examples of G-compatible topologies appear in Propositions 8.B.9 (amalgamated products) and 8.B.10 (HNN-extensions).

(3) Let us indicate examples of non-compatible topologies on subgroups.

Consider a topological group G, a subgroup H, and the restriction $\mathcal{T}_H$ to H of the group topology given on G. If $\mathcal{T}_H$ is G-compatible and H is connected, then H is normal in G. Hence, if H is a non-normal connected subgroup of G, then $\mathcal{T}_H$ is not G-compatible. For example, the pair

$$H := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{R} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$$

provides a non-G-compatible topology $\mathcal{T}_H$ on H.

Definition 8.B.8. Propositions 8.B.9 and 8.B.10 deal with amalgamated products and HNN extensions for *topological groups*. In preparation for these, we recall the relevant definitions for *groups*.

The original articles are [Schr–27] for amalgamated products and [HiNN–49] for HNN extensions. A standard reference is [LySc–77, Chapter IV, § 2]. The two notions are particular cases of a more general notion, fundamental groups of graphs of groups, which is one of the main subjects in [Serr–70].

(1) Consider two groups A, B, a subgroup C of A, and an isomorphism φ of C onto a subgroup of B. There exists a group G, well-defined up to canonical isomorphisms, and two monomorphisms $\iota_A : A \hookrightarrow G$, $\iota_B : B \hookrightarrow G$, such that the following "universal property" holds:

> for every group G' and homomorphisms $\alpha : A \longrightarrow G'$, $\beta : B \longrightarrow G'$ such that $\beta(\varphi(c)) = \alpha(c)$ for all $c \in C$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\gamma : G \longrightarrow G'$ such that $\gamma \circ \iota_A = \alpha$ and $\gamma \circ \iota_B = \beta$.

The group G, denoted here by $A *_C B$, is the **amalgamated product** of A and B with respect to C and φ. Often, A, B are identified to subgroups of G, using ι_A, ι_B respectively, and C is identified to a subgroup of *both* A and B.

The existence of G with the required properties has to be proved, but this is standard, and will not be repeated here. Note that $A *_C B$ is the free product $A * B$ when C is reduced to $\{1\}$, and that $A *_C B \simeq A$ when $C = B$. An amalgamated product $A *_C B$ is **non-trivial** if $A \supsetneq C \subsetneq B$

If A and B have presentations $\langle S_A \mid R_A\rangle$ and $\langle S_B \mid R_B\rangle$ respectively, then $A *_C B$ has the presentation $\langle S_A \sqcup S_B \mid R_A \sqcup R_B \sqcup (c = \varphi(c) \ \forall c \in C)\rangle$, that we write shortly (and abusively, see Definition 7.A.2)

$$A \underset{C}{*} B \ = \ \langle A, B \mid c = \varphi(c) \ \forall\, c \in C\rangle.$$

(2) Consider a group H, two subgroups K, L of H, and an isomorphism φ of K onto L. There exists a group G, well-defined up to canonical isomorphisms, a monomorphism $\iota_H : H \hookrightarrow G$, and an element $t \in G$, such that the following "universal property" holds:

> for every group G' with an element $t' \in G'$ and homomorphism $\psi : H \longrightarrow G'$ such that $\psi(\varphi(k)) = t'\psi(k)t'^{-1}$ for all $k \in K$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\gamma : G \longrightarrow G'$ such that $\gamma \circ \iota_H = \psi$ and $\gamma(t) = t'$.

The group G, denoted here by $\mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$, is the **HNN-extension** corresponding to H, K, L, φ, and the element $t \in G$ is the **stable letter**. (Note that, in the literature, our t is often t^{-1}.) We will identify H to a subgroup of G, using ι_H. Such an extension is **ascending** if $K = H$ and **non-ascending** if $K \neq H \neq L$

As in (1) above, the existence of G requires a proof, but it is standard and will not be repeated here. Note that, when K (and therefore L) is reduced to $\{1\}$, then G is the free product of H with the infinite cyclic group generated by t.

If H has a presentation $\langle S_H \mid R_H\rangle$, then $\mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$ has the presentation $\langle S_H \sqcup \{t\} \mid R_H \sqcup (tkt^{-1} = \varphi(k) \ \forall k \in K)\rangle$, that we write shortly

$$\mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi) \ = \ \langle H, t \mid tkt^{-1} = \varphi(k) \ \forall\, k \in K\rangle.$$

Proposition 8.B.9. *Let A, B be topological groups, C an open subgroup of A, and $\varphi : C \xrightarrow{\simeq} \varphi(C) \subset B$ a topological isomorphism of C onto an open subgroup of B; let*

$$G \ = \ A \underset{C}{*} B \ = \ \langle A, B \mid c = \varphi(c) \ \forall\, c \in C\rangle$$

denote the corresponding ***amalgamated product****.*

(1) There exists a unique group topology on G such that the inclusion $C \hookrightarrow G$ is a topological isomorphism onto an open subgroup. Moreover, the natural homomorphisms $A \hookrightarrow G$ and $B \hookrightarrow G$ are topological isomorphisms onto open subgroups of G.

(2) If C is locally compact, then G is locally compact.

(3) If A and B are compactly generated [respectively σ-compact], then G has the same property.

(4) Assume that A and B are compactly presented. Then G is compactly presented if and only if C is compactly generated.

Proof. (1) By Proposition 8.B.3, the topology of C is both A-compatible and B-compatible. By Lemma 8.B.2, it is G-compatible. By Proposition 8.B.4, G has a unique topology $\mathcal{T}_G$ making it a topological group inducing the given topology on C, and in which C is an open subgroup.

Denote by i_A the inclusion of A into G. Since i_A induces a topological isomorphism $C \xrightarrow{\simeq} i_A(C)$, and $i_A(C)$ is open, it follows that it also induces a topological isomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\simeq} i_A(A)$, with open image. The same holds for B.

Claims (2) and (3) are obvious.

For (4), choose two compact presentations

$$A = \langle S_A \mid R_A \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad B = \langle S_B \mid R_B \rangle.$$

Suppose first that C is compactly generated; in this case, we assume moreover that S_A contains a compact generating set S_C of C and that S_B contains $\varphi(S_C)$. For $c \in C$ we write $(c)_A$ for c viewed in A and $(c)_B$ for $\varphi(c)$ viewd in B. We have presentations

$$\begin{aligned} A *_C B &= \langle A, B \mid (c)_A = (c)_B \ \forall\, c \in C \rangle \\ &= \langle A, B \mid (c)_A = (c)_B \ \forall\, c \in S_C \rangle \\ &= \langle S_A, S_B \mid R_A,\ R_B,\ (c)_A = (c)_B \ \forall\, c \in S_C \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The last one is a compact presentation, because $(c)_A = (c)_B$ is a relator of length 2 for each $c \in S_C$.

For the converse implication, consider a nested sequence of compactly generated open subgroups

$$C_0 \subset C_1 \subset \cdots \subset C_n \subset C_{n+1} \subset \cdots \subset C := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} C_n$$

(see Proposition 2.C.3). For each $n \geq 0$, let K_n denote the kernel of the canonical epimorphism $A *_{C_0} B \twoheadrightarrow A *_{C_n} B$. Observe that $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$, and that the kernel of the canonical epimorphism $A *_{C_0} B \twoheadrightarrow A *_C B$ is $K := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} K_n$. Since $K \cap C_0 = \{1\}$, and C_0 is open in G, the kernels K_n and K are discrete in G.

Suppose now that G is compactly presented. Then K is finitely generated as a normal subgroup of G, by Proposition 8.A.10. It follows that there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $K = K_m$, and therefore such that $C = C_m$; hence C is compactly generated.

Claim (4) appears in [Baum–93, Theorem 9, Page 117], for G discrete. □

Proposition 8.B.10. *Consider a topological group H, two open subgroups K, L of H, a topological isomorphism $\varphi : K \xrightarrow{\simeq} L$, and the resulting* ***HNN extension***

$$G = \mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi) = \langle H, t \mid tkt^{-1} = \varphi(k) \ \forall\, k \in K \rangle$$

where t is the stable letter.

(1) There exists a unique topology on G which makes it a topological group in which H is an open subgroup.
(2) If H is locally compact [respectively σ-compact], then G has the same property.
(3) If H is compactly generated, then G has the same property.
(4) Assume that H is compactly presented. Then G is compactly presented if and only if K is compactly generated.

Proof. The topology of H is both H-compatible and t-compatible, and therefore G-compatible by Lemma 8.B.2. Claims (1) and (2) are straightforward applications of Proposition 8.B.4, and (3) is immediate.

For (4), choose a compact presentation $\langle S_H \mid R_H \rangle$ of H. Suppose first that K is compactly generated; in this case, we assume moreover that S_H contains a compact generating set S_K of K, as well as $\varphi(S_K)$. We have presentations

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \langle H, t \mid tkt^{-1} = \varphi(k) \ \forall\, k \in K \rangle \\ &= \langle H, t \mid tkt^{-1} = \varphi(k) \ \forall\, k \in S_K \rangle \\ &= \langle S_H, t \mid R_H, \ \ tkt^{-1} = \varphi(k) \ \forall\, k \in S_K \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The last one is a compact presentation, because $tkt^{-1} = \varphi(k)$ is a relator of length 4 for each $k \in S_K$.

The proof of the converse implication is similar to the end of the proof of Proposition 8.B.9, and we leave the details to the reader.

Claim (4) appears in [Baum–93, Page 118], for G discrete. □

Remark 8.B.11. (1) By a result of Alperin, if G is an LC-group, and if the abstract group G is an amalgam $A *_C B$, then C is an open subgroup in G. Similarly, if the abstract group G is an HNN extension $\mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$, then K and L are open subgroups in G. See Corollary 2 of Theorem 6 in [Alpe–82].

(2) The two constructions are closely related. In particular, if $G = \mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$ is as in Proposition 8.B.10, then

$$G = (\cdots \underset{K}{*} H \underset{K}{*} H \underset{K}{*} H \underset{K}{*} H \underset{K}{*} H \underset{K}{*} \cdots) \rtimes_{\mathrm{shift}} \mathbf{Z},$$

where each left embedding $H \hookleftarrow K$ is the inclusion and each right embedding $K \hookrightarrow H$ is φ. See [HiNN–49] and [Serr–77, No I.1.4, Proposition 5].

(3) For an LC-group G and two open subgroups A, B of G, with $C = A \cap B$, the following two conditions are equivalent (see [Serr–77, § I.4.1]):

(i) $G = A *_C B$ as in Proposition 8.B.9,
(ii) G acts continuously without edge-inversion on a tree T, the action is transitive on the set of geometric edges of the tree, the quotient $G\backslash T$ is a segment, and A, B are the stabilizers of two adjacent vertices of the tree.

This is an application of [Serr–77] to the abstract group G; the continuity of the action in (ii) follows from the fact that all vertex and edge stabilizers are open.

For an analogue concerning HNN-extensions, see Remark 8.C.2(3).

(4) Let $G = A *_C B$ be as in Proposition 8.B.9. Assume moreover that the amalgam is **non-degenerate**, i.e. that C is of index at least 2 in A or B, and of index at least 3 in the other. Then G contains non-abelian free groups as discrete subgroups.

Similarly, let $G = \mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$ be as in Proposition 8.B.10. Suppose that the HNN extension is non-ascending, i.e. that $K \subsetneqq H \supsetneqq L$. Then G contains non-abelian free subgroups as discrete subgroups.

These facts can be checked by making use of Lemma 2.6 in [CuMo–87].

(5) Let G be an LC-group that is an ascending HNN-extension; we use the notation of Proposition 8.B.10. Then $N := \bigcup_{n\geq 1} t^{-n} H t^n$ is an open normal subgroup of G, and $G/N \simeq \mathbf{Z}$. In the particular case of a compact group H, the group N is locally elliptic, and it follows that N and G do not contain any discrete non-abelian free subgroup.

Definition 8.B.12. Let G be a compactly generated LC-group. Choose a geodesically adapted pseudo-metric d on G, as in Proposition 4.B.4, and a connected graph X of bounded valency that is quasi-isometric to (G, d), as in Proposition 3.D.15. The **space of ends** of G is the space of ends of X.

This definition is independent on the choices of d and X. In case G is totally disconnected, a convenient choice for X is a Cayley-Abels graph of G, see Definition 2.E.10.

Similarly to what happens in the discrete case, there are four cases to distinguish: the space of ends of a compactly generated LC-group can be

(0) empty, if and only if G is compact,
(1) a singleton space, in some sense the generic case,
(2) a two-points space, if and only if G has a compact open normal subgroup N such that G/N is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}$, or the infinite dihedral group $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{Z})$, or $\mathbf{R}$, or $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R} \rtimes (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$,
(∞) an infinite space, in which case it is uncountable (see Theorem 8.B.13).

For another definition of the space of ends of an LC-group (not necessarily compactly generated), see [Spec–50] and [Houg–74].

For totally disconnected LC-groups, we have an analogue of Stallings' splitting theorem, due to Abels [Abel–74]; see also [Abel–77], and the review article [Moll].

Theorem 8.B.13. *Let G be a compactly generated totally disconnected LC-group, with an infinite space of ends. Then one of the following holds:*

(1) there are LC-groups A, B, a compact open subgroup C of A, of index at least 2, and a topological isomorphism of C onto an open subgroup of B, of index at least 3, such that G is topologically isomorphic to $A *_C B$, as in Proposition 8.B.9;
(2) there are a topological group H, two compact open subgroups K, L of H, with $K \neq H$, and a topological isomorphism φ of K onto L such that G is topologically isomorphic to $\mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$, as in Proposition 8.B.10.

8.C Homomorphisms to Z and splittings

8.C.a A topological version of the Bieri-Strebel splitting theorem

Definition 8.C.1. Let G be a group, H a subgroup, and $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ an epimorphism. We say that π **splits over** H, or has a **splitting over** H, if

(1) $H \subset \ker(\pi)$

and there exist splitting data, namely:

(2) an element, called the **stable letter**, $s \in \pi^{-1}(\{1\}) \subset G$;
(3) two subgroups K, L of H such that $L = sKs^{-1}$;
(4) an isomorphism

$$\psi : \mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi) = \langle H, t \mid tkt^{-1} = \varphi(k) \text{ for all } k \in K \rangle \xrightarrow{\simeq} G,$$

where φ is the isomorphism of K onto L defined by $\varphi(k) = sks^{-1}$, such that the restriction of ψ to H is the identity, and $\psi(t) = s$.

The splitting is

ascending if $K = H$,
descending if $L = H$,
essential if $K \neq H \neq L$.

If G is a group with a subgroup H, it is common to say that G **splits over** H if some epimorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ splits over H.

Remark 8.C.2. For (1) to (4) below, we continue with the notation of Definition 8.C.1.

(1) The homomorphism π splits over H if and only if $-\pi$ splits over H.

(2) The homomorphism π *always* splits over $N := \ker(\pi)$. Indeed since $\mathbf{Z}$ is free, π has a section $\sigma : \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow G$, hence $G = N \rtimes_\tau \mathbf{Z} \simeq \mathrm{HNN}(N, N, N, \tau)$ for τ the automorphism of N of conjugation by $\sigma(1)$. However, when it holds, it is a non-trivial property that G split over a *finitely generated* subgroup of N, or a *compactly generated* open subgroup in the LC-context.

(3) Let G be a topological group, H an open subgroup, and $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ a continuous epimorphism. Then π splits over H if and only if it satisfies the following:

There exists a continuous action of G on a tree T, without edge-inversion, with the following property: it is transitive on both the vertex set V and the set E of geometric edges of T, and there is a vertex in the tree whose stabilizer is H.

See [Serr–77]; the continuity argument is the same as in Remark 8.B.11(3).

(4) Suppose that G is a topological group, that the epimorphism π is continuous, and that H is an open subgroup of G. Consider an action of G on a tree $T = (V, E)$ as in (3) above. The stabilizer H_x of any vertex $x \in V$ is an open subgroup in G, because it is conjugate to H. The stabilizer of an edge $e \in E$ with origin $x \in V$ and

extremity $y \in V$ is also open, because it coincides with $H_x \cap H_y$. It follows that the action of G on T is continuous.

(5) Let $G = \mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \tau)$, with presentation $\langle H, t \mid tkt^{-1} = \tau(k) \ \forall k \in K\rangle$, be a group given by an HNN extension; define an epimorphism $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ by $\pi(H) = 0$ and $\pi(t) = 1$. Then $\ker(\pi)$ is the normal subgroup of G generated by H, as it can be seen on the presentation above; it is also a particular case of [Serr–77, Section I.5.4, Corollary 1].

The following result is essentially the extension to the LC-setting of a result due to Bieri and Strebel [BiSt–78, Theorem A]. A particular case has been used by Abels in [Abel–87, Proposition I.3.2].

Theorem 8.C.3 (Bieri-Strebel splitting theorem)**.** *Let G be a compactly presented LC-group.*

Every continuous epimorphism $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ splits over a compactly generated open subgroup of $\ker(\pi)$*.*

Corollary 8.C.4. *Let G be a compactly presented LC-group. Suppose that the free group of rank 2 does not embed as a discrete subgroup of G.*

For every continuous epimorphism $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, either π or $-\pi$ splits ascendingly over some compactly generated open subgroup.

Proof. By Theorem 8.C.3, we know that G is isomorphic, with an isomorphism commuting with the epimorphisms onto $\mathbf{Z}$, to $\mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$ for some compactly generated open subgroups H, K, L of G with $K \subset H$ and $L \subset H$. If we had $K \neq H \neq L$, the group G would contain a discrete non-abelian free subgroup (see Remark 8.B.11(4)). □

The following corollary, a strengthening of Theorem 8.C.3, is a consequence of its *proof*.

Corollary 8.C.5. *Let G be a compactly* generated *LC-group and $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ a continuous epimorphism.*

For every compactly presented *LC-group E and epimorphism $\rho : E \twoheadrightarrow G$, there exist a compactly generated LC-group G' and a factorization $E \twoheadrightarrow G' \twoheadrightarrow G$ of ρ with* $\ker(G' \twoheadrightarrow G)$ *discrete in G', such that the composite epimorphism $G' \twoheadrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ splits over a compactly generated open subgroup of G'.*

8.C.b Proofs

We introduce the following convenient shorthand:

Definition 8.C.6. An **inductive system of epimorphisms with uniformly discrete kernels**, or for short **ISED**, is a sequence

$$G_1 \overset{\varphi_{1,2}}{\twoheadrightarrow} G_2 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow G_n \overset{\varphi_{n,n+1}}{\twoheadrightarrow} G_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots,$$

where G_n is an LC-group and $\varphi_{n,n+1}$ a continuous epimorphism for each $n \geq 1$, such that $K_{1,\infty}$ (defined below) is a discrete subgroup of G_1.

For $n \geq m \geq 1$, let $K_{m,n}$ denote the kernel of the composition

$$\varphi_{m,n} := \varphi_{n-1,n} \circ \varphi_{n-2,n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{m,m+1} : G_m \twoheadrightarrow G_n.$$

Observe that $K_{m,n} \subset K_{m,n+1}$. Set $K_{m,\infty} := \bigcup_{n \geq m} K_{m,n}$. Define the LC-group

$$G_\infty = G_1/K_{1,\infty}.$$

Note that, for each $m \geq 1$, we have the equality $K_{m,\infty} = \varphi_{1,m}(K_{1,\infty})$, a canonical identification $G_\infty = G_m/K_{m,\infty}$, and a canonical epimorphism $\varphi_{m,\infty} : G_m \twoheadrightarrow G_\infty$. [Thus G_∞ is an inductive limit of the system $(G_n, \varphi_{n,n+1})_{n\geq 1}$ in both the category of groups and the category of LC-groups.]

Lemma 8.C.7. *The notation being as in Definition 8.C.6, suppose that G_1 is compactly generated.*

(1) Let E be a compactly presented group and $\rho : E \twoheadrightarrow G_\infty$ a continuous epimorphism. For m large enough, there exists a continuous epimorphism $\rho_m : E \twoheadrightarrow G_m$ such that $\rho = \varphi_{m,\infty} \circ \rho_m$.

(2) Suppose that G_∞ is compactly presented. Then $\varphi_{m,\infty} : G_m \longrightarrow G_\infty$ is an isomorphism for m large enough.

Proof. (1) By Proposition 2.C.16, there exists a compactly generated group L and two continuous epimorphisms $\pi_E, \pi_{G,1}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \overset{\pi_{G,1}}{\twoheadrightarrow} & G_1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{1,m}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_E} & & G_m \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{m,\infty}} \\ E & \overset{\rho}{\twoheadrightarrow} & G_\infty \end{array}$$

commutes; moreover, there exists a topological isomorphism of $\ker(\pi_E)$ to a discrete subgroup of $K_{1,\infty}$; in particular, $\ker(\pi_E)$ is discrete in L. Since the quotient E of L is compactly presented, the kernel of π_E is finitely generated as a normal subgroup (Proposition 8.A.10). Hence the image by $\pi_{G,1}$ of $\ker(\pi_E)$ in G_1 is also finitely generated as a normal subgroup of G_1. It follows that $\pi_{G,1}(\ker(\pi_E))$ is in $K_{1,m}$ for some $m \geq 1$, and therefore that ρ factors by a continuous epimorphism $\rho_m : E \twoheadrightarrow G_m$.

(2) This is a particular case of (1), for ρ the identity automorphism of G_∞. □

The Bieri-Strebel Theorem 8.C.3 follows from the following stronger but a bit technical result.

Theorem 8.C.8. *Let G be a compactly generated LC-group and $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ a continuous epimorphism.*

There exists an ISED $(G_n, \varphi_{n,n+1})_{n\geq 1}$ and a topological isomorphism $p_\infty : G_\infty \xrightarrow{\simeq} G$, such that, for every $m \geq 1$, the continuous epimorphism

$$\pi_m : G_m \overset{\varphi_{n,\infty}}{\twoheadrightarrow} G_\infty \overset{p_\infty}{\longrightarrow} G \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathbf{Z}$$

splits over a compactly generated open subgroup of G_m (where G_∞ and $\varphi_{m,\infty} : G_m \twoheadrightarrow G_\infty = G_1/K_{1,\infty} = G_m/K_{m,\infty}$ are as in Definition 8.C.6).

Proof. Set $N = \ker(\pi)$, and choose $t \in G$ such that $\pi(t) = 1 \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $\mathbf{Z}$ is finitely presented, Proposition 8.A.10 implies that there exists a compact subset S of N such that $\bigcup_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} t^j S t^{-j}$ generates N; observe that $S \cup \{t, t^{-1}\}$ is a compact generating set of G. We assume moreover that S is symmetric and has a non-empty interior.

The kernel N contains the union B_∞ of compactly generated open subgroups

$$B_n := \mathrm{gp}\{t^\ell s t^{-\ell} \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq n \text{ and } s \in S\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Consider for each $n \geq 1$ the two open subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} U_n &:= \mathrm{gp}\{t^\ell s t^{-\ell} \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq n-1 \text{ and } s \in S\} \\ V_n &:= \mathrm{gp}\{t^\ell s t^{-\ell} \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq n \text{ and } s \in S\} \end{aligned}$$

of B_n, the continuous isomorphism

$$\tau_n : U_n \xrightarrow{\simeq} V_n, \ u \longmapsto tut^{-1},$$

and the compactly generated LC-group HNN extension

$$G_n := \langle B_n, t_n \mid t_n u t_n^{-1} = \tau_n(u) \text{ for all } u \in U_n\rangle$$

(see Proposition 8.B.10). By Britton's lemma, we can and do view B_n as a subgroup of G_n. Observe that G_n is actually generated by S (contained in U_n) and t_n; indeed, the defining relations in G_n imply that

$$t_n^\ell s t_n^{-\ell} = (\tau_n)^\ell(s) = t^\ell s t^{-\ell}$$

for all ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n$ and $s \in S$. There are canonical continuous epimorphisms $\varphi_{n,n+1} : G_n \twoheadrightarrow G_{n+1}$; more precisely, $\varphi_{n,n+1}$ is defined by its restriction to B_n, which is the inclusion $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$, and by $\varphi_{n,n+1}(t_n) = t_{n+1}$. As in Definition 8.C.6, we have for all $m \geq 1$ a normal subgroup $K_{m,\infty} = \bigcup_{n\geq m} K_{m,n}$ of G_m, and we set and set

$$G_\infty = G_1/K_{1,\infty}.$$

Since $K_{1,\infty} \cap B_1 = \{1\}$, and B_1 is open in G_1, the subgroup $K_{1,\infty}$ of G_1 is discrete. Hence $(G_n, \varphi_{n,n+1})_{n\geq 1}$ is an ISED.

The sequence of nth term t_n provides an element that we denote by $t_\infty \in G_\infty$. Moreover, there are epimorphisms $\pi_m : G_m \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} G_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1,2}} & G_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2,3}} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & G_m & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m,m+1}} & G_{m+1} & \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n,\infty}} & G_\infty \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_m} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{m+1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_\infty} \\ \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \mathbf{Z} & \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \mathbf{Z} \end{array}$$

commutes. More precisely, π_m is defined by $\pi_m(B_m) = \{0\}$, and by $\pi_m(t_m) = 1$.

For each $m \geq 1$, we have also an epimorphism $p_m : G_m \twoheadrightarrow G = \langle S, t\rangle$, such that the restriction of p_m to B_m is the inclusion $B_m \subset N$, and $p_m(t_m) = t$. Since $p_m = p_{m+1}\varphi_{m,m+1}$, we have at the limit an epimorphism

$$p_\infty : G_\infty \twoheadrightarrow G$$

such that $p_\infty(t_\infty) = t$. Observe that $p_m = p_\infty \circ \varphi_{m,\infty}$ and $\pi_\infty = \pi \circ p_\infty$, and consequently $\pi \circ p_\infty \circ \varphi_{m,m+1} = \pi_\infty \circ \varphi_{m,\infty} = \pi_m$ for all $m \geq 1$.

We claim that p_∞ is an isomorphism. For this, it remains to show that $\ker(p_\infty) = \{1\}$, i.e. that $\ker(p_1) = K_{1,\infty}$.

Let $w \in G_1$. There exist $k \geq 0$, $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$ such that $w = t_1^{n_1} s_1 \cdots t_1^{n_k} s_k$. Assume that $w \in \ker(p_1 : G_1 \twoheadrightarrow G)$. Since $\pi_\infty(\varphi_{1,\infty}(w)) = \pi(p_1(w)) = 0$, we have $n_1 + \cdots + n_k = 0$. If $m_1 = n_1$, $m_2 = n_1 + n_2$, …, $m_{k-1} = n_1 + \cdots n_{k-1}$, $m_k = 0$, we can write $w = \prod_{j=1}^{m} t_1^{m_j} s_j t_1^{-m_j}$. Upon conjugating w by a large enough power of t, we can furthermore assume that $m_1, \ldots, m_k \geq 0$. If $m := \max\{m_1, \ldots, m_k\} + 1$, we can view w in G_m, indeed in B_m. Since the restriction of p_m to B_m is the inclusion $B_m \subset N \subset G$, we have $w = 1$ in G_m, i.e. $w \in K_{1,\infty}$, and the claim is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 8.C.3. Our strategy of proof has two steps. The first step holds for every compactly *generated* LC-group G given with a continuous epimorphism onto $\mathbf{Z}$: we construct an ISED $(G_n, \varphi_{n,n+1})_{n\geq 1}$ as in Theorem 8.C.8. For each $n \geq 1$, the group G_n splits over B_n, which is a compactly generated open subgroup of $\ker(\pi_n)$.

For the second step, it is crucial that G is compactly *presented*. By Lemma 8.C.7(2), the group G_m is isomorphic to G_∞, and therefore to G, for m large enough. The conclusion follows. □

Proof of Corollary 8.C.5. The first step of the proof of Theorem 8.C.3 applies without change. We have an ISED $(\varphi_{n,n+1} : G_n \twoheadrightarrow G_{n+1})_{n\geq 1}$ and epimorphisms $\rho, \varphi_{1,n}, \varphi_{n,\infty}$ as in the diagram below.

By Lemma 2.C.16, there exist a compactly generated LC-group D and two epimorphisms $\pi_E, \pi_{G,1}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\pi_{G,1}} & G_1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{1,n}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_E} & & G_n \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{n,\infty}} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\rho} & G \end{array}$$

commutes. By Lemma 8.C.7(1), we can lift ρ to a continuous epimorphism $\rho_m : E \twoheadrightarrow G_m$ for m large enough, and $\rho = \varphi_{m,\infty} \circ \rho_m$. The corollary holds with $G' = G_m$. □

8.C.c Engulfing automorphisms

Definition 8.C.9. Let N be a group and H a subgroup. An automorphism σ of N **engulfs** N **into** H if $\sigma(H) \subset H$ and $\bigcup_{k\geq 0} \sigma^{-k}(H) = N$.

Remark 8.C.10. Let N be an LC-group, H an open subgroup of N, and σ a topological automorphism of N.

(1) The automorphism σ engulfs N into H if and only if $\sigma(H) \subset H$ and, for every compact subset K of N, there exists $\ell \geq 0$ such that $\sigma^\ell(K) \subset H$.

Indeed, let K be a compact subset of N; if σ engulfs N into H, we have an open covering $\bigcup_{k\geq 0}(K \cap \sigma^{-k}(H))$ of K, hence there exists $\ell \geq 0$ such that $K \subset \sigma^{-\ell}(H)$, i.e. $\sigma^\ell(K) \subset H$.

(2) If σ engulfs N into H, then σ^k engulfs N into H for all $k \geq 1$. If moreover $H \subsetneqq N$, then $\sigma^{k+1}(H) \subsetneqq \sigma^k(H)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$; in particular, σ is of infinite order.

Example 8.C.11. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a local field, V a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{K}$, and $\varphi \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Then φ engulfs V into a compactly generated subgroup of V if and only if its spectral radius is strictly less than 1.

We use the following notation in the next proposition, and further down: for g in some group L, or in a larger group in which L is normal, α_g stands for the automorphism $x \longmapsto gxg^{-1}$ of L.

Proposition 8.C.12. *Let G be an LC-group, $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ a continuous epimorphism, and $s \in \pi^{-1}(\{1\})$; set $N = \ker(\pi)$; let H be an open subgroup of N. The following properties are equivalent:*

(i) π splits ascendingly over H with stable letter s,
(ii) α_s engulfs N into H.

Proof. If (i) holds, it is straightforward that (ii) holds.

Suppose conversely that (ii) holds. Since $sHs^{-1} \subset H$, there exists by the universal property of HNN extensions a homomorphism

$$\psi : \mathrm{HNN}(H, H, sHs^{-1}, \alpha_s) = \langle H, t \mid txt^{-1} = \alpha_s(x) \text{ for all } x \in H\rangle \longrightarrow G,$$

such that $\psi(x) = x$ for all $x \in H$ and $\psi(t) = s$. Since $\bigcup_{k\geq 0} s^{-k}Hs^k = N$, the subset $H \cup \{s\}$ of G is generating, hence ψ is surjective. It remains to check that $\ker(\psi) = \{1\}$.

Observe first that $s^k \notin \bigcup_{\ell\in\mathbf{N}} s^{-\ell}Hs^\ell$ for every $k \in \mathbf{Z} \smallsetminus \{0\}$. Every element in $\mathrm{HNN}(H, H, sHs^{-1}, \alpha_s)$ can be written as $t^{-m}xt^n$, with $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in H$. If $t^{-m}xt^n \in \ker(\psi)$, then $s^{-m}xs^n = 1 \in G$, i.e. $x = s^{m-n}$, hence $m = n$ and $x = 1$ by the observation. Hence $\ker(\psi) = \{1\}$. □

The engulfing property is not very sensitive to composition with inner automorphisms:

Lemma 8.C.13. *Let N be a group, H a subgroup, and σ an automorphism of N engulfing N into H. Then, for every inner automorphism α of N, there exists $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\alpha \circ \sigma$ engulfs N into $\sigma^{-m}(H)$.*

Proof. Let $h \in N$ be such that $\alpha = \alpha_h$. There exists $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $h \in \sigma^{-m}(H)$. Let us check that $\alpha \circ \sigma$ engulfs N into $\sigma^{-m}(H)$.

By a straightforward induction, $(\alpha_h \circ \sigma)^n(g) = (\alpha_{w_n} \circ \sigma^n)(g)$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $g \in N$, where $w_n = h\sigma(h)\cdots\sigma^{n-1}(g)$. We have $w_n \in \sigma^{-m}(H)$ and $\sigma^n(g) \in H \subset \sigma^{-m}(H)$ for n large enough, so that $(\alpha_h \circ \sigma)^n(g) \in \sigma^{-m}(H)$ for n large enough. □

Proposition 8.C.14. *Let G be an LC-group and $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ a continuous epimorphism; set $N = \ker(\pi)$; let H be an open subgroup of N. The following properties are equivalent:*

(i) π splits ascendingly over H;
(ii) for all $t \in \pi^{-1}(\{1\})$, the epimorphism π splits, with stable letter t, over some conjugate of H in N.

Proof. To show the non-trivial implication, assume that (i) holds. By Proposition 8.C.12, the automorphism α_s engulfs N into H *for some* $s \in \pi^{-1}(\{1\})$. Set $\beta = \alpha_{ts^{-1}}$; it is an inner automorphism of N. By Lemma 8.C.13, there exists $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that the automorphism $\alpha_t = \beta \circ \alpha_s$ engulfs N into $\alpha_s^{-m}(H)$. □

Remark 8.C.15. The interest of the previous proposition is that, if $\mathcal{P}$ is an isomorphism-invariant property of LC-groups, the condition that an LC-group G, given with a continuous epimorphism $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, splits over an open subgroup satisfying $\mathcal{P}$, with stable letter $t \in \pi^{-1}(\{1\})$, does not depend on the choice of t.

Corollary 8.C.16. *Let N be an LC-group, φ a topological automorphism of N, and $G = N \rtimes_\varphi \mathbf{Z}$ the corresponding semidirect product.*

(1) The following properties are equivalent:
  (i) the natural projection $G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ splits ascendingly over some compactly generated open subgroup of N,
  (ii) φ engulfs N into some compactly generated open subgroup of N.

  If (i) and (ii) hold, then G is compactly generated.

(2) The same holds, with "compactly presented" instead of "compactly generated".

Proof. (1) Implication (i) ⇒ (ii) holds by Proposition 8.C.12. Also, if (i) holds, then G is compactly generated by Proposition 8.B.10(3).

Assume now that (ii) holds. Let s denote the positive generator of $\mathbf{Z}$. By Proposition 8.C.14, π splits ascendingly with stable letter s. Then (i) holds by Proposition 8.C.12.

The proof of (2) is analogous, with reference to Proposition 8.B.10(4). □

Example 8.C.17. (1) Let p be a prime. The automorphism of multiplication by p engulfs $\mathbf{Q}_p$ into $\mathbf{Z}_p$. It follows from Corollary 8.C.16 that the group $\mathbf{Q}_p \rtimes_p \mathbf{Z} = \mathrm{HNN}(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Z}_p, p\mathbf{Z}_p, \times p)$ is compactly presented.

More generally, for $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$, let $G_\lambda = \mathbf{Q}_p \rtimes_\lambda \mathbf{Z}$ be the semidirect product for which $\mathbf{Z}$ acts on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ by $(n, x) \longmapsto \lambda^n x$. If λ is not a unit in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, the multiplication by λ or λ^{-1} engulfs $\mathbf{Q}_p$ into $\mathbf{Z}_p$, hence G_λ is compactly presented. If $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times = \mathbf{Z}_p \smallsetminus p\mathbf{Z}_p$ is a unit in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, then G_λ is not compactly generated, because $G_\lambda = \bigcup_{n\geq 0}(p^{-n}\mathbf{Z}_p \rtimes_\lambda \mathbf{Z})$ is a union of a strictly increasing sequence of compact open subgroups.

(2) The p-adic affine group $\mathbf{Q}_p \rtimes \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ is compactly presented. Indeed, since $\{p^n \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ is a cocompact lattice in $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times$, the group $G_p = \mathbf{Q}_p \rtimes_p \mathbf{Z}$ is closed and cocompact in $\mathbf{Q}_p \rtimes \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$; the conclusion follows from Corollary 8.A.5.

(3) For every positive integer m, the automorphism of multiplication by m engulfs $\mathbf{Z}[1/m]$ into $\mathbf{Z}$, in accordance with the fact that the solvable Baumslag-Solitar group

$$\mathrm{BS}(1,m) \;=\; \mathrm{HNN}(\mathbf{Z},\mathbf{Z},m\mathbf{Z},\times m) \;=\; \mathbf{Z}[1/m] \rtimes_m \mathbf{Z}$$

is finitely presented.

We can rephrase the Bieri-Strebel splitting theorem in terms of engulfing automorphisms:

Proposition 8.C.18. *Let N be an LC-group, φ a topological automorphism of N, and $G = N \rtimes_\varphi \mathbf{Z}$ the corresponding semidirect product. Assume that G is compactly presented. Then one of the following holds:*

(1) φ or φ^{-1} engulfs N into some compactly generated open subgroup of N.
(2) The projection $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ has an essential splitting over some compactly generated open subgroup; in particular, G has a non-abelian free discrete subgroup.

Proof. By the Bieri-Strebel theorem, there exists a splitting over a compactly generated open subgroup. If it is ascending or descending, Corollary 8.C.16 implies that (1) holds. Otherwise, the splitting is essential; see Remark 8.B.11(4) for the additional statement in (2). □

Corollary 8.C.19. *Let N be an LC-group, φ a topological automorphism of N, and $G = N \rtimes_\varphi \mathbf{Z}$ the corresponding semidirect product. Consider the following two conditions:*

(i) G is compactly presented;
(ii) φ or φ^{-1} engulfs N into a compact open subgroup of N.

Then (ii) implies (i).

If N is locally elliptic, then (i) implies (ii).

Proof. That Condition (ii) implies Condition (i) is part of Corollary 8.C.16.

Conversely, suppose that N is locally elliptic and that Condition (i) holds. Then (1) or (2) of Proposition 8.C.18 holds.

Suppose by contradiction that (2) holds, so that G has a non-abelian free discrete subgroup F. Upon replacing F by its derived subgroup, we can assume that $F \subset N$; this is preposterous, by Example 4.D.7(3).

It follows that Condition (ii) holds. □

Proposition 8.C.20. *Given an LC-group N and a topological automorphism φ of N, each of the following conditions implies that neither φ nor φ^{-1} engulfs N into some compactly generated open subgroup of N.*

(a) There exists $x \in N$ such that, for every compactly generated open subgroup H of N, the sets $\{n \in \mathbf{N} \mid \varphi^n(x) \notin H\}$ and $\{n \in \mathbf{N} \mid \varphi^{-n}(x) \notin H\}$ are both unbounded.
(b) There exists $x \in N$ such that, for every compactly generated open subgroup H of N, the set $\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \varphi^n(x) \in H\}$ is finite.

(c) The group of fixed points N^φ is not capped, i.e. is not contained in any compactly generated subgroup of N.
(d) The group N is locally elliptic, not compact, and the automorphism φ preserves the Haar measure.

Proof. Let x be as in (a). If φ or φ^{-1} were to engulf N into a compact open subgroup H of N, we would have $\varphi^n(x) \in H$ or $\varphi^{-n}(x) \in H$ for all n large enough, i.e. one of $\{y \in N \mid y = \varphi^n(x) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\{z \in N \mid z = \varphi^n(x) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ would be bounded. This is impossible if (a) holds.

Condition (b) implies Condition (a).

Similarly, if one of φ, φ^{-1} engulfs N into a compact open subgroup H of N, then $N^\varphi \subset H$. This is impossible when (c) holds.

Suppose now that N is locally elliptic; in particular, N is unimodular. As a comment on the statement of (d), and not as a step in the present proof, observe that φ preserves the Haar measure of N if and only if the group $G := N \rtimes_\varphi \mathbf{Z}$ is unimodular (see for example [BInt7-8, Chap. VIII, § 2, no 10]).

Suppose that (d) holds. Suppose also, by contradiction, that φ or φ^{-1} engulfs N into some compactly generated open subgroup H of N. By Proposition 8.C.12, G is isomorphic to an HNN-extension $\mathrm{HNN}(H, H, L, \tau)$, where τ is the restriction of φ to H. Since the modulus of φ is 1 we have $L = \tau(H) = \varphi(H) = H$. As $N = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \tau^{-n}(H)$, it follows that $N = H$, in particular that N is compactly generated. Since N is locally elliptic, N is compact, in contradiction with part of (d). This ends the proof. □

8.D Further examples

We now apply the Bieri-Strebel splitting theorem to various semidirect products.

8.D.a Semidirect products with Z

Example 8.D.1. (1) Let $\mathrm{Alt}_f(\mathbf{Z})$ denote the group of permutations of finite support of $\mathbf{Z}$ that are even on their support; it is an infinite locally finite group. Consider the group of permutations of $\mathbf{Z}$ generated by $\mathrm{Alt}_f(\mathbf{Z})$ and the shift $\sigma : \mathbf{Z} \ni j \longmapsto j + 1 \in \mathbf{Z}$. This group is the semidirect product $\mathrm{Alt}_f(\mathbf{Z}) \rtimes_{\mathrm{shift}} \mathbf{Z}$, with respect to the action of $\mathbf{Z}$ on $\mathrm{Alt}_f(\mathbf{Z})$ for which $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ acts by conjugation by σ. Observe that $\mathrm{Alt}_f(\mathbf{Z}) \rtimes_{\mathrm{shift}} \mathbf{Z}$ is generated by σ and the 3-cycle $(-1, 0, 1)$.

It follows from Corollary 8.C.19 that $\mathrm{Alt}_f(\mathbf{Z}) \rtimes_{\mathrm{shift}} \mathbf{Z}$ is not finitely presented.

(2) In 1937, B.H. Neumann defined an uncountable family of pairwise non-isomorphic groups, all of them having a set of two generators; since the number of finitely presented groups is countable, he showed this way that there are finitely generated groups that are not finitely presented. In fact, none of the Neumann's groups is finitely presented.

Indeed, from [Neum–37] (see also Complement III.35 in [Harp–00]), it is straightforward to deduce that each of these groups, say G_{Neum}, has an infinite locally finite

normal subgroup N such that $G_{\mathrm{Neum}}/N \simeq \mathbf{Z}$. Hence G_{Neum} is not finitely presented; indeed,

an (infinite locally finite)-by-$\mathbf{Z}$ group is not finitely presented,

as it follows from the Bieri-Strebel splitting theorem, or more precisely from Proposition 8.C.20 (see Condition (d)) and Corollary 8.C.19.

Example 8.D.2. Consider an integer $n \geq 1$, primes $p_1, \dots, p_n$, and a semidirect product

$$G = (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_{p_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Q}_{p_n}) \rtimes_{(\lambda_\infty, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} \mathbf{Z},$$

for a diagonal action of $\mathbf{Z}$ by which 1 acts on $\mathbf{R}$ by multiplication by some $\lambda_\infty \in \mathbf{R}^\times$, and on the jth factor $\mathbf{Q}_{p_j}$ by multiplication by some $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{Q}_{p_j}^\times$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. The group

$$H = (\mathbf{Q}_{p_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Q}_{p_n}) \rtimes_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} \mathbf{Z}$$

can be seen both as a closed subgroup of G, and as the quotient of G by its connected component $G_0 \simeq \mathbf{R}$.

(1) To describe when H is compactly generated or compactly presented, we distinguish three cases:

(a) If $|\lambda_j|_{p_j} = 1$ for at least one $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then H is not compactly generated. Indeed, H has a factor group of the form $H_j := \mathbf{Q}_{p_j} \rtimes_{\lambda_j} \mathbf{Z}$ and H_j is not compactly generated (Example 8.C.17).
(b) If the $|\lambda_j|_{p_j}$ are all $\neq 1$, with at least one larger and one smaller than 1, then H is compactly generated, and not compactly presented, by Condition (b) of Proposition 8.C.20 and Corollary 8.C.19.
(c) If the moduli $|\lambda_j|_{p_j}$ are either all larger than 1 or all smaller than 1, then G is compactly presented, by Corollary 8.C.16.

For example, the group

$$(\mathbf{Q}_2 \times \mathbf{Q}_3) \rtimes_{(2/3)} \mathbf{Z},$$

for the diagonal action by which $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ acts by multiplication by $\frac{2}{3}$ on each factor, is compactly generated and is not compactly presented, because $|2/3|_2 < 1 < |2/3|_3$. In contrast, the group

$$(\mathbf{Q}_2 \times \mathbf{Q}_3) \rtimes_{(1/6)} \mathbf{Z}$$

is compactly presented.

(2) The group G is compactly generated if and only if H is so (Proposition 5.A.1), and compactly presented if and only if H is so (Proposition 8.A.20), because of the isomorphism $G/G_0 \simeq H$ noted above.

Assume from now on that $\lambda_\infty = \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n$ is an invertible element in the ring $\mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p_1 \cdots p_n}\right]$. We write λ for this common value, and $\rtimes_\lambda$ for $\rtimes_{(\lambda, \lambda, \cdots, \lambda)}$. The diagonal embedding

$$\mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p_1 \cdots p_n}\right] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_{p_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Q}_{p_n}$$

makes the left-hand side a cocompact lattice in the right-hand side (see Example 5.C.10(2)). The group

$$\Gamma_\lambda := \mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p_1\cdots p_n}\right] \rtimes_\lambda \mathbf{Z}$$

is a cocompact lattice in G. It follows from the considerations above that Γ_λ is

(a′) not finitely generated if $|\lambda|_{p_j} = 1$ for at least one $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$,
(b′) finitely generated $|\lambda|_{p_j} \neq 1$ for all $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$,
(c′) finitely presented if either $|\lambda|_{p_j} < 1$ for all $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, or $|\lambda|_{p_j} > 1$ for all $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$.

Note that, when the conditions of (b′) hold, the conditions of (c′) hold if and only if either λ or λ^{-1} is in $\mathbf{Z}$.

In particular:

- $\Gamma_{2/3} = \mathbf{Z}[1/6] \rtimes_{2/3} \mathbf{Z}$ is not finitely presented,
- $\Gamma_{1/6} = \mathbf{Z}[1/6] \rtimes_{1/6} \mathbf{Z}$ is finitely presented.

This spectacular difference of behavior of two superficially similar-looking groups was an important initial motivation of Bieri and Strebel (later joined by Neumann and others) for the work that led to their splitting theorem [BiSt–78], and later to the Σ-invariants; see [Bier–79].

Note that $\Gamma_{1/6}$ is the solvable Baumslag-Solitar group $\mathrm{BS}(1,6)$. The group $\Gamma_{2/3}$ can be described as the *metabelianization* of the Baumslag-Solitar group $\mathrm{BS}(2,3)$. The metabelianization of a group G is its largest metabelian quotient, i.e. the group $G/[[G,G],]G,G]]$; details in [BeGH–13, Appendix B].

Example 8.D.3. Let $n \geq 2$; denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n, viewed here as a finite ring. Recall that the ring $C_n(\!(t)\!)$ of formal Laurent series in t with coefficients in C_n is a totally disconnected LC-ring (see Example 2.E.3). The ring of Laurent polynomials $C_n[t,t^{-1}]$ embeds into the locally compact direct product $C_n(\!(t)\!) \times C_n(\!(t^{-1})\!)$, by

$$\begin{cases} C_n[t,t^{-1}] & \hookrightarrow & C_n(\!(t)\!) \times C_n(\!(t^{-1})\!) \\ f(t) & \mapsto & (f(t),f(t)), \end{cases}$$

as a discrete cocompact subring.

Let the group $\mathbf{Z}$ act on the ring of Laurent polynomials by multiplication by t, and on the product ring diagonally, by multiplication by t on each factor. Then the embedding above is $\mathbf{Z}$-equivariant. This yields an embedding

$$\Gamma := C_n[t,t^{-1}] \rtimes_t \mathbf{Z} \subset G := \big(C_n(\!(t)\!) \times C_n(\!(t^{-1})\!)\big) \rtimes_t \mathbf{Z},$$

for which Γ is a uniform lattice in G.

The group Γ is not finitely presented, and the locally compact group G is not compactly presented; both these claims follow again from Condition (a) of Proposition 8.C.20 and Corollary 8.C.19. That Γ is not finitely presented follows also from its wreath product structure $\Gamma \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) \wr \mathbf{Z}$; see [Baum–61].

8.D.b More general semidirect products, and $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{K})$

Proposition 8.D.4. *Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a local field. The group $\mathbf{K}^3 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ is not compactly presented, where $\mathbf{K}^3$ stands for the second symmetric tensor power of $\mathbf{K}^2$, and the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ on $\mathbf{K}^3$ is the second symmetric tensor power of its natural action on $\mathbf{K}^2$.*

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbf{K}^2$, and $\{e_1 \odot e_1, e_1 \odot e_2, e_2 \odot e_2\}$ the corresponding basis of $\mathbf{K}^3$. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$. We have

$$g(e_1 \odot e_1) \;=\; (ae_1 + ce_2) \odot (ae_1 + ce_2) \;=\; a^2 e_1 \odot e_1 + 2ace_1 \odot e_2 + c^2 e_2 \odot e_2,$$

and similarly for $g(e_1 \odot e_2), g(e_2 \odot e_2)$. It follows that g acts on $\mathbf{K}^3$ by the matrix

$$S^2(g) \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & b^2 \\ 2ac & ad+bc & 2bd \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us identify $\mathbf{K}^3 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ with its image by the homomorphism

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{K}^3 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbf{K}) \\ (\xi, g) & \longmapsto & \begin{pmatrix} S^2(g) & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathrm{ST}_2(\mathbf{K})$ denote the closed subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $a, b, d \in \mathbf{K}$ and $ad = 1$, and $\mathrm{Unip}_2(\mathbf{K})$ the closed subgroup of $\mathrm{ST}_2(\mathbf{K})$ of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $b \in \mathbf{K}$. Since $\mathrm{ST}_2(\mathbf{K})$ is an isotropy group for the natural action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ on the projective line, the subgroup $\mathrm{ST}_2(\mathbf{K})$ is cocompact in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ with $|\lambda| > 1$, set $s = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$, and let σ be the automorphism of $\mathrm{Unip}_2(\mathbf{K})$ of conjugation by s. We identify the corresponding semidirect product $\mathrm{Unip}_2(\mathbf{K}) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{Z}$ with the closed subgroup of $\mathrm{ST}_2(\mathbf{K})$ of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^n & x \\ 0 & \lambda^{-n} \end{pmatrix}$, with $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbf{K}$. Since $\lambda^{\mathbf{Z}}$ is a closed cocompact subgroup of $\mathbf{K}^\times$, the subgroup $\mathrm{Unip}_2(\mathbf{K}) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{Z}$ is cocompact in $\mathrm{ST}_2(\mathbf{K})$, and therefore also in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$.

Let N denote the closed subgroup of $\mathbf{K}^3 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$ consisting of elements (ξ, u) with $\xi \in \mathbf{K}^3$ and $u \in \mathrm{Unip}_2(\mathbf{K})$. We denote again by σ the automorphism of N of conjugation by $(0, s)$. As above, we identify the semidirect product $N \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{Z}$ with a cocompact closed subgroup of $\mathbf{K}^3 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$. By Corollary 8.A.5, it suffices to check that $N \rtimes_\sigma \mathbf{Z}$ is not compactly presented.

On the one hand, since $\mathbf{K}$ is a local field, the group N is locally elliptic. On the other hand, since σ acts on the closed subgroup $\mathbf{K}^3$ of N as the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$,

the subgroup N^σ of N of elements fixed by σ contains a non-compact subgroup $\{0\} \times \mathbf{K} \times \{0\} \simeq \mathbf{K}$. It follows from Proposition 8.C.20 (Condition (c)) that neither σ nor σ^{-1} engulfs N into some compact open subgroup of N, hence from Corollary 8.C.19 that N is not compactly presented. □

Remark 8.D.5. For $n \geq 0$, consider more generally the group $G_n = \mathbf{K}^{n+1} \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$, where $\mathbf{K}^{n+1}$ is identified with the nth symmetric power of $\mathbf{K}^2$. Then G_n is not compactly presented. When n is even, the method of Proposition 8.D.4 can be adapted to G_n. When n is odd, this does not work, but there is an argument based on central extensions, as in already remarked in 8.A.27.

Our next target is Proposition 8.D.8, for the proof of which we need two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 8.D.6. *Let H be an LC-group, $n \geq 2$ an integer, and $N_1, \dots, N_n$ LC-groups, each one given with a continuous action of H by topological automorphisms. Let $G = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n N_i\right) \rtimes H$ be the semidirect product with respect to the diagonal action of H on the product of the N_i 's. For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$, let $G_{i,j} = (N_i \times N_j) \rtimes H$ denote the natural semidirect product, identified with a closed subgroup of G.*

Assume that $G_{i,j}$ is compactly presented for each pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$. Then G is compactly presented.

Note. Conversely, if G is compactly presented, so is every $G_{i,j}$, because they are retracts of G.

In the proofs of Lemmas 8.D.6 and 8.D.7, we freely use the following immediate fact: an LC-group G is compactly presented if and only if there exist a boundedly presented group $G_1 = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ and an isomorphism $\varphi : G_1 \longrightarrow G$ such that the image $\varphi(S)$ is a compact subset of G.

Proof. Let T be a compact generating subset of H. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let S_i be a compact symmetric subset of N_i such that $S_i \cup T$ generates $N_i \rtimes H$. For every pair (i, j) of distinct elements of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, consider a copy of $G_{i,j}$, denoted by $G^{[i,j]} = (N_i^{[i,j]} \times N_j^{[i,j]}) \rtimes H^{[i,j]}$. Let $S^{[i,j]}$ be the subset $S_i^{[i,j]} \cup S_j^{[i,j]}$ of $G^{[i,j]}$ corresponding to $S_i \cup S_j \subset G_{i,j}$; let $T^{[i,j]}$ be the compact generating subset of $H^{[i,j]}$ corresponding to T in H. Clearly, $S^{[i,j]} \cup T^{[i,j]}$ is a compact generating subset of $G^{[i,j]}$.

We consider the free product G_0 of the $G^{[i,j]}$ over all $n^2 - n$ pairs (i, j). Since by assumption $G_{i,j}$ is compactly presented, $G^{[i,j]}$ is boundedly presented over $S^{[i,j]} \cup T^{[i,j]}$. It follows immediately that G_0 is boundedly presented by the generating subset $U := \bigcup \left(S^{[i,j]} \cup T^{[i,j]}\right)$. The natural embeddings $G_{i,j} \subset G$ canonically define an epimorphism $p_0 : G_0 \twoheadrightarrow G$. Observe that $p_0(U)$ is compact and, since $n \geq 2$, that p_0 is surjective.

Define a quotient G_1 of G_0 by elements of length 2 with respect to U, by identifying all possible elements, namely

- for all distinct pairs (i, j) and (i', j'), all $k \in \{i, j\} \cap \{i', j'\}$, and every $s \in S_k$, we identify the corresponding elements $s^{[i,j]} \in S_k^{[i,j]}$ and $s^{[i',j']} \in S_k^{[i',j']}$;

- for all distinct pairs (i,j) and (i',j') and every $t \in T$, we identify the corresponding elements $t^{[i,j]} \in T^{[i,j]}$ and $t^{[i',j']} \in T^{[i',j']}$.

Obviously, G_1 has a bounded presentation, and p_0 factors through a surjective homomorphism

$$p_1 : G_1 \twoheadrightarrow G.$$

Denote by ρ the projection $G_0 \twoheadrightarrow G_1$; we have $p_0 = p_1 \circ \rho$.

For every $k \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, the subset of G_1 defined as $\rho(S_k^{[i,j]})$ does not depend on the choice of the pair (i,j) such that $k \in \{i,j\}$ (there are $2n-2$ such pairs), and we denote it by $\widehat{S}_k \subset G_1$. Also, the subset of G_1 defined as $\rho(T^{[i,j]})$ does not depend on the choice of the pair (i,j) and we denote it by $\widehat{T}$. Since G_0 is generated by the union of all possible $S_k^{[i,j]}$ (with $k \in \{i,j\}$) and $T^{[i,j]}$, it follows that G_1 is generated by the union of all $\widehat{S}_i$ (with $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$) and $\widehat{T}$.

Let $\widehat{H}$ be the subgroup of G_1 generated by $\widehat{T}$. Define an i-elementary element of G_1 as an element of the form hs_ih^{-1} with $h \in \widehat{H}$ and $s \in \widehat{S}_i$, and an elementary element as an i-elementary element for some i. Since G_1 is generated by the symmetric subset $\left(\bigcup \widehat{S}_i\right) \cup \widehat{H}$, every element of G_1 can be written as a product uh where u is a product of elementary elements and $h \in \widehat{H}$.

We have $[h_i\widehat{S}_ih_i^{-1}, h_j\widehat{S}_jh_j^{-1}] = \{1\} \subset G_1$ for all distinct i,j and $h_i, h_j \in \widehat{H}$. Indeed, picking lifts $\tilde{h}_i$ and $\tilde{h}_j$ of h_i and h_j in $G^{[i,j]}$

$$\begin{aligned}\left[h_i\widehat{S}_ih_i^{-1}, h_j\widehat{S}_jh_j^{-1}\right] &= \left[\rho(\tilde{h}_iS_i^{[i,j]}\tilde{h}_i^{-1}), \rho(\tilde{h}_jS_j^{[i,j]}\tilde{h}_j^{-1})\right] \\ &= \rho\left([\tilde{h}_iS_i^{[i,j]}\tilde{h}_i^{-1}, \tilde{h}_jS_j^{[i,j]}\tilde{h}_j^{-1}]\right) = \rho(\{1\}) = \{1\}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that any element g in G_1 can be written as $u_1 \dots u_k h$ where each u_i is a product of i-elementary elements and $h \in \widehat{H}$.

Now suppose that $g \in \ker(p_1)$; let us show that $g = 1$. For all i, we have $p_1(u_i) \in N_i$ and $p_1(h) \in H$. It follows that $p_1(u_i) = 1$ and $p_1(h) = 1$. Pick j distinct from i, and lifts $\tilde{u}_i, \tilde{h}$ of u_i, h in $G^{[i,j]}$; since the restriction of $p_0 = p_1 \circ \rho$ to $G^{[i,j]}$ is injective, we have $\tilde{u}_i = 1$ and $\tilde{h} = 1$. Hence u_i and h are trivial. This proves that p_1 is an isomorphism. As G_1 is boundedly presented, G is compactly presented. □

Lemma 8.D.7. *Let A, N be two LC-groups, with A compactly generated abelian, $\varphi : A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ a continuous action of A on N, and $G = N \rtimes_\varphi A$ the corresponding semidirect product. Assume there exists $a_0 \in A$ and a compactly presented open subgroup $L \subset N$ such that $\varphi(a_0)$ engulfs N into L.*

Then G is compactly presented.

Proof. Let T be a symmetric compact generating subset of A containing a_0. Define $S = \bigcup_{t \in T} tLt^{-1}$; note that S is a compact symmetric subset of N. The semidirect product $N \rtimes_{a_0} \mathbf{Z}$ is generated by $S \cup \{\sigma^{\pm 1}\}$, where σ denotes the positive generator of $\mathbf{Z}$.

We consider the free product $G_0 = (N \rtimes_{a_0} \mathbf{Z}) * A$, endowed with the generating subset $(S \cup \{\sigma^{\pm 1}\}) \cup T$. Since $N \rtimes_{a_0} \mathbf{Z}$ is compactly presented by Corollary 8.C.16, and A is compactly presented by Proposition 8.A.22, the free product G_0 is boundedly

presented over $(S\cup\{\sigma^{\pm 1}\})\cup T$. The natural homomorphism $p_0 : G_0 \twoheadrightarrow G$ is obviously surjective, and its restriction to each of the two free factors is also injective.

Let G_1 be the quotient of G_0 by

- the relator of length 2 identifying $\sigma \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $a_0 \in A$;
- the relators of length 4 of the form $txt^{-1}y^{-1}$ for $t \in T$ and $x, y \in S$ whenever the corresponding relation holds in G.

Obviously, G_1 has bounded presentation, and p_0 factors through a surjective homomorphism

$$p_1 \,:\, G_1 \twoheadrightarrow G.$$

Denote by ρ the projection $G_0 \twoheadrightarrow G_1$; we have $p_0 = p_1 \circ \rho$.

In G_1, the generator σ is redundant; since G_0 is generated by $(L \cup \{\sigma^{\pm 1}\}) \cup T$, the group G_1 is generated by $\rho(L)\cup\rho(T)$. Let $\widehat{A}$ be the subgroup of G_1 generated by $\rho(T)$. By the same argument as in the previous proof, $\widehat{A}$ is mapped by p_1 injectively into G (actually, onto A).

Define an elementary element in G_1 to be an element of the form asa^{-1} with $a \in \rho(L)$ and $a \in \widehat{A}$. Then, as in the previous proof, it is immediate that every element $g \in G_1$ has the form $g = ua$ with $a \in \widehat{A}$ and u a product of elementary elements.

Write φ for $\rho(\sigma) = \rho(a_0)$. Now let us check that, in G_1, the set of elements of the form $\varphi^n\rho(x)\varphi^{-n}$, for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $x \in L$, is stable under conjugation by $\widehat{A}$. It is enough to check that $\rho(t)\varphi^n\rho(x)\varphi^{-n}\rho(t)^{-1}$ has this form for every $t \in T$. And, indeed,

$$\rho(t)\varphi^n\rho(x)\varphi^{-n}\rho(t)^{-1} \,=\, \rho(ta_0^n)\rho(x)\rho(ta_0^n)^{-1} \,=$$

$$\rho(a_0^n t)\rho(x)\rho(a_0^n t)^{-1} \,=\, \varphi^n\rho(t)\rho(x)\rho(t)^{-1}\varphi^{-n},$$

where the latter term is equal, by using the relators of the second kind, to some element of the form $\varphi^n\rho(y)\varphi^{-n}$ with $y \in S$. The engulfing property implies that we can write $y = \sigma^k x'\sigma^{-k}$ for some $x' \in L$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. So

$$\rho(t)\varphi^n\rho(x)\varphi^{-n}\rho(t)^{-1} \,=\, \varphi^n\rho(\sigma^k x'\sigma^{-k})\varphi^{-n} \,=\, \varphi^{n+k}\rho(x')\varphi^{-(n+k)}.$$

It follows that every elementary element belongs to the subgroup $\rho(N \rtimes_{a_0} \mathbf{Z})$.

Let $g = uh \in G_1$ be as above. Suppose now that $g \in \ker(p_1)$, and let us show that $g = 1$. Because of the semidirect decomposition of G, both u and a belong to $\ker(p_1)$. Since u belongs to $\rho(N \rtimes_{a_0} \mathbf{Z})$ and a belongs to $\rho(A)$, it follows that $u = 1$ and $a = 1$. This proves that p_1 is an isomorphism. □

Let A be a topological group. The group $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{R})$ of continuous homomorphisms of A to $\mathbf{R}$ is naturally a real vector space. For $w, w' \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{R})$, we denote by $[w, w']$ the straight line segment in $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{R})$ joining w and w'; note that $[w, w'] = \{w\}$ when $w' = w$.

Proposition 8.D.8. *Consider a compactly generated LCA-group A, an integer $n \geq 2$, and local field $\mathbf{K}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{K}_n$. For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $\varphi_i : A \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_i^\times$ be a*

continuous homomorphism; let $|\cdot|_i$ on $\mathbf{K}_i$ be an absolute value on $\mathbf{K}_i$ (see Remark 4.D.9). Define $w_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{R})$ by $w_i(a) = \log|\varphi_i(a)|_i$ for all $a \in A$. Set

$$G_\varphi \;=\; (\mathbf{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{K}_n) \rtimes_\varphi A$$

where the semidirect product corresponds to the action of A on $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{K}_i$ by which $a \in A$ multiplies the ith factor of $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{K}_i$ by $\varphi_i(a)$.

Then we have:

(1) G_φ is compactly generated if and only if $w_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
(2) G_φ is compactly presented if and only if $0 \notin [w_i, w_j] \subset \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{R})$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Note. The situation of the proposition with $n = 1$ is covered by Lemma 8.D.7.

Proof. As a preliminary observation, note that G_φ does not contain any non-abelian free group, because it is solvable.

(1) If $w_i = 0$ for some i, that is if $|\varphi_i(a)|_i = 1$ for all $a \in A$, then $\mathbf{K}_i \rtimes_{\varphi_i} A$ is a quotient of G_φ, hence G_φ is not compactly generated, by the argument of Example 8.C.17(2). If $w_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the argument of Example 5.A.10 can be adapted to the present situation, to show that each of the subgroups $K_i \rtimes A$ is compactly generated. Since G_φ is generated by these subgroups, it follows that G_φ is compactly generated.

(2) For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we denote by $\overline{w}_i : G_\varphi \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^\times$ the composition of the canonical projection $G_\varphi \longrightarrow A$ with φ_i. The image of $\overline{w}_i$, which is that of w_i, is a non-trivial discrete subgroup of $\mathbf{R}_+^\times$, because so is $\{t \in \mathbf{R}_+^\times \mid t = |x|_i \text{ for some } x \in \mathbf{K}^\times\}$. Note that

$$\ker(\overline{w}_i) = (\mathbf{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{K}_n) \rtimes_\varphi \ker(w_i).$$

Since $\ker(w_i)$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{K}_i$, the group $\mathbf{K}_i$ is a direct factor of $\ker(\overline{w}_i)$.

Suppose first that G_φ is compactly presented. Then $w_i \neq 0$ for all i, by (1). We assume ab absurdo that $0 \in [w_i, w_j]$ for two distinct indices i and j. There exists a number $\mu > 0$ such that $w_j = -\mu w_i$; in particular, $\ker(w_1) = \ker(w_2)$. We will arrive at a contradiction.

Choose $a \in A$ such that $w_i(a) > 1$ generates the cyclic subgroup $\mathrm{Im}(w_i)$ of $\mathbf{R}_+^\times$. Denote by $\mathbf{Z}_a$ the discrete cyclic subgroup of A generated by a. We have a direct sum decomposition $A = \ker(w_i) \times \mathbf{Z}_a$, so that

$$G_\varphi \;=\; \ker(\overline{w}_i) \rtimes \mathbf{Z}_a.$$

In view of the preliminary observation, it follows from Proposition 8.C.18 that one of $\alpha_a, \alpha_{a^{-1}}$, from now on denoted by α, engulfs $\ker(\overline{w}_i)$ into a compactly generated subgroup of G_φ, say H. (The notation α_a is that of Proposition 8.C.12.)

For an integer $\ell \geq 1$, denote by H_ℓ the subset of $\ker(\overline{w}_i)$ of elements (x, b) such that $|x_i|_i \leq \ell$ and $|x_j|_j \leq \ell$. It is clearly an open subset of $\ker(\overline{w}_i)$; it is also a subgroup, because every $b \in \ker(w_i) = \ker(w_j)$ preserves the norms in $\mathbf{K}_i$ and $\mathbf{K}_j$. We have

$$\ker(\overline{w}_i) \;=\; \bigcup_{\ell \geq 1} H_\ell \quad \text{(increasing union)}.$$

It follows that there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $H \subset H_m$. (We do not mind whether or not H_m is compactly generated, but we know that H is.)

Let $x \in \mathbf{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{K}_n$ be such that $x_i \neq 0$ and $x_j \neq 0$. The set of $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\alpha^k(x) \in H_m$ is finite; indeed

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left|\left(\alpha^k(x)\right)_i\right|_i = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \left|\left(\alpha^{-k}(x)\right)_j\right|_j = \infty$$

By Proposition 8.C.20 (Condition (a)), this implies that α does not engulf $\ker(\overline{w}_i)$ into a compactly presented subgroup of G_φ, in contradiction with an intermediate conclusion above.

We have shown that, if G_φ is compactly presented, then $0 \notin [w_i, w_j]$ or all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Conversely, suppose now that $0 \notin [w_i, w_j]$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By Lemma 8.D.6, if it enough to choose $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $i \neq j$, and to show that $(\mathbf{K}_i \times \mathbf{K}_j) \rtimes A$ is compactly presented.

We claim that

there exists $a \in A$ such that $w_i(a) > 0$ and $w_j(a) > 0$.

To justify the claim, we distinguish two cases. Suppose first that one of $\ker(w_i), \ker(w_j)$ is contained in the other; the inclusion cannot be proper, because $\mathbf{Z}$ is not a proper quotient of itself; hence $\ker(w_i) = \ker(w_j)$. Since $0 \notin [w_i, w_j]$, there exists $\mu > 0$ such that $w_j = \mu w_i$. For $b \in A, b \notin \ker(w_i)$, the claim holds for one of $a = b$, $a = b^{-1}$. Suppose now that there exist $b \in \ker(w_i) \smallsetminus \ker(w_j)$ and $c \in \ker(w_j) \smallsetminus \ker(w_i)$. For appropriate choices of $\varepsilon, \delta \in \{-1, 1\}$, the claim holds for $a = b^\varepsilon c^\delta$.

Let $a \in A$ be as in the claim. Then a acts on $\mathbf{K}_i \times \mathbf{K}_j$ by the automorphism

$$\sigma : (x_i, x_j) \longmapsto (\varphi_i(a)x_i, \varphi_j(a)x_j),$$

with $\log|\varphi_i(a)|_i > 0$ and $\log|\varphi_j(a)|_j > 0$. Hence σ engulfs $\mathbf{K}_i \times \mathbf{K}_j$ into $\mathfrak{o}_i \times \mathfrak{o}_j$, where $\mathfrak{o}_i$ [respectively $\mathfrak{o}_j$] is the maximal compact subring of $\mathbf{K}_i$, [resp. of $\mathbf{K}_j$]. It follows from Lemma 8.D.7 that $(\mathbf{K}_i \times \mathbf{K}_j) \rtimes A$ is compactly presented. This ends the proof. □

Lemma 8.D.9. *Let G be an LC-group which is an essential HNN extension $G = \mathrm{HNN}(H, K, L, \varphi)$, for two proper open subgroups K, L of H and a topological isomorphism φ of K onto L.*

Every normal subgroup of G without any non-abelian free discrete subgroup is contained in G.

Proof. See Proposition 8 in [Corn–09]. □

Proposition 8.D.10. *Let the free group $F = \langle t, u\rangle$ act on $\mathbf{Q}_p$, with t the multiplication by p and u the identity automorphism.*

Then the semidirect product $G = \mathbf{Q}_p \rtimes F$ is compactly generated and not compactly presented.

Note that if F is replaced by $\mathbf{Z}^2$, the resulting group is compactly presented, by Lemma 8.D.7.

Proof. For $s \in F$, $s \neq 1$, denote by $\mathbf{Z}_s$ the subgroup of F generated by s. The group G is generated by the compactly generated subgroups $\langle \mathbf{Q}_p, t\rangle = \mathbf{Q}_p \rtimes_p \mathbf{Z}_t$ and F, and therefore is compactly generated.

Let M be the kernel of the unique homomorphism $F \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ mapping t onto 1 and u onto 0; we can identify M with the free product of the cyclic groups $\mathbf{Z}_{t^n u t^{-n}}$ indexed by $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. The subgroup of G generated by $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and M is a direct product $\mathbf{Q}_p \times M$. We can write G as the semidirect product $(\mathbf{Q}_p \times M) \rtimes \mathbf{Z}_t$. With the notation of Corollary 8.C.19 in mind, we set $N = \mathbf{Q}_p \times M$.

Every compactly generated subgroup of N is contained in a product of the form $L \times (*_{n=-\ell}^{\ell} \mathbf{Z}_{t^n u t^{-n}})$, where L is a compact subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and ℓ a positive integer. Hence the group N and the automorphism of conjugation by t satisfy Condition (a) of Proposition 8.C.20. It follows from this proposition and Corollary 8.C.19 that G is not compactly presented. □

Notation 8.D.11. For an integer $n \geq 2$ and a locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$, we introduce the following closed subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{K})$:

$$\text{the group } \mathrm{ST}_n(\mathbf{K}) \text{ of matrices of the form } \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ 0 & x_{2,2} & \cdots & x_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{the group } \mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K}) \text{ of matrices of the form } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & x_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{the group } \mathrm{SD}_n(\mathbf{K}) \text{ of matrices of the form } \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_{2,2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, $x_{i,j} \in \mathbf{K}$ for $i, j = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i \leq j$, and $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,i} = 1$. The first of these is naturally a semidirect product of the other two:

$$\mathrm{ST}_n(\mathbf{K}) \;=\; \mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K}) \rtimes \mathrm{SD}_n(\mathbf{K}).$$

Note that $\mathrm{SD}_n(\mathbf{K})$ is abelian, indeed isomorphic to $(\mathbf{K}^\times)^{n-1}$. We know that $\mathrm{SD}_n(\mathbf{K})$ is compactly generated (because $\mathbf{K}^\times$ is, see Exampe 5.A.2), and therefore compactly presented (see Proposition 8.A.22).

Theorem 8.D.12. *Consider an integer $n \geq 2$ and a non-discrete locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$.*

(1) The group $\mathrm{ST}_n(\mathbf{K})$ is compactly presented.
(2) The group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ is compactly presented.

Proof. First case: $\mathbf{K}$ is Archimedean. These groups are connected, hence both claims follow from Proposition 8.A.19.

Second case: $\mathbf{K}$ is a local field. Let $|\cdot|$ be an absolute value on $\mathbf{K}$ which makes it a complete field. Recall that $\mathbf{K}$ has a maximal compact subring

$$\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}} = \big\{x \in \mathbf{K} \,\big|\, \{x^n \mid n \geq 1\} \text{ is relatively compact}\big\} = \big\{x \in \mathbf{K} \,\big|\, |x| \leq 1\big\}$$

as in Example 2.E.3 and Remark 4.D.9. Denote by $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}})$ the compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K})$ of matrices with coefficients in $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}}$.

Choose $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ with $|\lambda| < 1$. The element $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda^{n-1}, \lambda^{n-3}, \lambda^{n-5}, \ldots, \lambda^{-n+1}) \in \mathrm{SD}_n(\mathbf{K})$ engulfs $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathbf{K})$ into the compact open group $\mathrm{Unip}_n(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}})$. It follows from Lemma 8.D.7 that $\mathrm{ST}_n(\mathbf{K})$ is compactly presented.

Since $\mathrm{ST}_n(\mathbf{K})$ is cocompact in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{K})$, Claim (2) follows from (1) by Corollary 8.A.5. □

More generally, we have the following important generalization of (2):

Theorem 8.D.13 (Behr)**.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a reductive group defined over a local field* $\mathbf{K}$*. The group of* $\mathbf{K}$*-points of* $\mathbf{G}$ *is compactly presented.*

On the proof. The proof goes beyond the project of the present notes. A natural strategy is to use the natural continuous geometric action of the group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{K})$ on a geodesic contractible metric space, more precisely on its Bruhat-Tits building. See [Behr–67], or [PlRa–94, Th. 3.15, p. 152]. □

Remark 8.D.14. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be as in the previous theorem, and Γ a lattice in the group of $\mathbf{K}$-points of $\mathbf{G}$. Assume that Γ is cocompact (this is always the case if $\mathbf{K}$ is a local field of characteristic 0, as already noted in Example 5.C.10(1). It follows from Theorem 8.D.13 that Γ is finitely presented.

There exists an abundant literature (of which we quote here only [BoSe–76]) on this and stronger finiteness properties of these groups, and more generally on "S-arithmetic groups".

Bibliography

[Montaigne]

> *Je feuillete les livres, je ne les estudie pas : Ce qui m'en demeure, c'est chose que je ne reconnoy plus estre d'autruy : C'est cela seulement, dequoy mon jugement a faict son profit : les discours et les imaginations, dequoy il s'est imbu. L'autheur, le lieu, les mots, et autres circonstances, je les oublie incontinent : Et suis si excellent en l'oubliance, que mes escripts mesmes et compositions, je ne les oublie pas moins que le reste.*
>
> In *Les Essais* [M–1595, Livre II, Chapitre 17].

[Abel–69] Herbert Abels, *Über eigentliche Transformationsgruppen*, Math. Z. 110 (1969), 75–110. 174

[Abel–72] Herbert Abels, *Kompakt definierbare topologische Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **197** (1972), 221–233. 169, 172, 174, 175

[Abel–72'] Herbert Abels, *Normen auf freien topologischen Gruppen*, Math. Z. **129** (1972), 25–42. 42

[Abel–74] Herbert Abels, *Specker-Kompaktifizierungen von lokal kompakten topologische Gruppen*, Math. Z. **135** (1973/74), 325–362. 51, 106, 111, 183

[Abel–77] Herbert Abels, *On a problem of Freudenthal's*, Compositio Math. **35** (1977), 39–47. 183

[Abel–78] Herbert Abels, *Distal affine transformation groups*, J. reine angew. Math. **299/300**, 294–300. 115

[Abel–87] Herbert Abels, *Finite presentability of S-arithmetic groups, compact presentability of solvable groups*. Lecture Notes in Math. **1261**, 1987. 16, 185

[Abel–04] Herbert Abels, *Reductive groups as metric spaces*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **311**, Cambridge Univ. Press (2004), 1–20. 110

[AbMa–04] Herbert Abels and Gregori Margulis, *Coarsely geodesic metrics on reductive groups*, in "Modern dynamical systems and applications", Cambridge Univ. Press (2004), 163–183. 62

[AbMN–11] Herbert Abels, Antonios Manoussos, and Gennady Noskov, *Proper actions and proper invariant metrics*, J. London Math. Soc. **83** (2011), 619–636. 108

[AbTi–97] Herbert Abels and Andreas Tiemeyer, *Compactness properties of locally compact groups*, Transform. Groups **2** (1997), 119–135. 43

[AkGW–08] Ethan Akin, Eli Glasner, and Benjamin Weiss, *Generically there is but one self homeomorphism of the Cantor set*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 3613–3630. 31

[AlCG–13] José Aliste-Prieto, Daniel Coronel, and Jean-Marc Gambaudo, *Linearly repetitive Delone sets are rectifiable*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **30** (2013), 275–290. 74

[Alpe–82] Roger Alperin, *Locally compact groups acting on trees*, Pacific J. Math. **100** (1982), 23–32. 182

[ArGS–12] Goulnara Arzhantseva, Erik Guentner, and Ján Spakula, *Coarse non-amenability and coarse embeddings*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **22** (2012), 22–36. 96

[Ausl–63] Louis Auslander, *On radicals of discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Amer. J. Math **85** (1963), 145–150. 143

[Baer–56] Reinhold Baer, *Noethersche Gruppen*, Math. Z. **66** (1956), 269–288. 132

[BCGM–12] Uri Bader, Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Tsachik Gelander, and Shahar Mozes, *Simple groups without lattices*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **44** (2012), 55–67. 146

[BaGS–85] Werner Ballmann, Mikhael Gromov, and Viktor Schroeder, *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*. Birkhäuser, 1985. 61, 75

[BaHZ–10] Taras O. Banakh, J. Higes, and Ihor Zarichnyi, *The coarse classification of countable abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 4755–4780. 132

[Bana–83] Wojciech Banaszczyk, *On the existence of exotic Banach-Lie groups*, Math. Ann. **264** (1983), 485–493. 13

[Bana–91] Wojciech Banaszczyk, *Additive subgroups of topological vector spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1466**, Springer 1991. 13

[Bart–98] Laurent Bartholdi, *The growth of Grigorchuk's torsion group*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **20** (1998), 1049–1054. 98

[BaKu–90] Hyman Bass and Ravi Kulkarni, *Uniform tree lattices*, Journal of the Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 843–902. 146

[BaLu–01] Hyman Bass and Alexander Lubotzky, *Tree lattices*, with appendices by H. Bass, L. Carbone, A. Lubotzky, G. Rosenberg, and J. Tits, Birkhäuser, 2001. 146

[Baum–61] Gilbert Baumslag, *Wreath products and finitely presented groups*, Math. Zeitschr. **75** (1961), 22–28. 194

[Baum–93] Gilbert Baumslag, *Topics in combinatorial group theory*, Lectures in Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser, 1993. 181, 182

[Bava–91] Christophe Bavard, *Longueur stable des commutateurs*, L'Ens. Math. **37** (1991), 109–150. 98

[Beck–98] Howard Becker, *Polish group actions: dichotomies and generalized elementary embeddings*, Journal of the Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), 397–449. 27

[Behr–62] Helmut Behr, *Über die endliche Definierbarkeit verallgemeinerter Einheitgruppen*, J. reine angew. Math. **211** (1962), 123–135. 16

[Behr–67] Helmut Behr, *Über die endliche Definierbarkeit verallgemeinerter Einheitgruppen. II*, Invent. Math. **4** (1967), 265–274. 16, 160, 202

[Behr–69] Helmut Behr, *Endliche Erzeugbarkeit arithmetischer Gruppen über Funktionenkörpern*, Invent. Math. **7** (1969), 1–32. 16, 134

[Behr–79] Helmut Behr, $SL_3(\mathbf{F}_q[T])$ *is not finitely presentable*, in "Proceedings Durham, 1977", London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes **36** (Cambridge Univ. Press 1979), 213–224. 142

[BeHV–08] Bachir Bekka, Pierre de la Harpe, and Alain Valette, *Kazhdan's Property (T)*. Cambridge University Press, 2008. 11, 36, 63, 123

[BeDr–08] Greg Bell and Alexander Dranishnikov, *Asymptotic dimension*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), 1265–1296. 58, 72

[BeDr–11] Greg Bell and Alexander Dranishnikov, *Asymptotic dimension in Bedlewo*, Topology Proc. **38** (2011), 209–236. `http://www.math.ufl.edu/~dranish/Preprints/survey6.pdf` 73, 83

[BeGH–13] Mustafa Gökhan Benli, Rostislav Grigorchuk, and Pierre de la Harpe, *groups without finitely presented amenable covers*, Bull. Math. Sci. **3** (2013), 73–131. 194

[BeQu–14] Yves Benoist and Jean-François Quint, *Lattices in S-adic Lie groups*, J. Lie Theory **24** (2014), 179–197. 142, 143

[Berg–06] George M. Bergman, *Generating infinite symmetric groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **38** (2006), 429–440. 121, 122, 159

[BeSh–06] George M. Bergman and Sharon Shelah, *Closed subgroups of the infinite symmetric groups*, Algebra Universalis **55** (2006), 137–173.

[Bier–79] Robert Bieri, *Finitely presented soluble groups*, Séminaire d'algèbre Paul Dubreuil, 1977-78, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **740** (1979), 1–8. 194

[BiSt–78] Robert Bieri and Ralph Strebel, *Almost finitely presented soluble groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 53 (1978), 258–278. 185, 194

[Birk–36] Garrett Birkhoff, *A note on topological groups*, Compositio Math. **3** (1936), 427–430. 28

[BlFu–13] Jérémy Blanc and Jean-Philippe Furter, *Topologies and structures of the Cremona groups*, Annals of Math. **178** (2013), 1173–1198. 42

[BlWe-92] Jonathan Block and Shmuel Weinberger, *Aperiodic tilings, positive scalar curvature, and amenability of spaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), 907–918. 58

[Bolt–78] Vladimir G. Boltianskii, *Hilbert's third problem*. J. Wiley, 1978. 20

[Bore–50] Armand Borel, *Groupes localement compacts*, Séminaire Bourbaki, exposé **29** (1950). 52

[Bore–55] Armand Borel, *Topology of Lie groups and characteristic classes*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1955), 397–432. 53

[Bore–62] Armand Borel, *Arithmetic properties of linear algebraic groups*, Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians (Stockholm, 1962), pp. 10–22. 16

[Bore–63] Armand Borel, *Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces*, Topology **2** (1963), 111–122. 144

[Bore–69] Armand Borel, *Introduction aux groupes arithmétiques*. Hermann, 1969. 143

[Bore–91] Armand Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, second enlarged edition. Springer, 1991. 134

[Bore–01] Armand Borel, *Essays in the theory of Lie groups and algebraic groups*, History of Mathematics **21**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001. 12, 53

[BoHa–61] Armand Borel and Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1961), 579–583. 144

[BoHa–62] Armand Borel and Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Annals of Math. **75** (1962), 485–535. 144

[BoSe–76] Armand Borel and Jean-Pierre Serre, *Cohomologie d'immeubles et de groupes S-arithmétiques*, Topology **15** (1976), 211–232. 16, 43, 202

[BoTi–65] Armand Borel and Jacques Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Publ. Math. IHÉS **27** (1965), 55–151. 134

[BoHa–78] Armand Borel and Günter Harder, *Existence of discrete cocompact subgroups of reductive groups over local fields*, J. reine angew. Math. **298** (1978), 53–64. 145

[Bott–59] Raoul Bott, *The stable homotopy of the classical groups*, Annals of Math. **70** (1959), 313–337. 53

[BAlg4-7] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Algèbre, chapitres 4 à 7*. Masson, 1981. 115

[BEVT] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Espaces vectoriels topologiques, chapitres 1 et 2*. Hermann, 1966. 42

[BGLA2-3] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, chapitres 2 et 3*. Hermann, 1972. 38, 48

[BInt7-8] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Intégration, chapitres 7 et 8*. Hermann, 1963. 39, 110, 192

[BTG1-4] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Topologie générale, chapitres 1 à 4*. Hermann, 1971. 20, 24, 35, 36, 43, 44, 46, 49, 104, 138, 170

[BTG5-10] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Topologie générale, chapitres 5 à 10*. Hermann, 1974. 24, 26, 28, 36, 137

[BTS1-2] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Théories spectrales, chapitres 1 et 2*. Hermann, 1967. 130

[Bour–86] Jean Bourgain, *The metrical interpretation of superreflexivity in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **56**:2 (1986), 222–230. 63

[Brac–48] Jean Braconnier, *Sur les groupes topologiques localement compacts*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **27** (1948), 1–85. 38, 49

[Brau–65] Richard Brauer, *On the relation between the orthogonal group and the unimodular group*, Archive for rational mechanics and analysis **18** (1965), 97–99. 109

[Breu–14] Emmanuel Breuillard, *Geometry of locally compact groups of polynomial growth and shape of large balls*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **8** (2014), 669–732. 176

[Breu] Emmanuel Breuillard, *Notes du cours Peccot 2006 : Propriétés qualitatives des groupes discrets*, `http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~breuilla/Peccot4.pdf` 39

[BrGe–03] Emmanuel Breuillard and Tsachik Gelander, *On dense free subgroups of Lie groups*, J. of Algebra **261** (2003), 448–467. 39

[BrHa–99] Martin Bridson and André Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **319**, Springer, 1999. 25, 32, 153, 158, 169

[Brow–82] Kenneth S. Brown, Cohomology of groups. Graduate Texts in Math. **87**, Springer, 1982. 11

[Bruh–64] François Bruhat, *Sous-groupes compacts maximaux des groupes semi-simples p-adiques*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 16e année, 1963/64, exposé 271 (mai 1964). 54

[BuKl–98] Dimitri Burago and Bruce Kleiner, *Separated nets in Euclidean space and Jacobians of biLipschitz maps*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), 273–282. 74

[BuKl–02] Dimitri Burago and Bruce Kleiner, *Rectifying separated nets*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **12** (2002), 80–92. 74

[Cann–02] James W. Cannon, *Geometric group theory*, in "Handbook of geometric topology" (North-Holland, 2002), 261–305. 51, 60, 164

[Capr–09] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, *Amenable groups and Hadamard spaces with a totally disconnected isometry group*, Comment. Math. Helv. **84** (2009), 437–455. 111, 113

[CaCo–14] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Yves de Cornulier, *On embeddings into compactly generated groups*, Pacific J. Math. **269** (2014), 305–321. 31, 43

[CaMo–11] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Nicolas Monod, *Decomposing locally compact groups into simple pieces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **150** (2011), 97–128. 13, 38, 39

[CCMT] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Yves de Cornulier, Nicolas Monod, and Romain Tessera, *Amenable hyperbolic groups*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., to appear. 49, 51, 56, 103, 108

[CaRW–I] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Colin D. Reid and George A. Willis, *Locally normal subgroups of totally disconnected groups; Part I: general theory*, arXiv:1304:5144v1, 18 Apr 2013. 13

[CaRW–II] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Colin D. Reid and George A. Willis, *Locally normal subgroups of totally disconnected groups; Part II: compactly generated simple groups*, arxiv:1401:3142v1, 14 Jan 2014. 13

[Cart–86] Pierre Cartier, *Décomposition des polyèdres : le point sur le troisème problème de Hilbert*, Séminaire Bourbaki **646**, Astérisque **133/134** (1986), 261–288. 20

[Cass–86] John Eilliam Scott Cassels, *Local fields*. Cambridge University Press, 1986. 47, 114, 115

[Comf–84] Wistar Comfort, *Topological groups*. In "Handbook of set-theoretic topology", Kenneth Kunen and Jerry E. Vaughan Editors, North Holland (1984), 1143–1263. 30

[CoIt–77] Wistar Comfort and Gerald Itzkowitz, *Density character in topological groups*, Math. Ann. **226** (1977), 223–227. 37

[CoGu–74] Jean-Pierre Conze and Yves Guivarc'h, *Remarques sur la distalité dans les espaces vectoriels*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **278** (1974), 1083–1086. 116

[Corn–06] Yves de Cornulier, *Strongly bounded groups and infinite powers of finite groups*, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), 2337–2345. 122

[Corn–08] Yves de Cornulier, *Dimension of asymptotic cones of Lie groups*, J. Topology **1** (2008), 343–361. 63

[Corn–09] Yves de Cornulier, *Infinite conjugacy classes in groups acting on trees*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **3** (2009), 267–277. 55, 200

[Corn–11] Yves de Cornulier, *Asymptotic cones of Lie groups and cone equivalences*, Illinois J. Math. **55** (2011), 237–259. 61

[Corn–a] Yves de Cornulier, *On the quasi-isometric classification of focal hyperbolic groups*, arXiv:1212.2229v1, 10 Dec 2012. 106

[Corn–b] Yves de Cornulier, *Commability and focal locally compact groups*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear. 55, 111

[CoNa] María Isabel Cortez and Andrès Navas, *Some examples of repetitive, non-rectifiable Delone sets*, arXiv:1401.7927v1, 30 Jan 2014. 74

[CuMo–87] Marc Culler and John W. Morgan, *Group actions on* $\mathbf{R}$*-trees*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), 571–604. 183

[Dant–36] David van Dantzig, *Zur topologischen Algebra. III. Brouwersche und Cantorsche Gruppen*, Compositio Math. **3** (1936), 408–426. 49

[DaWa–28] David van Dantzig and Batel Leendert van der Waerden, *Über metrisch homogene Räume*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg **6** (1928), 367–376. 140

[Dehn–10] Max Dehn, *Über die Topologie des dreidimensionalen Raumes*, Math. Ann. **69** (1910), 137–168. See also *On the topology of three-dimensional space*, Paper 3 in [DeSt–87]. 51

[Dehn–11] Max Dehn, *Über unendliche diskontinuierliche Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **71** (1912), 116–144. (Volume 71 is dated 1912, but the first part, Pages 1-144, appeared on July 25, 1911.) See also *On infinite discontinuous groups*, Paper 4 in [DeSt–87]. 40, 96

[DeSt–87] Max Dehn, *Papers on group theory and topology, translated and introduced by John Stillwell*. Springer, 1987. 40, 96, 209

[Dieu–44] Jean Dieudonné, *Sur la complétion des groupes topologiques*, C.R. Acad. Sciences Paris **218** (1944), 774–776. 139

[Dixm–57] Jacques Dixmier, *Quelques propriétés des groupes abéliens localement compacts*, Bull. Sci. Math. **81** (1957), 38–48. 130

[Dixm–69] Jacques Dixmier, *Les C^*–algèbres et leurs représentations*. Gauthier–Villars, 1969. 13, 21

[DrGo] Lou van den Dries and Isaac Goldbring, *Hilbert's 5th problem*, to appear in L'Ens. Math. 52

[Drut–01] Cornelia Drutu, *Cônes asymptotiques et invariants de quasi-isométrie pour les espaces métriques hyperboliques*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **51** (2001), 81–97. 61

[Dugu–66] James Dugundji, *Topology*. Allyn and Bacon, 1966. 20, 25, 44, 109

[Dyma–10] Tullia Dymarz, *Bilipschitz equivalence is not equivalent to quasi-isometric equivalence for finitely generated groups*, Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), 509–526. 74

[Efre–53] Vadim Arsenyevich Efremovič, *On proximity geometry of Riemannian manifolds*, Uspekhi Math. Nauk. **8** (1953), 189–191. [English translation: Amer. Math. Transl. (2) **39** (1964), 167–170.] 73, 84

[ElWi] Murray Elder and George Willis, *Totally disconnected groups from Baumslag-Solitar groups*, arXiv:1301.4775v2, 10 Mar 2013. 48

[Elli–57] Robert Ellis, *Locally compact transformation groups*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), 119–125. 136

[EmGr–67] William R. Emerson and Frederick P. Greenleaf, *Covering properties and Følner conditions for locally compact groups*, Math. Z. **102** (1967), 370–384. 123

[Enge–89] Ryszard Engelking, *General topology*. Heldermann Verlag (1989). 12, 13, 25

[FaMo–02] Benson Farb and Lee Mosher, *Convex cocompact subgroups of mapping class groups*, Geometry & Topology **6** (2002), 91–152. 107

[Foln–55] Erling Følner, *On groups with full Banach mean value*, Math. Scand. **3** (1955), 243–254. 113, 123, 124

[Freu–36] Hans Freudenthal, *Einige Sätze über topologische Gruppen*, Annals of Math. **37** (1936), 46–56. 45

[Galv–93] Fred Galvin, *Embedding countable groups in 2-generator groups*, Amer. Math. Monthly **100** (1993), 578–580. 42

[Galv–95] Fred Galvin, *Generating countable sets of permutations*, J. London Math. Soc. **51** (1995), 230–242. 122

[GaKe–03] Su Gao and Alexander S. Kechris, *The classification of Polish metric spaces up to isometry*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **161** (2003), no. 766. 140

[GaGo–66] Howard Garland and Morikuni Goto, *Lattices and the adjoint group of a Lie group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1966), 450–460. 142

[Gaug–67] Edward D. Gaughan, *Topological group structures of infinite symmetric groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **58** (1967), 907–910. 139

[Gela] Tsachik Gelander, *Lectures on lattices and locally symmetric spaces*, arXiv:1402.0962v1, 5 Feb 2014. 143

[GhHa–90] Etienne Ghys and Pierre de la Harpe, *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov*. Birkhäuser, 1990. 83, 103

[GlTW–05] Eli Glasner, Boris Tsirelson, and Benjamin Weiss, *The automorphism group of the Gaussian measure cannot act pointwise*, Israel J. Math. **148** (2005), 305–329. 12

[Glea–49] Andrew M. Gleason, *On the structure of locally compact groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **35** (1949), 384–386. 37, 52

[Glea–51] Andrew M. Gleason, *The structure of locally compact groups*, Duke Math. J. **18** (1951), 85–104. 50, 52

[Glea–52] Andrew M. Gleason, *Groups without small subgroups*, Annals of Math. **56** (1952), 193–212. 52

[Glus–57] Viktor Mikhailovich Gluškov, *Structure of locally bicompact groups and Hilbert's fifth problem*, Uspehi Mat. Naud (N.S.) **12**(2) (1957), 3–41. [English translation: Amer. Math. Transl. (2) **15** (1960), 55–93.] 52

[Glut–11] Alexey Glutsyuk, *Instability of nondiscrete free subgroups in Lie groups*, Transform. Groups **16** (2011), 413–479. 39

[GoPa–12] Eddy Godelle and Luis Paris, *Basic questions on Artin-Tits groups*, in "Configuration spaces, geometry, combinatorics and topology", A. Bjorner, F. Cohen, C. De Concini, C. Provesi, M. Salvetti Editors, Springer (2012), 299–311. 159

[Grig–84] Rostislav Grigorchuk, *Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **48**(5) (1984), 939–985. [In English Math. USSR Izv. **85** (1985) 259–300.] 84

[Grom–81] Mikhael GROMOV, *Hyperbolic manifolds, groups and actions*. In "Riemann surfaces and related topics: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference", Ann. of Math. Stud., **97** (Princeton Univ. Press, 1981), 183–213. 60, 175

[Grom–81a] Mikhael GROMOV, *Hyperbolic manifolds (according to Thurston and Jørgensen)*, Bourbaki Seminar **546**, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 842 (1981), 40–53. 75

[Grom–81b] Mikhael GROMOV, *Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **53** (1981), 53–73. 10, 84, 176

[Grom–84] Mikhael GROMOV, *Infinite groups as geometric objects*. In "Proc. Int. Cong. Math. Warszawa 1983", Vol 1 (1984), 385–392. 10, 61

[Grom–87] Mikhael GROMOV, "Hyperbolic groups", in *Essays in group theory*, S. Gersten Editor, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **8** (Springer, 1987), 75–263. 10, 153, 176

[Grom–88] Mikhael GROMOV, *Rigid transformation groups*, in "Géométrie différentielle (Paris 1986)", Travaux en cours **33** (Hermann, 1988), 65–139. 58

[Grom–93] Mikhael GROMOV, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*. In "Geometric group theory, Volume 2", London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **182**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993. 10, 58, 60, 61, 63, 67, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 102, 167

[Grom–99] Mikhael GROMOV, with appendices by J. KATZ, P. PANSU, and S. SEMMES, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, edited by J. LAFONTAINE and P PANSU. Birkhäuser, 1999. 74

[Guiv–70] Yves GUIVARC'H, *Groupes de Lie à croissance polynomiale*, C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A **271** (1970), 237–239. 84

[Guiv–71] Yves GUIVARC'H, *Groupes de Lie à croissance polynomiale*, C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A **272** (1971), 1695–1696. 84

[Guiv–73] Yves GUIVARC'H, *Croissance polynomiale et périodes des fonctions harmoniques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **101** (1973), 333–379. 84, 176

[Haef–73] André HAEFLIGER, *Sur les classes caractéristiques des feuilletages*, Séminaire Bourbaki **412**, Springer Lectures Notes in Math. **317** (1973), 239–260. 20

[HaPa–98] Frédéric HAGLUND and Frédéric PAULIN, *Simplicité de groupes d'automorphisms d'espaces à courbure négative*, in "The Epstein Birthday Schrift", Geom. Topol. Monogr. **1** (1998) 181–248. 48

[Halm–74] Paul R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert space problem book*. Graduate Texts in Math. **19**, Springer, 1974. [First edition: Van Nostrand, 1967.] 42

[Harp–00] Pierre DE LA HARPE, *Topics in geometric group theory*. The University of Chicago Press, 2000. 141, 192

[Hatc–02] Allen HATCHER, *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002. 75

[Haus–95] Jean-Claude HAUSMANN, *On the Vietoris-Rips complexes and a cohomology theory for metric spaces*, in "Prospects in topology (Princeton, NJ, 1994)", Ann. of Math. Stud. **138**, Princeton Univ. Press (1995), 175–188. 153

[Helg–62] Sigurdur HELGASON, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*. Academic Press, 1962. 38, 137

[HeRo–63] Edwin HEWITT and Kenneth A. ROSS, *Abstract harmonic analysis, Volume I*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **115**, Springer, 1963. 28, 30, 34, 37, 38, 42, 45, 46, 49

[Higm–51] Graham HIGMAN, *A finitely generated infinite simple group*, J. London Math. Soc. **26** (1951), 61–64. 144

[HiNN–49] Graham HIGMAN, Bernhard H. NEUMANN, and Hanna NEUMANN, *Embedding theorems for groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **24** (1949), 247–254. 42, 179, 182

[HiPR–97] Nigel HIGSON, Erik Kjaer PEDERSEN, and John ROE, *C^*-algebras and controlled topology*, K-theory **11**-3 (1997), 209–239. 147

[Hoch–65] Gerhard HOCHSCHILD, *The structure of Lie groups*. Holden Day, 1965. 37, 38, 45, 51, 53

[HoMo–06] Karl Heinrich HOFMANN and Sidney A. MORRIS, *The structure of compact groups*, 2nd revised and augmented edition. W. de Gruyter, 2006. 53

[Houg–74] Chris H. HOUGHTON, *Ends of locally compact groups and their coset spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **17** (1974), 274–284. 183

[Hure–36] Witold HUREWICZ, *Beiträge zur Topologie der Deformationen. IV. Asphärische Räume*, Proc. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam **39** (1936), 215–224. [English translation, *Contributions to the topology of deformations. IV. Aspherical spaces*, Collected works of Witold Hurewicz, Amer. Math. Soc. 1995, pages 438–447.] 75

[Iver–92] Birger IVERSEN, *Hyperbolic geometry*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992. 62

[Iwas–49] Kenkichi IWASAWA, *On some types of topological groups*, Annals of Math. **50** (1949), 507–558. 48

[Jenk–73] Joe W. Jenkins, *Growth of connected locally compact groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **12** (1973), 113–127. 84, 176

[KaKo–44] Shizuo Kakutani and Kunihiko Kodaira, *Über das Haarsche Mass in der lokal bikompakten Gruppe*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **20** (1944), 444–450. *Selected Papers, Vol. 1*, Robert R. Kallman Editor, Birkhäuser (1986), 68–74. 30

[Kaku–36] Shizuo Kakutani, *Über die Metrisation der topologischen Gruppen*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **12** (1936), 82–84. *Selected Papers, Vol. 1*, Robert R. Kallman Editor, Birkhäuser (1986), 60–62. 28

[Kall–79] Robert R. Kallman, *A uniqueness result for the infinite symmetric group*, in "Studies in analysis" (Academic Press, 1979), 321–322. 139

[Kamp–35] Egbert Rudolf van Kampen, *Locally bicompact Abelian groups and their character groups*, Annals of Math. **36** (1935), 448–463. 130

[Karu–58] Takashi Karube, *On the local cross-sections in locally compact groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan $\mathbf{10}^4$ (1958), 343–347. 37

[KaYu–06] Gennadi Kasparov and Guoliang Yu, *The coarse geometric Novikov conjecture and uniform convexity*, Adv. Math **206** (2006), 1–56. 61

[Kech–95] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*. Graduate Texts in Math. **156**, Springer, 1995. 24

[KeRo-07] Alexander S. Kechris and Christian Rosendal, *Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **94** (2007), 302–350. 139

[Kell–55] John L. Kelley, *General topology*. Van Nostrand, 1955 [Reprint, Springer, 1975]. 23

[Knes–64] Martin Kneser, *Erzeugende und Relationen verallgemeinerter Einheitengruppen*, J. reine angew. Math. **214/15** (1964), 345–349. 16, 167

[KoNo–63] Shoshichi Kobayashy and Katsumi Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*. Interscience Publishers, 1963. 140

[Kosz–65] Jean-Louis Koszul, *Lectures on group of transformations*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 1965. 108

[KoTi–74] Sabine Koppelberg and Jacques Tits, *Une propriété des produits directs infinis de groupes finis isomorphes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **279** (1974), 583–585. 119

[KrMo–08] Bernhard Krön and Rögnvaldur G. Möller, *Analogues of Cayley graphs for topological groups*, Math. Z. **258** (2008), 637–675. 51

[Kura–51] Masatake KURANISHI, *On everywhere dense imbedding of free groups in Lie groups*, Nagoya Math. J. **2** (1951), 63–71. 39

[Lee–97] Joo Sung LEE, *Totally disconnected groups, p-adic groups and the Hilbert-Smith conjecture*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. **12** (1997), 691–698. 47

[Levi–31] Jakob LEVITZKI, *Über nilpotente Unterringe*, Math. Ann. **105** (1931), 620–627. 117

[LOSP–10] Martin W. LIEBECK, Eamonn A. O'BRIEN, Aner SHALEV, Pham Huu TIEP, *The Ore conjecture*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **12** (2010) 939–1008. 98

[Lose–87] Viktor LOSERT, *On the structure of groups with polynomial growth*, Math. Z. **195** (1987) 109-117. 84, 175

[Lose–01] Viktor LOSERT, *On the structure of groups with polynomial growth. II*, J. London Math. Soc. **63** (2001), 640–654. 84, 176

[LySc–77] Roger C. LYNDON and Paul E. SCHUPP, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer, 1977. 179

[Macb–64] A. Murray MACBEATH, *Groups of homeomorphisms of a simply connected space*, Annals of Math. **79** (1964), 473–488. 158

[MaSw–59] A. Murray MACBEATH and Stanislaw SWIERCZKOWSKI, *On the set of generators of a subgroup*, Indag. Math. **21** (1959), 280–281. 110, 144

[Mack–57] George W. MACKEY, *Borel structure in groups and their duals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 134–165. 12

[MaNe–90] H.D. MACPHERSON and Peter M. NEUMANN, *Subgroups of infinite symmetric groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 64–84. 122

[MaKS–66] Wilhelm MAGNUS, Abraham KARRASS, and Donald SOLITAR, *Combinatorial group theory: Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*. Interscience, 1966. 53, 144

[MaSo–09] Maciej MALICKI and Slawomir SOLECKI, *Isometry groups of separable metric spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **146** (2009), 67–81. 141

[Mann–12] Avinoam MANN, *How groups grow*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **395**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012. 84

[MaSt–03] Antonios MANOUSSOS and Polychronis STRANTZALOS, *On the group of isometries on a locally compact metric space*, Journal of Lie Theory **13** (2003), 7–12. 140

[Marg–70] Gregori MARGULIS, *Isometry of closed manifolds of constant negative curvature with the same fundamental group*, Soviet Math. Dokl. $\mathbf{11}^3$ (1970), 722–723. 61

[Marg–91] Gregori MARGULIS, *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **17**, Springer, 1991. 47, 134, 145

[McMu–98] Curtis T. MCMULLEN, *Lipschitz maps and nets in Euclidean space*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), 304–314. 74

[Miln–68] John MILNOR, *A note on curvature and fundamental group*, J. Diff. Geom. **2** (1968), 1–7. [Collected Papers, Volume 1, 53 and 55–61.] 83, 84, 107

[Miln–71] John MILNOR, *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*. Annals of Math. Studies **72**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971. 159, 160

[Miln–83] John MILNOR, *On the homology of Lie groups made discrete*, Comment. Math. Helv. **58** (1983), 72–85. 20

[Mink–91] Hermann MINKOWSKI, *Über die positiven quadratischen Formen und über kettenbruchähnliche Algorithmen*, J. reine angew. Math. **107** (1891), 278–297. 143

[Moll–03] Rögnvaldur G. MÖLLER, FC^-*-elements in totally disconnected groups and automorphisms of infinite graphs*, Math. Scand. **92** (2003) 261–268. 51

[Moll] Rögnvaldur G. MÖLLER, *Graphs, permutations and topological groups*, arXiv:1008.3062v2, 25 Aug 2010. 183

[M–1595] Michel de MONTAIGNE, *Les Essais*. Version HTML d'après l'édition de 1595, `http://www.bribes.org/trismegiste/montable.htm` 203

[MoZi–52] Deane MONTGOMERY and Leo ZIPPIN, *Small subgroups of finite-dimensional groups*, Annals of Math. **56** (1952), 213–241. 52

[MoZi–55] Deane MONTGOMERY and Leo ZIPPIN, *Topological transformation groups*. Interscience Publishers, 1955. 12, 13, 28, 37, 49, 50, 52, 53, 54

[MoPe–98] Sidney A. MORRIS and Vladimir PESTOV, *A topological generalization of the Higman-Neumann-Neumann theorem*, J. Group Theory **2** (1998), 181–187. 43

[MoSW–02] Lee MOSHER, Michah SAGEEV, and Kevin WHYTE, *Maximally symmetric trees*, Geom. Dedicata **92** (2002), 195–233. 100, 110

[Most–55] George Daniel MOSTOW, *Some new decomposition theorems for semi-simple groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1955), 31–54. 32

[Most–68] George Daniel MOSTOW, *Quasi-conformal mappings in n-space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms*, Publ. Math. IHÉS **34** (1968), 53–104. 75

[Most–73] George Daniel Mostow, *Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces*, Annals of Math. Studies **78**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1973. 61, 75

[Nekr–98] Volodymyr Nekrashevych, *Nets of metric spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, 1998. 84

[Neuk–99] Jürgen Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **322**, Springer, 1999. 47, 114

[Neum–37] Bernhard Hermann Neumann, *Some remarks on infinite groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **12** (1937), 120–127. 192

[Newm–87] Morris Newman, *Unimodular commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), 605–609. 98

[Nosk] Gennady Noskov, *Word metrics on Lie groups and asymptotic cones of 2-step nilpotent groups*, Preprint, 2008, `http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/sfb701/files/preprints/sfb09009.pdf` 109

[NoYu–12] Piotr W. Nowak and Guoliang Yu, *Large scale geometry*. European Mathematical Society, 2012. 81, 83

[Ol'sh–91] Alexander Yu. Ol'shanskii, *Geometry of defining relations in groups*. Kluwer, 1991. 132

[Pala–61] Richard S. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, Anals of Math., II. Ser. **73** (1961), 295–323. 108

[Palm–78] Theodore W. Palmer, *Classes of nonabelian, noncompact, locally compact groups*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **8** (1978), 683–741. 101

[Pard–13] John Pardon, *The Hilbert-Smith conjecture for three-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (2013), 879–899. 47

[Pest–86] Vladimir Pestov, *Compactly generated topological groups*, Mathematical Notes $\mathbf{40}^5$, (1986), 880–882. 43

[PeSu–78] Justin Peters and Terje Sund, *Automorphisms of locally compact groups*, Pacific J. Math. **76** (1978), 143–156. 38

[Plat–66] Vladimir Platonov, *Locally projectively nilpotent subgroups and nilelements in topological groups*, Amer: Math. Soc. Translat. **66** (1968), 111–129; translation from Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **30** (1966), 1257–1274. 111

[PlRa–94] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*. Academic Press, 1994. 54, 202

[Poin–82] Henri Poincaré, *Théorie des groupes fuchsiens*, Acta Math. **1** (1982), 1–62. Oeuvres, tome II, pages 108–168. 96

[Pont–39] Lev Pontrjagin, *Topological groups*. Princeton Univ. Press, 1939. 12

[RaRo–00] Heydar Radjavi and Peter Rosenthal, *Simultaneous Triangularization*, Universitext, Springer, 2000. 117

[Ragh–72] Madabusi Santanam Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*. Springer-Verlag, 1972. 11, 132, 141, 142, 143, 144

[ReSo–76] Ulf Rehmann and Christophe Soulé, *Finitely presented groups of matrices*, in "Algebraic K-theory, Proceedings, Evanston 1976, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **551** (1976), 164–169. 142

[Rhem–68] Akbar H. Rhemtulla, *A problem of bounded expressibility in free products*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **64** (1968), 573–584. 98

[RiRo–07] Éric Ricard and Christian Rosendal, *On the algebraic structure of the unitary group*, Collect. Math. **58** (2007), 181–192. 122

[Robe–00] Alain Robert, *A course in p-adic analysis*. Springer, 2000. 47, 145

[Robi–96] Derek J.S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of Groups*, second edition. Springer, 1996. 131

[Roe–93] John Roe, *Coarse cohomology and index theory on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1993), no. 497. 58, 89

[Roe–03] John Roe, *Lectures on coarse geometry*, University Lecture Series **31**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003. 58, 89

[Rose–09] Christian Rosendal, *A topological version of the Bergman Property*, Forum Mathematicum **21** (2009), 299–332. 122

[Rose–a] Christian Rosendal, *Large scale geometry of metrisable groups*, arXiv:1403.3106v1, 22 Feb 2014. 96, 103

[Rose–b] Christian Rosendal, *Large scale geometry of automorphism groups*, arXiv:1403.3107v1, 22 Feb 2014. 96, 103

[RoSt–64] Kenneth A. Ross and Arthur Harold Stone, *Products of separable spaces*, American Math. Monthly **71**(1964), 398–403. 25

[Rotm–95] Joseph J. Rotman, *An introduction to the theory of groups*, fourth edition. Graduate Texts in Math. **148**, Springer, 1995. 42, 120

[Same–52] Hans Samelson, *Topology of Lie groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1952), 2–37. 53

[Schr–25] Otto Schreier, *Abstrakte kontinuierliche Gruppen*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg **4** (1925), 15–32. 12

[Schr–27] Otto Schreier, *Die Untergruppen der freien Gruppen*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg **5** (1927), 161–183. 179

[Sega–09] Dan Segal, *Words, Notes on verbal width in groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **361**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2009. 98

[Serr–50] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Extensions de groupes localement compacts*, Séminaire Bourbaki, exposé **27** (1950). 48

[Serr–70] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Cours d'arithmétique*. Presses universitaires de France, 1970. 11, 47, 179

[Serr–71] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Cohomologie des groupes discrets*, in "Prospects in mathematics (Princeton, 1970)", Ann. Math. Studies **70** (Princeton Univ. Press, 1971), 77–169. 16

[Serr–77] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Arbres, amalgames,* SL_2. Astérisque **46**, Soc. math. France, 1977. 48, 122, 123, 133, 142, 158, 182, 184, 185

[Shal–04] Yehuda Shalom, *Harmonic analysis, cohomology, and the large-scale geometry of amenable groups*, Acta Math. **192**(2004), 119–185. 58

[Sipa–03] Ol'ga V. Sipachëva, *The topology of a free topological group*, Fundam. Prikl. Mat. **9** (2003), 99–204; translation in J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **131** (2005), no 4, 5765–5838. 42

[Smit–06] J. Smith, *On asymptotic dimension of countable abelian groups*, Topology and its Appl. **153** (2006), 2047–2054. 73

[Sole–05] Slawomir Solecki, *Local inverses of Borel homomorphisms and analytic P-ideals*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **3** (2005), 207–219. 46

[Solo–11] Yaar Solomon, *Substitution tilings and separated nets with similarities to the integer lattice*, Israel J. Math. **181** (2011)= 445–460. 74

[Span–66] Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*. McGraw Hill, 1966. 26, 152

[Spec–50] Ernst Specker, *Endenverbände von Räumen und Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **122** (1950), 167–174. 183

[Stru–74] Raimond A. Struble, *Metrics in locally compact groups*, Compositio Mathematica **28** (1974), 217–222. 28

[Švar–55] A.S. Švarc, *A volume invariant of coverings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **105** (1955), 32–34. 84, 107

[Tama–65] Tsuneo Tamagawa, *On discrete subgroups of p-adic algebraic groups*, in "Arithmetical algebraic geometry, Proceedings of a conference held at Purdue University, 1963", Harper & Row (1965), 11–17. 145

[Tao–14] Terence Tao, *Hilbert's fifth problem and related topics*, Graduate Studies in Math. **153**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2014.
`https://terrytao.files.wordpress.com/2014/11/gsm-153.pdf` 49, 52, 54

[Tess–08] Romain TESSERA, *Large scale Sobolev inequalities on metric measure spaces and applications*, Rev. Math. Iberoam. **24** (2008), 825–864. 127

[Tess] Romain TESSERA, *Asymptotic isoperimetry on groups and uniform embeddings into Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **86** (2011), 499–535. 63

[Thur–80] William P. THURSTON, *The geometry and topology of three-manifolds*, Electronic version 1.1 (March 2002).
`http://library.msri.org/books/gt3m/` 61, 75

[Tiem–97] Andreas TIEMEYER, *A local-global principle for finiteness properties of S-arithmetic groups over number fields*, Transform. Groups **2** (1997), 215–223. 43

[Tits–70] Jacques TITS, *Sur le groupe des automorphismes d'un arbre*, in "Essays on topology and related topics (Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham)", pp. 188–211, Springer, 1970. 48, 140

[Todo–97] Stevo TODORCEVIC, *Topics in topology*. Lecture Notes in Math. **1652**, Springer, 1997. 42, 43

[Trof–85] Vladimir TROFIMOV, *Automorphism groups of graphs as topological groups*, Math. Notes, **38** (1985), 717–720. [Russian: Mat. Zametki **38** (1985), 378–385, 476.] 33

[Tu–01] Jean-Louis TU, *Remarks on Yu's 'property A' for discrete metric spaces and groups*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **129** (2001), 115–139. 96

[Vaug–37] Herbert Edward VAUGHAN, *On locally compact metrizable spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1937), 532–535. 23

[Viet–27] Leopold VIETORIS, *Über den höheren Zusammenhang kompakter Räume und eine Klasse von zusammenhangstreuen Abbildungen*, Math. Annalen **97** (1927), 454–472. 153

[Viro] Oleg VIRO, *Defining relations for reflections, I*, arXiv:1405.1460v1, 6 May 2014. 173

[Wang–69] Shu Ping WANG *The automorphism group of a locally compact group*, Duke Math. J. **36** (1969), 277–282. 38

[Weil–40] André WEIL, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*. Hermann, 1940. 12

[Weil–67] André WEIL, *Basic number theory*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **144**, Springer, 1967. 39, 47, 145

[Whit–57] Hassler WHITNEY, *Elementary structure of real algebraic varieties*, Annals of Math. **66** (1957), 545–556. 51

[Whyt–99] Kevin WHYTE, *Amenability, bi-Lipschitz equivalence, and the von Neumann conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), 93–112. 74, 81

[WiJa–87] Robert WILLIAMSON and Ludvik JANOS, *Constructing metrics with the Heine-Borel Property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 567–573. 23

[Will–94] George A. WILLIS, *The structure of totally disconnected, locally compact groups*, Math. Ann. **300** (1994), 341–463. 13, 49

[Will–01a] George A. WILLIS, *Further properties of the scale function on a totally disconnected group*, J. of Algebra **237** (2001), 142–164. 13

[Will–01b] George A. WILLIS, *The number of prime factors of the scale function on a compactly generated group is finite*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), 168–174. 13

[Will–07] George A. WILLIS, *Compact open subgroups in simple totally disconnected groups*, J. of Algebra **312** (2007), 405–417. 13

[Wink–97] Jörg WINKELMANN, *Only countably many simply-connected Lie groups admit lattices*, in "Complex analysis and geometry (Trento, 1995)", Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. **366** (1997), 182–185. 143

[WuYu–72] Ta Sun WU and Y.K. YU, *Compactness properties of topological groups*, Michigan Math. J. **19** (1972), 299–313. 55

[Yam–53b] Hidehiko YAMABE, *A generalization of a theorem of Gleason*, Annals of Math. **58** (1953), 351–365. 52

[Yu–00] Guoliang YU, *The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), 201–240. 61, 95, 96

[Zimm–84] Robert J. ZIMMER, Ergodic theory of semisimple groups. Birkhäuser, 1984. 21

Index

$B_X^x(r)$, closed ball of centre x and radius r in X, 21
G_0, unit component of G, 46
$\mathbf{C}$, field of complex numbers, 19
$\mathbf{K}$, non-discrete locally compact field, often local field, 39, 47
$\mathrm{M}_n(K)$, square matrices of size n over K, 115
$\mathrm{M}_{n_1,n_2}(K)$, matrices over K with n_1 rows and n_2 columns, 116
$\mathbf{N}$, set of natural numbers, including zero, 19
$\mathbf{Q}_p$, field of p-adic numbers, 19, 38, **47**, 113, 115, 142
$\mathbf{R}$, field of real numbers, 19
$\mathbf{R}_+$, set of non-negative real numbers, 19
$\mathbf{R}_+^\times$, group of positive real numbers, 19
$\mathbf{Z}$, ring of rational integers, 19
$\mathbf{Z}[1/n]$, 38, 145, 193
$\mathbf{Z}_p$, ring of p-adic integers, 19, **47**
$\approx$ homeomorphism, 52
$\mathbf{F}_p(\!(t)\!)$, $\mathbf{F}_q(\!(t)\!)$, 39, **48**, 115
$\mathbf{F}_q[t]$, $\mathbf{F}_q[[t]]$, 48, 142
$\mathcal{P}$-by-$\mathcal{R}$ property of a group, 19
$\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+ = \mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$, 19
$\sim$ various equivalence relations, 58, 62, 89, 114
$\simeq$ equivalence of growth functions, 77
$\simeq$ isomorphism of groups, of topological groups, 31
$w^{\mathbf{Z}}$, infinite cyclic group generated by w, 142

Absolute value, **114**
Action, **104**
Adapted pseudo-metric, **93**, 95, 109
Affine group
  $\mathbf{K} \rtimes \mathbf{K}^\times$, 40, **106**, 133, 190
  $\mathbf{K}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$, 13, 176
Affine line, 106
Amalgamated product, 55, **180**
  non-degenerate, 183
  non-trivial, 180
Amenable
  locally compact group, 88, 113, **123**
  uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric space, **87**
  uniformly locally finite pseudo-metric space, **85**
Analytic group, **51**
Artin-Tits group, **159**
Asymptotic dimension of a pseudo-metric space, **72**, 83, 112
Automorphism group
  of a graph, 33, 48, **140**, 146
  of a group, **38**, 48

Baire space, Baire group, Baire theorem, **26**, 27, 34
Baumslag-Solitar group, 40, **48**, 102, 146, 191, 194
Bilipschitz
  bilipschitz equivalence, **60**, 74, 98
  bilipschitz map, **60**
Birkhoff-Kakutani theorem, 28
Block-trigonalizable matrix group over $\mathbf{L}$ with relatively compact diagonal, **116**
Boundary in a graph, 87
Bounded
  bounded geometry, **81**
  bounded presentation, **158**
  bounded valency graph, **26**
Boundedly
  boundedly connected bornology, **91**
  boundedly presented group, **158**

c-elementarily homotopic c-paths, **147**

c-homotopic c-paths, **147**
c-loop, **147**
c-path of n steps in a pseudo-metric space, **67**
Capped subset of an LC-group, **117**, 192
Cartan-Chevalley-Iwasawa-Malcev-Mostow theorem, 52
Cayley graph, 105, 164
Cayley-Abels graph, **50**, 106, 173, 183
Cli-group, **27**, 139
Clopen subspace, **46**
Close maps from one pseudo-metric space to another, **58**
Closed ball, **21**
Coarse
  category, **89**
  embedding, **58**
  equivalence, **89**
  map from one space with a uniform bornology to another, **89**
  morphism, **59**
  retract, **150**
Coarsely
  connected pseudo-metric space, **67**
  expansive map, **58**
  geodesic pseudo-metric space, **67**
  Lipschitz map, **57**, 94
  proper pseudo-metric space, **78**
  simply connected pseudo-metric space, **148**
  ultrametric pseudo-metric space, **71**, 113
Coarsely equivalent spaces, **58**
Cobounded
  cobounded action, **104**
  cobounded subspace of a pseudo-metric space, **73**
Cocompact
  action, **104**
  subgroup of a topological group, **35**
Combinatorial
  écart, **153**
  loop, **151**
  metric on a connected graph, **25**, 140
  metric on a simplicial complex, **153**
  path in a simplicial complex, **151**
Combinatorially homotopic combinatorial paths, **152**
Commable LC-groups, **111**
Commutator
  group of commutators, **131**
  length, **98**
  of two elements, **131**
Compact presentation, **167**
Compact-open topology, **135**
Compactly generated
  topological group, **33**, 39, 134
  topological group, milestone, 102
  topological group, not compactly generated, 130, 190
Compactly presented
  topological group, **167**
  topological group, milestone, 168
  topological group, not compactly presented, 176, 193, 195
Compatible
  compatible metric, 14, **22**
  compatible topology on a subgroup, **177**
Completely metrizable topological space, **22**
Completely regular topological space, **45**
Completeness of metrizable LC-groups, 27
Compression function, **59**
Connected component, **46**
Connected, of a set X with respect to $E \subset X \times X$ containing the diagonal, **91**, 99
Connected-by-compact topological group, **46**, 50, 129, 174
Continuous pseudo-metric, **22**
Controlled triple (X, d, E), where (X, d) is a pseudo-metric space and E in $X \times X$ contains the diagonal, **99**
Countable space, **21**
Coxeter group, 48, **159**

Defining subset in a group, **160**
Dehn Gruppenbild
  see "Cayley graph", 51
Diameter, **21**

Dihedral group, **106**, 183
Dilation function, **59**
Discrete
  group, 10
  space, 73, 76
Distal
  linear automorphism, **115**
  linear group, **115**
  matrix $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, **63**

Ecart, **21**
Elementarily
  graph homotopic combinatorial paths, **151**
  homotopic c-paths, **147**
Elementary matrices, 134, **160**
Ends, 106, **183**
Engulfing automorphism, **188**
Entourages of a uniform bornology, **89**
Epimorphism, 64
Equivalence of growth functions, **77**
Equivalence relation
  on X generated by $E \subset X \times X$, **90**
  open, Hausdorff, 24
Essentially surjective map, **58**
Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$, 63, 73, 74, 81, 88
Exponential growth, **82**

Faithful action, **104**
Fibre product, **40**, 174
Field = commutative field, 39
Finite generation over a subgroup, **35**
Finitely generated group, **33**, 192
Finitely presented group, **157**, 192
First-countable
  topological group, 28, 36
  topological space, **20**, 24
Floor function, **21**, 60, 71
Free abelian group, 38, 42, 73, 88, 140, 175
Free group, 33, 40, 42, 63, 83, 88, 97, 141, 146, 157, 185
Free product, 53, 111
Fundamental theorem (or observation) of geometric group theory, **107**

General linear group GL
  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ for $\mathbf{K}$ a non-discrete locally compact field, 39, 63, 116
  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, 32, 63, 109, 143, 146
  $\mathrm{GL}_n^+(\mathbf{R})$, 32
Generalized Lie group, **52**
Generation of a group, **157**
Geodesic pseudo-metric space, **67**
Geodesically adapted pseudo-metric, **96**, 102, 109
Geometric action, **104**
Geometric property, 95, 102
Gleason-Yamabe theorem, 52
Graph, **25**, 33, 48, 140, 146, 151
Graph associated to a space, 68, 107
Graph homotopic combinatorial paths, **152**
Gromov
  hyperbolic group, 56, **103**, 176
  product, **103**
Growth
  exponential growth, **82**
  intermediate growth, **84**
  polynomial growth, **82**, 175
  subexponential lower growth, **88**
Growth function
  of a non-empty locally finite pseudo-metric space, **76**
  of a pseudo-metric space, **82**
  of an LC-group, **84**

Hausdorff
  equivalence relation, 24
  largest Hausdorff quotient, 62, 68
  topological space, 19, 43
Heisenberg group, **70**, 102, 143, 176
Hereditarity of various properties, 24, 36, 110, 168
Hilbert Fifth Problem, 52, 54
Hilbert space, 42, 63, 80, 83, 95, 108
Hilbert-Smith conjecture, 47
HNN
  embedding theorem, 42, 98
  extension, 55, **180**, 184
  extension, (non-)ascending, 180
Homotopic c-paths, **147**
Hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}^n$, 63, 73, 75, 83, 88

Index of a subgroup, countable index, 35
Intermediate growth, **84**
Invariant
    compatible metric on a locally compact proper G-space, 108
    Riemannian metric on a locally compact proper G-space, 108
Inverse path, **151**
ISED, **185**
Isometric action, **104**
Isometry group $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$, **135**

Just non-compact LC-groups, **39**

Kakutani-Kodaira theorem, 30

Large-scale
    bilipschitz map, **59**
    category, **60**
    expansive map, **59**
    geodesic pseudo-metric space, **67**
    Lipschitz map, **59**, 101
    Lipschitz morphism, **60**
Lattice
    in an LC-group, 74, **141**, 168, 190, 194, 202
    metric lattice, **73**, 79, 141
LC-group, **20**
    non-LC-group, 38, 42
LCA-group, 119, **130**, 131, 175
Left Cauchy sequence in a topological group, **27**, 139
Levitizki theorem, 117
Lie group, **51**
    over an LC-field $\mathbf{K}$, 48
Lipschitz
    map, **60**
    metric category, **60**
    pseudo-metric category, **60**
Local field, **47**, 115, 130, 145, 202
Locally bounded
    action, **23**, **104**
    pseudo-metric, **22**
Locally compact space, **20**
Locally connected group, 35, 130, 145
Locally elliptic LC-group, **111**, 116, 144, 191
Locally finite
    graph, **26**, 33, 48, 146
    group, **53**, 111, 193
    pseudo-metric space, **76**
Lower control, **57**

Maximal
    compact normal subgroup, 106
    compact subgroup, 52, 130, 174
Metric coarse
    category, **59**
    equivalence, **58**
Metric lattice, **73**, 79, 141
Metric on a set, **21**
Metric space, **21**
Metrically proper
    action, **104**
    map, **61**
Metrizable
    group, **27**, 30, 93
    topological space, **22**
Milestone, 95, 102, 168
Monomorphism, 65
Montgomery-Zippin theorem, 54
Mostow rigidity, 61, 74

Nilpotent
    group, 74, **131**, 143, 175
    matrix, **115**
Noetherian group, **132**
Normal topological space, **44**

Open ball, **21**
Open mapping theorem, 45
Open subgroup, 46, 177
    σ-compact, 31
    compact, 49, 111, 173
    compactly generated, 34, 94
Orthogonal group
    $\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, 32, 52, 97, 109

p-adic solenoid, **145**
p-adic topology on the group of $\mathbf{Q}_p$-points of an algebraic group, 48
Paracompact space, **44**
Pointed Lipschitz metric category, **60**
Pointwise topology, 33, **135**
Polish space, **22**

Polynomial growth, **82**, 175
Pontrjagin dual of an LCA-group, 130
Presentation of a group, **157**
Product of combinatorial paths, **151**
Product of groups, 52, 94, 129
  F^A, with F finite, 26, 38
Projective linear group PGL, and PSL
  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p(\!(t)\!))$, 48
  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, 55
  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$, 145
Proper
  action, **104**, 137
  map, **21**
  metric space, **22**
  metrically proper map, **61**
  pseudo-metric, **22**
Property
  (FA), **122**
  A of Yu, 95
  Flat(n) of a pseudo-metric space, **103**
  of a σ-compact LC-group invariant by metric coarse equivalence, 95, 100, 112, 168
  of a compactly generated LC-group invariant by quasi-isometries, 102
  of a pseudo-metric space invariant by metric coarse equivalence or by quasi-isometries, 62, 69, 82, 95, 102, 148
Pseudo-metric space, **21**

Quasi-isometric embedding, **59**
Quasi-isometry, **59**, 76
  quasi-isometric spaces, 59

Radical
  compact radical, **54**
  LF-radical, **113**
  polycompact radical, **54**
Realization
  geometric realization of a simplicial complex, **151**
  metric realization of a connected graph, **25**
  topological realization of a combinatorial path, **152**
Reductive group, 43, 109, **134**, 145, 202
Regular topological space, **45**
Relations in a group with generating set, **157**
Relatively compact subspace, **20**
Relators of a presentation, **157**
Retract, **169**
  coarse retract, **150**
Right amenable group, **123**
Rips 2-complex
  $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(G,S)$, 164
  $\mathrm{Rips}_c^2(X,d)$, **153**

Schwarz-Milnor lemma, 107
Second-countable
  topological group, 28, 36
  topological space, **20**, 24
Semidirect product, 150, 190, 192
  $\mathbf{A} \rtimes_\lambda \mathbf{Z}$, 146
  $\mathrm{Alt}_f(\mathbf{Z}) \rtimes_{\mathrm{shift}} \mathbf{Z}$, 192
  $\mathbf{Q}_p \rtimes_p \mathbf{Z}$, 50, 190
  $\mathbf{Q}_p^\times \ltimes \mathbf{Q}_p$, 40
  $\mathbf{R}^n \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, 143
  $\mathrm{SO}(3)^{\mathbf{Z}} \rtimes_{\mathrm{shift}} \mathbf{Z}$, 52
  $\mathbf{Z}[1/a] \rtimes_\lambda \mathbf{Z}$, 146
  $\mathbf{Z}[1/6] \rtimes_{2/3} \mathbf{Z}$, 194
  $\mathbf{Z}[2/3] \rtimes_{2/3} \mathbf{Z}$, 194
  $A^{(\mathbf{N})} \rtimes B^N$, 55
  $H(\mathbf{K}) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$, 176
Semisimple matrix $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, **63**
Separable topological space, **20**, 26
Sigma-compact
  space, **20**
  topological group, 28, 32, 50, 93
  topological group, milestone, 95
  topological group, non-sigma-compact, 20, 31, 179
Simple group, 31, 48, 146
Simplicial complex, 151
Solenoid, **145**
Solvable group, 39, 142, 146, 191
Special linear group SL
  $\mathbf{K}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$, 176
  $\mathbf{K}^3 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{K})$, 195
  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$, 145
  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{K})$, 39
  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, 53, 113, 133, 146

$\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z}[1/p])$, 146
$\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$, $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$, 123, 143, 159
Spectral radius of a matrix, **115**, 189
Splitting, **184**
ascending, descending, essential, 184
Stable letter of an HNN extension, 180, 184
Stallings' splitting theorem, 183
Steinberg group, **159**
Struble theorem, 28
Subexponential lower growth, **88**
Submultiplicative function, 84
Symmetric group $\mathrm{Sym}(X)$ of a set X, 31, 42, 122, 139, 159

T_x for a topological space
T_4, **44**
$\mathrm{T}_{3\frac{1}{2}}$, **45**
T_3, **45**
Theorem
Baire, 26
Behr, 202
Birkhoff-Kakutani, 28
Borel-Tits, 134
Cartan, Chevalley, Iwasawa, Malcev, Mostow, 52
fundamental theorem of geometric group theory, 107
Gleason-Yamabe, 52
Kakutani-Kodaira, 30
Levitzki, 117
Montgomery-Zippin, 54
Mostow rigidity, 61, 74
open mapping theorem, 45
Stallings' splitting, 183
Struble, 28
Urysohn, 24
van Dantzig, 49
Topological group, 28, 137, 170, 177
Topologically strongly bounded topological group, **121**
Topology
G-compatible on a subgroup of a group G, **177**
compact-open, 38, 130, **135**
on amalgamated products, 180
on HNN extensions, 182
on isometry groups, 137
pointwise, **135**
uniform convergence on compact sets, ucc-topology, **135**
Torsion-free rank, **133**
Totally disconnected topological space, **46**
Transversal for a subgroup, **178**
Tree, 48, 78, 80, 83, 108, 133, 146, 184
Triangle homotopic combinatorial paths, **152**
Trivial equivalence relation, **91**
Type
C_n (for LC-groups), 43
F_n (for groups), 43

Ucc-topology, **135**
Ultrametric
coarsely, **71**
pseudo-metric, **71**, 113, 118
Uncountable cofinality, **119**
Uniform bornology, **89**
Uniform lattice, **141**
Uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric space, **81**
Uniformly discrete metric space, **73**
Uniformly locally finite pseudo-metric space, **76**
Unimodular group, 113, 124, 146, 192
Unit component of a topological group, **46**
Unitary group of a Hilber space, 122
Universal cover, 44, 74, 81, 174
Upper control, **57**
Upper degree of polynomial growth of a non-empty uniformly coarsely proper pseudo-metric space, **82**
Urysohn metrization theorem, 24

Valuation, *see* absolute value
van Dantzig theorem, 49
Verbal map, **97**

Weight on a generating set, 98
Width of a word in a group, **97**
Word length, **96**
Word metric, **96**

Zero-dimensional topological space, **46**